Lookdown representation for tree-valued Fleming-Viot processes

Stephan Gufler*

December 9, 2014

arXiv:1404.3682v2 [math.PR] 8 Dec 2014

Abstract

We construct the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process pathwise from the lookdown model. The tree-valued Fleming-Viot process was introduced by Greven, Pfaffelhuber, and Winter [20], it has a. s. continuous paths. We generalize this process to include the cases with multiple reproduction events and simultaneous multiple reproduction events. In particular, we include the case with dust. We obtain a process with a. s. càdlàg paths and jumps at the times of large reproduction events. We state well-posed martingale problems. In case that the associated coalescent comes down from infinity, the construction from the lookdown model allows to read off a process with values in the space of measure-preserving isometry classes of compact metric measure spaces, endowed with the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov metric. This process has a. s. càdlàg paths with additional jumps at the times when old families become extinct.

Keywords: Lookdown model, tree-valued Fleming-Viot process, evolving coalescent, Ξ-coalescent, (marked) metric measure space, (marked) Gromov-weak topology, Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov topology.
AMS MSC 2010: Primary 60K35, Secondary 60J25, 60G09, 92D10.

1 Introduction

Similarly to the neutral measure-valued Fleming-Viot process, which is a model for the evolution of the type distribution in a large neutral haploid population, the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process models the evolution of the distribution of the genealogical distances between randomly sampled individuals. The lookdown model of Donnelly and Kurtz [11, 12] provides a pathwise construction of the measure-valued Fleming-Viot process and more general measure-valued processes. In this article, we give a pathwise construction of the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process from the lookdown model.

Using the construction from the lookdown model, we study path properties. The classical tree-valued Fleming-Viot process is known to have continuous paths in the space of

*Goethe-Universität, Institut für Mathematik, Postfach 11 19 32, Fach 187, 60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, gufler@math.uni-frankfurt.de

equivalence classes of metric measure spaces, endowed with the Gromov-weak topology. This topology emphasizes the typical genealogical distances in a sample from the population. As initially suggested to the author by G. Kersting and A. Wakolbinger, we also consider a process whose state space is endowed with a stronger topology, the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov topology, and we study its path regularity. This process, which we may call the tree-valued evolving Kingman coalescent, highlights also the overall structure of the population. The paths of the tree-valued evolving Kingman coalescent have jumps at the times when the shape of the whole genealogical tree changes due to the extinction of old families.

Let us first continue to consider the case that the genealogy of the population at a fixed time can be described by Kingman's coalescent. Kingman's coalescent is a classical model in population genetics. It is a Markov process $(\pi_t, t \geq 0)$ with values in the space of partitions of $\mathbb{N}$ such that each pair of blocks merges into one block independently with rate 1. Evans [14] studies Kingman's coalescent as the completion $\mathbb{S}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ with respect to the random ultrametric d in which the distance between $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ is twice the time until i and j are elements of the same block. On an event of probability 1, the partition π_ε is finite for all $\varepsilon > 0$, hence $(\mathbb{S}, d)$ is compact and the asymptotic frequencies $|B|$ of the blocks B of π_ε, which exist as a consequence of Kingman's correspondence, sum up to 1. Let us endow the random metric space $(\mathbb{S}, d)$ with a probability measure. This illustrates one aim in the present article in which we consider genealogies that evolve in time. On an event of probability 1, a probability measure $m^{(\varepsilon)}$ on $(\mathbb{S}, d)$ is given by $m^{(\varepsilon)} = \sum_{B \in \pi_\varepsilon} |B| \, \delta_{\min B}$. It follows directly from this construction that a. s., the probability measures $m^{(\varepsilon)}$ converge in the Prohorov metric to a probability measure m as ε decreases to zero, and that a. s., also the probability measures $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i$ on $(\mathbb{S}, d)$ converge in the Prohorov metric to m as n tends to infinity. Conditionally given the coalescent, let $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ be an m-iid sequence in $\mathbb{S}$. By exchangeability, the unconditional distributions of the matrices $(d(x_i, x_j))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(d(i, j))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are equal, see Proposition 4. That is, the genealogy of an m-iid sample from $\mathbb{S}$ is again described by Kingman's coalescent.

To study genealogies that evolve in time, we use the lookdown model of Donnelly and Kurtz [12] as an underlying infinite population model. We still focus on the situation in which the genealogy at each fixed time is described by Kingman's coalescent. We now sketch the population model which is studied in more detail and generality in Sections 4 and 5. The time axis is $\mathbb{R}_+$, and there are countably infinitely many levels which are labeled by $\mathbb{N}$. At each time, there is one particle on each level. For every pair $i < j$ of levels independently, the following reproduction events occur at times given by a Poisson process with rate 1: The particles on levels $j, j+1, \ldots$ increase their levels by 1, and the particle on level i has one offspring on level j. We use an appropriate Poisson random measure η to encode all reproduction events in the lookdown model. The lookdown model contains a genealogical structure. We define $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ as the set of individuals and call $(t, i) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ the individual on level i at time t. Given a suitable matrix $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ which encodes the genealogical distances between the individuals at time 0, a semi-metric ρ can be defined on the space $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$. If $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the time of the most recent common ancestor of the particle on level $i \in \mathbb{N}$ at time $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and the particle on level $j \in \mathbb{N}$ at time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we set $\rho((s, i), (t, j)) = s - r + t - r$. If these particles have different ancestors at time 0, we set $\rho((s, i), (t, j)) = s + t + \rho_{k,\ell}$ where k and ℓ denote the levels of these ancestors. Let us identify the elements of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ with ρ-distance zero and take

the completion to obtain a random complete and separable metric space. We call this random metric space the lookdown space and we denote it by (Z, ρ). For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let X_t be the closure of $\{t\} \times \mathbb{N}$ in (Z, ρ). Then $(X_t, \rho \wedge t)$ is essentially the random ultrametric space $(\mathbb{S}, d \wedge t)$.

We define probability measures on (Z, ρ) by $m_t^n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(t,i)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The Poisson random measure η which encodes the reproduction events in the lookdown model is realized a. s. in such a way that, under an appropriate assumption on $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$, there exists a family $(m_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on (Z, ρ) with

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t, m_t^n) = 0$$

for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where d_{P}^Z denotes the Prohorov metric on (Z, ρ). This is part of Theorem 1 in Section 7 which is the central result in this article. We show that the map $t \mapsto m_t$ is a. s. continuous in the weak topology on the space of probability measures on (Z, ρ). Moreover, we show that the map $t \mapsto X_t$ is càdlàg in the Hausdorff distance on the space of subsets of (Z, ρ), and that jumps occur at the times at which old families die out. A formal definition of these times is given in Section 7.

From this construction, we read off stochastic processes. Let us first recall some state spaces. Details and references can be found in Section 2. A metric measure space is a complete and separable metric space endowed with a probability measure on the Borel sigma algebra. Metric measure spaces (X, r, m) and (X', r', m') are called equivalent if supp m and supp m' are measure-preserving isometric. There exists a space $\mathbb{M}'$ of equivalence classes of metric measure spaces, and a space $\mathbf{M}$ of measure-preserving isometry classes of compact metric measure spaces. The Gromov-Prohorov distance d_{GP} is a complete and separable metric on $\mathbb{M}'$. The Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov distance d_{GHP} is greater than or equal to the Gromov-Prohorov distance. It is a complete and separable metric on $\mathbf{M}$.

By taking equivalence classes or measure-preserving isometry classes, not only can random metric measure spaces be considered as random variables with values in Polish state spaces, also processes can be obtained from the lookdown model whose states do not display the labels of the levels. The $\mathbb{M}'$-valued process $(\chi_t', t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, where χ_t' denotes the equivalence class of (Z, ρ, m_t), is a tree-valued Fleming-Viot process when the initial state which is given by the matrix $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ can be considered as a tree (Section 8). In the article of Greven, Pfaffelhuber, and Winter [20], the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process is studied by a different approach: Tree-valued processes are read off from finite population models and shown to converge in distribution to the solution of a well-posed martingale problem as population size tends to infinity. In Remark 2.20 of [20], a. s. convergence at fixed times of tree-valued processes read off from restrictions of the lookdown model is considered. In the present article, we construct the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process pathwise by studying limits of probability measures on the lookdown space (Theorems 1 and 2). The lookdown space and the probability measures on it are determined by a realization of the Poisson random measure η and the matrix $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$. To show measurability, we also consider the process $((\rho(t,i),(t,j))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and we apply Proposition 3 from Section 3.

In Section 9, we study the process $(\mathcal{X}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, where $\mathcal{X}_t$ denotes the measure-preserving isometry class of the compact metric measure space (X_t, ρ, m_t). We call this

process the $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Kingman coalescent. While the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process has a. s. continuous paths in $(\mathbb{M}', d_{\mathrm{GP}})$, the $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Kingman coalescent has a. s. càdlàg paths in $(\mathbf{M}, d_{\mathrm{GHP}})$ with jumps when old families die out (Theorem 6). As it also holds supp $m_t = X_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s., there exists a function that maps on an event of probability 1 the realizations of the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process to the realizations of the $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Kingman coalescent (Corollary 3).

Kingman's coalescent is generalized by the class of Λ-coalescents which was introduced by Pitman [34] and Sagitov [36]. The distribution of such a coalescent is parametrized by a finite measure Λ on $[0, 1]$. In a Λ-coalescent, multiple blocks may coalesce into one block. Analogously to the Kingman case, a random ultrametric d on $\mathbb{N}$ can be associated with a Λ-coalescent, we denote the metric completion of $(\mathbb{N}, d)$ again by $(\mathbb{S}, d)$. For some Λ, the Λ-coalescent contains dust, that is, each block does not coalesce with any other block for a positive time a. s. In the case with dust, a probability measure m on $(\mathbb{S}, d)$ cannot be constructed as in the Kingman case sketched in the beginning of the introduction. The simplest example for such a coalescent is the so-called star-shaped coalescent in which all blocks coalesce into a single block after an exponentially distributed time. Here a. s., the probability measures $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i$ on $(\mathbb{S}, d)$ converge vaguely to the zero measure as n tends to infinity.

A realization of a Λ-coalescent can be represented as a tree, see Figure 1. First we assume that the coalescent contains dust. Let us consider the subtree spanned by the branchpoints $z(1), z(2), \ldots$ of the coalescent tree, these are defined in Figure 1. Let $(\mathbb{S}', d)$ be the completion of $\{z(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to the metric defined by the edge lengths. We define a partition Π of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ are in the same block if and only if $z(i) = z(j)$, that is, the external branch that ends in leaf i and the external branch that ends in leaf j begin in the same branchpoint. It can be shown that the partition Π has a. s. no singleton blocks, see Lemma 7. On an event of probability 1, we can define a probability measure $m' = \sum_{B \in \Pi} |B| \, \delta_{z(\min B)}$ on $\mathbb{S}'$. The asymptotic frequencies $|B|$ of the blocks B of Π exist and sum up to 1 a. s. by exchangeability. In this way, we obtain a metric measure space that describes the subtree spanned by the branchpoints of the coalescent tree. To consider also the external branches, we define a probability measure $\mu = \sum_{B \in \Pi} |B| \, \delta_{(z(\min B), u_{\min B})}$ on $\mathbb{S}' \times \mathbb{R}_+$, where u_i is the length of the external branch of the coalescent tree that ends in leaf $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, the projection of μ to $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the empirical measure of the lengths of the external branches. The triple $(\mathbb{S}', d, \mu)$ is a random marked metric measure space, this concept is recalled in Section 2. Conditionally given the coalescent, let $(x'_i, u'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a μ-iid sequence. Then the unconditional distributions of the random matrices $(u'_i + d(x'_i, x'_j) + u'_j)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(u_i + d(z(i), z(j)) + u_j)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are equal (Proposition 4). That is, if we sample iid branchpoints $x'_1, x'_2, \ldots$ from the coalescent tree according to the projection of μ to Z, and consider pairwise distinct leaves $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots$, where ℓ_i is a leaf in which an external branches that begins in x'_i ends, then the genealogy of these leaves is described by a Λ-coalescent.

The probability measure m' is a. s. the weak limit of the probability measures $m'_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{z(i)}$ on $\mathbb{S}'$ as n tends to infinity. The probability measure m'_n can be obtained from the uniform measure on the first n leaves by moving mass from each leaf into the nearest branchpoint in the coalescent tree. In the Kingman case, and more generally for Λ-coalescents without dust, the external branches of the coalescent tree all have length zero a. s., hence $z(i)$ is the leaf labeled by i for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{S}'$ coincides with the



Figure 1: A realization of a Λ-coalescent with dust can be represented as a tree with edge lengths. The leaves are labeled by $\mathbb{N}$. The block of the coalescent at time t that contains $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by the labels of the leaves of the subtree that contains the leaf labeled by i and that is rooted in depth t below the top. In the illustration, we see the restriction to the subtree induced by the first 7 leaves. On the right-hand side, the states of the coalescent process, restricted to partitions of $\{1,\dots,7\}$, are given. Time goes downwards. Starting from any leaf $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the block $\{i\}$ does not coalesce with any other block for a positive time u_i. This time corresponds to the length of the external branch that ends in leaf i. We denote the branchpoint in which it starts by $z(i)$. In the illustration, the external branches are drawn in red, and the subtree spanned by the branchpoints is drawn in black. In this example, there are leaves $j > 7$ with $z(6) = z(j)$.

space of leaves $\mathbb{S}$. In this sense, the constructions in the cases with and without dust are consistent. A probability measure on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ can be defined by $\mu = m \otimes \delta_0$ in the case without dust. We give a similar construction for genealogies that evolve in time.

The class of Λ-coalescents is generalized by the class of Ξ-coalescents which was introduced by Schweinsberg [37] and Möhle and Sagitov [31]. In [37], such a coalescent is constructed from a Poisson random measure that is characterized by a measure Ξ on the infinite simplex. This generalizes the construction of the Λ-coalescent from a Poisson random measure in [34]. All these coalescents can be obtained as the genealogies at fixed times in appropriate lookdown models, see Donnelly and Kurtz [12] and Birkner et al. [6]. The completion $\mathbb{S}$ of the random ultrametric space of the leaves of the Ξ-coalescent tree can be constructed analogously to the Kingman case. This space is totally bounded, hence compact, if and only if the Ξ-coalescent comes down from infinity, that is, it has finitely many blocks at each positive time.

In the present article, we work with a lookdown model in which particles may have, even simultaneously, multiple offspring, according to a reproduction mechanism underlying the flow of partitions of Foucart [18]. Following [6, 18], we use essentially the Poisson random measure from [37] to encode the reproduction events. The lookdown model contains dust if and only if there are at most finitely many reproduction events in bounded time intervals in which particles on a given level reproduce. To include the case with dust, we define a random semi-metric ρ on the disjoint union $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}) \sqcup \mathbb{N}$ of the space $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ of all individuals at all times and the set $\mathbb{N}$ which represents ancestors of the individuals at time 0. For instance, if the genealogy at time 0 is given by a Ξ-coalescent with dust, these ancestors are the branchpoints of the coalescent tree at time 0. In the case without dust, we can assume that these ancestors are identified with the individuals

at time 0. In any case, we identify the elements with ρ-distance zero and take the metric completion to obtain a random metric space which we may again call the lookdown space and which we denote by (Z, ρ).

On an event of probability 1, there exists for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a probability measure μ_t on $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ that can be defined similarly to the probability measure μ on $\mathbb{S}'$ (Theorem 1). We generalize the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process to a process with values in the space $\mathbb{M}$ of equivalence classes of marked metric measure spaces, endowed with the marked Gromov-Prohorov metric. This state space is also recalled in Section 2. We call the process $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, where χ_t denotes the equivalence class of the marked metric measure space (Z, ρ, μ_t), the $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process. In the case without dust, we can define analogously an $\mathbb{M}'$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process. These processes jump at the times of multiple reproduction events a. s. We generalize the $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Kingman coalescent to the $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent for those Ξ which satisfy that the Ξ-coalescent comes down from infinity.

The construction on the lookdown space allows to read off path properties of these processes. We analyze atomicity (Theorem 1) and jump times (Theorems 1, 2, 6, and Proposition 8). Furthermore, we show convergence to equilibrium (Theorems 3 and 6). In Theorems 4 and 5, we show that the $\mathbb{M}$- and the $\mathbb{M}'$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot processes solve well-posed martingale problems which generalize the martingale problem from [20]. The construction of the probability measures on the lookdown space relies on exchangeability properties which we study in Section 5. We use these exchangeability results in particular in Section 10 where we adapt techniques of Donnelly and Kurtz [12] to obtain convergence results on families of partitions (Section 6) and on probability measures on the lookdown space (Section 7). In the case without dust, we use the flow of partitions $(\Pi_{s,t}, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ where for each $t \in (0, \infty)$, the partition-valued process $(\Pi_{(t-s)-,t}, s \in [0, t))$ is the Ξ-coalescent that describes the genealogy of the individuals at time t in the lookdown model until time 0. For the construction in the case with dust, we introduce a family $(\Pi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of partitions where for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the partition Π_t can be defined from the genealogy of the individuals at time t similarly to the partition Π.

In the remainder of the introduction, we discuss more relations to the literature. Pfaffelhuber and Wakolbinger [32] study the lookdown graph in two-sided time. This object, which captures the genealogical structure in the lookdown model, is obtained from the space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}$ of all individuals at all times, and from ancestral lineages. The lookdown graph can be interpreted as a random semi-metric space, it is closely related to the lookdown space. A lookdown construction of the measure-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process is given by Birkner et al. [6]. Another way to construct the measure-valued Λ-Fleming-Viot process is through the flow of bridges of Bertoin and Le Gall [4]. For flows of partitions, we refer to Foucart [18] and Labbé [26]. In [26], the asymptotic block frequencies in the flow of partitions are considered to study connections between flows of bridges, flows of partitions, and the lookdown model.

Evolving genealogies have also been described by functionals of evolving coalescents. The tree length of the evolving Kingman coalescent is studied as a stochastic process with jumps by Pfaffelhuber, Wakolbinger, and Weisshaupt [33]. This process and its jumps are further studied in the lookdown model by Dahmer, Knobloch, and Wakolbinger [7]. The external length in a certain class of evolving coalescents without dust is studied by Kersting, Schweinsberg, and Wakolbinger [24]. Here the external length is compensated

and rescaled, and also time is rescaled, as population size tends to infinity.

Greven, Pfaffelhuber, and Winter [19] show that the metric measure spaces associated with finite Λ-coalescents converge in the Gromov-weak topology if and only if there is no dust. Biehler and Pfaffelhuber [5] show that the Λ-coalescent measure tree is compact if and only if the Λ-coalescent comes down from infinity. We recall that it is an open problem to give a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of the measure Ξ for the Ξ-coalescent to come down from infinity, see [22, 28, 37]. Marked metric measure spaces are studied by Depperschmidt, Greven, and Pfaffelhuber [8] and applied by the same authors to construct the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process with mutation and selection [9, 10], here the marks encode types. In the present article, we consider only the neutral situation and we do not work with types; we use marks to decompose genealogical trees. In the context of measure-valued spatial Λ-Fleming-Viot processes with dust, a skeleton structure appears in the work of Véber and Wakolbinger [38]. By methods which differ from those in the present article, Depperschmidt, Greven, and Pfaffelhuber [10] show in particular that a. s., the states of the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process are non-atomic. We also mention the work of Athreya, Löhr, and Winter [2] where in particular the Gromov-weak topology and the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov topology are compared, and of Kliem and Löhr [25], where marked metric measure spaces are further studied.

Finally, let us relate our construction of the marked metric measure space associated with a Λ-coalescent to the theory of continuum random trees of Aldous [1]. Consider a family $(\mathscr{R}(k), k \in \mathbb{N})$, where $\mathscr{R}(k)$ is a random graph-theoretic tree with edge lengths that has k leaves. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(L_1^k, \ldots, L_k^k)$ be a uniform reordering of the leaves of $\mathscr{R}(k)$. The family $(\mathscr{R}(k), k \in \mathbb{N})$ is called leaf-tight if

$$\min_{2 \le j \le k} d(L_1^k, L_j^k)$$

converges to zero in probability as $k \to \infty$, where d denotes the metric given by the edge lengths of $\mathscr{R}(k)$. We disregard the additional assumption in [1] that the $\mathscr{R}(k)$ are so-called proper k-trees. The leaf-tight property holds for a consistent family $(\mathscr{R}(k), k \in \mathbb{N})$ of Λ-coalescent trees in the case without dust. The metric measure space associated with the Λ-coalescent can be seen as a generalized continuum random tree such that $\mathscr{R}(k)$ is distributed as the subtree induced by k iid sampled leaves.

Now assume that $(\mathscr{R}(k), k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a consistent family of Λ-coalescent trees with dust. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \le k$, let I_j^k be the nearest branchpoint to L_j^k in $\mathscr{R}(k)$. It can be seen as in Lemma 7 in Section 6 that

$$\min_{2 \le j \le k} (I_1^k, I_j^k)$$

converges to zero in probability as $k \to \infty$. This property is weaker than the leaf-tight property in [1]. Let $\mathscr{R}'(k)$ be the tree obtained by pruning the leaves and external branches of $\mathscr{R}(k)$. The marked metric measure space associated with the Λ-coalescent can be seen as a generalized continuum random tree associated with the family $(\mathscr{R}'(k), k \in \mathbb{N})$ to which the leaves and external branches which were pruned away are reattached as marks.

1.1 Some notation

We write $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, $[\![n]\!] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $[\![\infty]\!] = \mathbb{N}$, and $[\![0]\!] = \emptyset$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote finite matrices $(r_{i,j})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}$ or infinite matrices $(r_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ by doubly underlined letters $\underline{\underline{r}}$, and vectors $(u_i)_{i\in[\![n]\!]}$ or $(u_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ by underlined letters $\underline{u}$.

We denote the set of partitions of $\mathbb{N}$ by $\mathcal{P}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the space of partitions of $[\![n]\!]$ by $\mathcal{P}^n$. We define $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}^n$, $\pi \mapsto \{B \cap [\![n]\!] : B \in \pi\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. We endow $\mathcal{P}$ with the metric

$$d(\pi, \pi') = 2^{-\inf\{k\in\mathbb{N}:\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k(\pi)\neq\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k(\pi')\}}, \quad \pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{P}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the space of those partitions in $\mathcal{P}$ such that the first n integers are not all in different blocks, that is

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P} : \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n(\pi) \neq \{\{1\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}\}.$$

A larger subspace of $\mathcal{P}$ is the space of partitions such that at least one of the first n integers belongs to a non-singleton block, we denote this space by

$$\mathcal{P}_{(n)} = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P} : \{\{1\}, \ldots, \{n\}\} \not\subset \pi\}.$$

Furthermore, we write $\mathcal{P}'_n = \mathcal{P}^n \setminus \{\{\{1\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}\}$. We set $\mathcal{P}_0 = \emptyset$. A partition $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ induces an equivalence relation $\sim_\pi$ on $\mathbb{N}$ such that $i \sim_\pi j$ if and only if i and j are in the same block of π. We denote the block of $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ that contains $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by $\pi(i)$ and we write $\pi(0) = \cup\{i : \{i\} \in \pi\}$ for the union of all singleton blocks of π. We denote the set of integers that are a minimal element of a block of π by $M(\pi) = \{\min B : B \in \pi\}$. For $\pi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}^n$ and $i \in [\![\#\pi]\!]$, we denote the i-th block of π by $B(\pi, i)$, where the blocks are ordered increasingly according to their smallest elements. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i > \#\pi$, we set $B(\pi, i) = \emptyset$. The coagulation of partitions $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{P}$ is the partition $\mathrm{Coag}(\pi, \pi') \in \mathcal{P}$ defined by

$$B(\mathrm{Coag}(\pi, \pi'), i) = \bigcup_{j\in B(\pi',i)} B(\pi, j)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We denote by $|B|$ the asymptotic frequency $|B| = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\#(B \cap [\![n]\!])$ of a set $B \subset \mathbb{N}$ provided this limit exists. We denote the relative frequency of B among the first n integers by $|B|_n = n^{-1}\#(B \cap [\![n]\!])$. For a partition $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$, we write

$$|\pi|_1 = \sum_{i\in M(\pi)} |\pi(i)|$$

and

$$|\pi|_2 = \left(\sum_{i\in M(\pi)} |\pi(i)|^2\right)^{1/2},$$

provided that the asymptotic frequencies of the blocks of π exist. The partition π is said to have proper frequencies if $|\pi|_1 = 1$.

For sets X and Y, we denote by ϖ_Y the projection from $X \times Y$ to Y. For a metric space (X, r), we write $\mathcal{U}^X_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in X : r(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^X_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in X : r(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$. For

a measurable space E, we denote by $M_{\mathrm{b}}(E)$ the space of bounded measurable functions $E \to \mathbb{R}$.

We write a property which may depend on $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. if there exists an event of probability 1 that does not depend on t on which the property holds for all t simultaneously. For the weaker statement where an event of probability 1 that depends on t is sufficient, we write the property holds a. s. for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

2 Some state spaces

We review some parts of the theory of metric measure spaces and marked metric measure spaces for which we refer to Greven, Pfaffelhuber, and Winter [19], Gromov [21], Depperschmidt, Greven, and Pfaffelhuber [8], Evans and Winter [17], and Miermont [30]. In Section 2.2, we interpret marked distance matrices as decompositions of distance matrices.

2.1 Metric measure spaces and marked metric measure spaces

A metric measure space (mm-space) is a triple (X, r, m) which consists of a complete and separable metric space (X, r) and a probability measure m on the Borel sigma-algebra on (X, r). Two mm-spaces are defined to be equivalent if there exists a measure-preserving isometry between the supports of the two measures. An $\mathbb{R}_+$-marked metric measure space (we always say marked metric measure space, or mmm-space) is a triple (X, r, μ) which consists of a complete and separable metric space (X, r) and a probability measure μ on the Borel sigma-algebra on $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We endow $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with the ∞-product metric $d^{X\times\mathbb{R}_+}$ given by $d^{X\times\mathbb{R}_+}((x,u),(x',u')) = r(x,x') \vee |u-u'|$ for $x, x' \in X$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Two marked metric measure spaces (X, r, μ) and (X', r', μ') are defined to be equivalent if there exists an isometry φ between the supports of the measures $\varpi_X(\mu)$ and $\varpi_{X'}(\mu')$ such that the isometry $\tilde{\varphi} : \operatorname{supp} \varpi_X(\mu) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \operatorname{supp} \varpi_{X'}(\mu') \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $(x, u) \mapsto (\varphi(x), u)$ is measure-preserving.

The Prohorov metric on the space of probability measures on a separable metric space (Z, d) is given by

$$d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m, m') = \inf_\nu \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \nu\{(x, y) \in Z^2 : d(x, y) > \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon\}, \tag{2}$$

where the outer infimum is taken over all couplings ν of the probability measures m and m' on Z, see [13, Theorem 3.1.2]. We will often deduce from a coupling an upper bound for the Prohorov metric.

Two metric measure spaces (X, r, m) and (X', r', m') can be compared by the Gromov-Prohorov distance

$$d_{\mathrm{GP}}((X, r, m), (X', r', m')) = \inf\{d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(\iota(m), \iota'(m'))\}.$$

Here and in the next display, the infimum is taken over all complete and separable metric spaces Z and isometric embeddings $\iota : X \to Z$ and $\iota' : X' \to Z$. The marked Gromov-Prohorov distance between two marked metric measure spaces (X, r, μ) and (X', r', μ') is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{MGP}}((X, r, \mu), (X', r', \mu')) = \inf\{d_{\mathrm{P}}^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(\tilde{\iota}(\mu), \tilde{\iota}'(\mu'))\},$$

where we set $\tilde{\iota} = (\iota, \mathrm{id})$, $\tilde{\iota}' = (\iota', \mathrm{id})$ with the identity map $\mathrm{id} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and where $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is endowed with the ∞-product metric.

The distortion $\mathrm{dis}\,\mathfrak{R}$ of a relation $\mathfrak{R} \subset X \times X'$ between two metric spaces (X, r) and (X', r') is defined by

$$\mathrm{dis}\,\mathfrak{R} = \sup\{|r(x, y) - r'(x', y')| : (x, x'), (y, y') \in \mathfrak{R}\}.$$

In the proof of Proposition 3 in Section 3, we use also the following characterization of the marked Gromov-Prohorov distance.

Proposition 1. *Let (X, r, μ) and (X', r', μ') be marked metric measure spaces. Then $d_{\mathrm{MGP}}((X, r, \mu), (X', r', \mu'))$ is the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exist a relation $\mathfrak{R} \subset X \times X'$ and a coupling ν of μ and μ' with $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{dis}\,\mathfrak{R} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\nu(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} \subset (X \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times (X' \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined by*

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \{((x, u), (x', u')) : (x, x') \in \mathfrak{R}, |u - u'| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Proof. This can be seen as an adaptation of Proposition 6 in [30]. Here we sketch the proof of the upper bound for $d_{\mathrm{MGP}}((X, r, \mu), (X', r', \mu'))$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and assume $\mathfrak{R}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$, and ν with $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{dis}\,\mathfrak{R} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\nu(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ are given as in the assertion. A metric d on the disjoint union $Z = X \sqcup X'$ can be defined by

$$d(x, x') = \inf\{r(x, y) + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{dis}\,\mathfrak{R} + r'(y', x') : (y, y') \in \mathfrak{R}\},$$

and we endow $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with the ∞-product metric. Let $\varphi : X \to Z$ and $\varphi' : X' \to Z$ be the canonical embeddings. Moreover, let $\tilde{\varphi}(x, u) = (\varphi(x), u)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'(x', u) = (\varphi'(x'), u)$ for $x \in X$, $x' \in X'$, and $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the coupling ν induces a coupling $\tilde{\nu}$ of $\tilde{\varphi}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'(\mu')$ on $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with

$$\tilde{\nu}\{((z, u), (z', u')) : d(z, z') \vee |u - u'| \leq \varepsilon\} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

The coupling characterization (2) of the Prohorov metric and the definition of the marked Gromov-Prohorov distance imply $d_{\mathrm{MGP}}((X, r, \mu), (X', r', \mu')) \leq \varepsilon$. □

2.2 Distance matrices

Denote by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\rho_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}^2} : \rho_{i,j} = \rho_{j,i}, \rho_{i,i} = 0, \rho_{i,j} + \rho_{j,k} \geq \rho_{i,k} \text{ for all } i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

the cone of all semi-metrics on $\mathbb{N}$ which are written as matrices with index set $\mathbb{N}^2$. Define

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}} = \{(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) : \underline{\underline{r}} \in \mathcal{D}, \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{D}^n = \{(\rho_{i,j})_{i,j \in [\![n]\!]} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n^2} : \rho_{i,j} = \rho_{j,i}, \rho_{i,i} = 0, \rho_{i,j} + \rho_{j,k} \geq \rho_{i,k} \text{ for all } i, j, k \in [\![n]\!]\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}^n = \mathcal{D}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) : \underline{\underline{r}} \in \mathcal{D}^n, \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}.$$

We call the elements of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^n$ distance matrices, and the elements of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D}^n$ marked distance matrices. We define the restrictions

$$\gamma_n : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}^n, \quad \underline{\underline{\rho}} \mapsto (\rho_{i,j})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}$$

and

$$\gamma_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}^n, \quad (\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \mapsto ((r_{i,j})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}, (u_i)_{i\in[\![n]\!]}).$$

We endow $\mathcal{D}$ with the complete metric given by $d(\underline{\underline{\rho}}, \underline{\underline{\rho}}') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}(|\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}) - \gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}')| \wedge 1)$ for $\underline{\underline{\rho}}, \underline{\underline{\rho}}' \in \mathcal{D}$. In the present article, we will use marked distance matrices to decompose distance matrices. This motivates the definition of the maps

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{D}, \quad (\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \mapsto ((u_i + r_{i,j} + u_j)\,\mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} : \mathbb{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}^n, \quad (\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \mapsto ((u_i + r_{i,j} + u_j)\,\mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}.$$

For a metric measure space (X, ρ, m), we set

$$\varrho_{(X,\rho)} : X^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{D}, \quad (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\rho(x_i, x_j))_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

The distance matrix distribution of (X, ρ, m) is defined as $\varrho_{(X,\rho)}(m^{\otimes\mathbb{N}})$, the pushforward measure on $\mathcal{D}$ under $\varrho_{(X,\rho)}$ of the distribution of an infinite m-iid sequence in X. Similarly, for a marked metric measure space (X, r, μ), we set

$$\varrho_{(X,r)} : (X \times \mathbb{R}_+)^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{D}, \quad (x_i, u_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto ((r(x_i, x_j))_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}, (u_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}).$$

The marked distance matrix distribution of (X, r, μ) on $\mathbb{D}$ is defined as $\varrho_{(X,r)}(\mu^{\otimes\mathbb{N}})$.

Equivalent (marked) metric measure spaces have the same (marked) distance matrix distributions. By Gromov's reconstruction theorem, two (marked) metric measure spaces with the same (marked) distance matrix distribution are equivalent. Proposition 5 in Section 3 implies Gromov's reconstruction theorem.

2.3 The spaces of equivalence classes of (marked) metric measure spaces

We now define the space of equivalence classes of metric measure spaces. For set-theoretical reasons, let us first define the set

$$\mathcal{M}' = \{(X, r, m) : (X, r, m) \text{ metric measure space with } X \subset \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For a metric measure space (X, r, m), we denote by $[\![X, r, m]\!]$ the intersection of $\mathcal{M}'$ with the equivalence class of (X, r, m). As (X, r) is separable, $[\![X, r, m]\!]$ is nonempty. We can now define the set

$$\mathbb{M}' = \{[\![X, r, m]\!] : (X, r, m) \text{ metric measure space}\}.$$

Each $\chi \in \mathbb{M}'$ is characterized by its distance matrix distribution, denoted by ν^χ, which is well-defined through representants. A sequence $(\chi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \subset \mathbb{M}'$ converges to $\chi \in \mathbb{M}'$ in the Gromov-weak topology if and only if the associated distance matrix distributions

$(\nu^{\chi_n}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ converge weakly to ν^{χ}. It is shown in [19] that the Gromov-Prohorov distance d_{GP} defines a complete and separable metric on $\mathbb{M}'$ which metrizes the Gromov-weak topology on $\mathbb{M}'$. We always endow $\mathbb{M}'$ with d_{GP}.

Similarly, we define the set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(X, r, \mu) : (X, r, \mu) \text{ marked metric measure space with } X \subset \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For a marked metric measure space (X, r, μ), we denote by $[\![X, r, \mu]\!]$ the intersection of $\mathcal{M}$ with the equivalence class of (X, r, μ) and we define the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{[\![X, r, \mu]\!] : (X, r, \mu) \text{ marked metric measure space}\}.$$

Also each element $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$ is characterized by its marked distance matrix distribution, again denoted by ν^{χ}. A sequence $(\chi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \subset \mathbb{M}$ converges to $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$ in the marked Gromov-weak topology if and only if the associated marked distance matrix distributions $(\nu^{\chi_n}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ converge weakly to ν^{χ}. It is shown in [8] that the marked Gromov-Prohorov distance d_{MGP} defines a complete and separable metric on $\mathbb{M}$ which metrizes the marked Gromov-weak topology on $\mathbb{M}$. We always endow $\mathbb{M}$ with d_{MGP}.

We say $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$ contains atoms or is purely atomic, respectively, if this holds for the probability measure μ in a representant (X, r, μ) of μ. Furthermore, we will also work with the space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{M}_0 &= \{\chi \in \mathbb{M} : \varpi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu) = \delta_0 \text{ for all representants } (X, r, \mu) \text{ of } \chi\} \\ &= \{\chi \in \mathbb{M} : \varpi_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}}(\nu^{\chi}) = \delta_0\} \subset \mathbb{M}.\end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\alpha : \mathbb{M}' \to \mathbb{M}_0, \quad [\![X, r, m]\!] \mapsto [\![X, r, m \otimes \delta_0]\!]$$

is an isometry.

We come to the so-called polynomials on $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}'$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{C}_n$ be the space of continuously differentiable functions $\phi : \mathbb{D}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support. For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$, we denote also by ϕ the function $\phi \circ \gamma_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$, and we call the function

$$\Phi : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi(\chi) = \nu^{\chi}\phi$$

the polynomial associated with ϕ. The degree of Φ is the smallest possible k such that $\Phi = \nu^{\cdot}\tilde{\phi}$ for some $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{C}_k$. We set $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$ and we denote by Π the set of all polynomials associated with some $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_n$ is convergence determining in $\mathbb{D}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of functions Π generates the marked Gromov-weak topology on $\mathbb{M}$. It follows from a result of Le Cam [27] that Π is convergence determining in $\mathbb{M}$, see Löhr [29].

Similarly, let $\mathcal{C}'_n$ be the space of continuously differentiable functions from $\mathcal{D}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support, and let $\mathcal{C}' = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}'_n$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'_n$, we denote also by ϕ the function $\phi \circ \gamma_n : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$, and we call the function

$$\Phi : \mathbb{M}' \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi(\chi) = \nu^{\chi}\phi$$

the polynomial associated with ϕ. We denote by Π' the set of all polynomials associated with some $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'$. The set $\mathcal{C}'_n$ is convergence determining in $\mathcal{D}^n$, hence Π' is convergence determining in $\mathbb{M}'$.

In this article, we work with general (marked) metric measure spaces. In applications, these spaces are often tree-like. We address this situation in a series of remarks alongside of the main text. We begin with Remark 1 below which is followed by Remarks 3, 6, 9, and 12 in subsequent sections.

Remark 1. We briefly address the relation to $\mathbb{R}$-trees. For details, see Evans [15] and the references therein. A metric space (X, r) is 0-hyperbolic if and only if the four-point condition

$$r(x, y) + r(z, t) \leq \max\{r(x, z) + r(y, t), r(y, z) + r(x, t)\} \quad \text{for all } x, y, z, t \in X$$

is satisfied. A metric space is an $\mathbb{R}$-tree if it is 0-hyperbolic and connected. Every 0-hyperbolic space is a subspace of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree. In particular, ultrametric spaces are 0-hyperbolic, and every ultrametric space is the set of leaves of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree. An ultrametric on a set X is a metric r that satisfies the strengthened triangle equation $\max\{r(x, y), r(y, z)\} \geq r(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. This implies that if two balls in an ultrametric space intersect, one contains the other.

It follows from the definition of equivalence classes of mm-spaces and mmm-spaces, respectively, that the closed subspace

$$\mathbb{M}'_{\mathrm{tr}} = \{[\![X, r, m]\!] : (X, r, m) \text{ is a 0-hyperbolic mm-space}\}$$

of $\mathbb{M}$ is the space of equivalence classes of weighted $\mathbb{R}$-trees, and the closed subspace

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{tr}} = \{[\![X, r, \mu]\!] : (X, r, \mu) \text{ is a 0-hyperbolic mmm-space}\}$$

of $\mathbb{M}'$ is the space of equivalence classes of $\mathbb{R}$-trees (X, r) together with probability measures on $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

2.4 The space of isometry classes of compact metric measure spaces and the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov metric

The Hausdorff distance between subsets X and Y in a metric space Z is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}^Z(X, Y) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : X \subset \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^Z(Y), Y \subset \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^Z(X)\}.$$

The Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov distance between two metric measure spaces (X, r, m) and (X', r', m') is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{GHP}}((X, r, m), (X', r', m')) = \inf\{d_{\mathrm{H}}^Z(\iota(X), \iota'(X')) \vee d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(\iota(m), \iota'(m'))\}$$

where the infimum is over all complete and separable metric spaces Z and isometric embeddings $\iota : X \to Z$ and $\iota' : X' \to Z$. Obviously, the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov distance is larger than or equal to the Gromov-Prohorov distance.

A correspondence $\mathfrak{R} \subset X \times X'$ between two sets X and X' is a relation that satisfies that for every $x \in X$, there exists $x' \in X'$ with $(x, x') \in \mathfrak{R}$, and that for every $x' \in X'$, there exists $x \in X$ with $(x, x') \in \mathfrak{R}$. We also need the following characterization of the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov distance.

Proposition 2 (Proposition 6 in [30]). *Let (X, r, m) and (X', r', m') be compact metric measure spaces. Then $d_{\mathrm{GHP}}((X, r, m), (X', r', m'))$ is the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exist a correspondence $\mathfrak{R} \subset X \times X'$ and a coupling ν of m and m' with $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{dis}\,\mathfrak{R} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\nu(\mathfrak{R}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$.*

For a metric measure space (X, r, m), we denote by $[X, r, m]$ the intersection of $\mathcal{M}'$ with the measure-preserving isometry class of (X, r, m). For two metric measure spaces (X, r, m) and (X', r', m') to be measure-preserving isometric, we require that there exist a measure-preserving isometry from X to X', not only from supp m to supp m' as in Section 2.1. We define the set

$$\mathbf{M} = \{[X, r, m] : (X, r, m) \text{ compact metric measure space}\}.$$

The Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov distance d_{GHP} defines a complete and separable metric on $\mathbf{M}$, see [17, 30]. We always endow $\mathbf{M}$ with d_{GHP}. We also let

$$\mathbb{M}'_{\mathrm{c}} = \{[\![X, r, m]\!] : (X, r, m) \text{ compact metric measure space}\} \subset \mathbb{M}'$$

and we define the map

$$\beta : \mathbb{M}'_{\mathrm{c}} \to \mathbf{M}, \quad [\![X, r, m]\!] \mapsto [\mathrm{supp}\, m, r, m]\,.$$

For $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{M}$, we define the distance matrix distribution $\nu^{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ through representants as in Section 2.2.

3 Construction from distance matrices

We have seen how a (marked) distance matrix can be sampled from a (marked) metric measure space. In this section, we build a (marked) metric measure space from an admissible (marked) distance matrix. We show in Proposition 3 below that this construction defines measurable functions with values in $\mathbb{M}$, $\mathbb{M}'$, and $\mathbf{M}$, respectively. In Proposition 4, we show that if a random admissible marked distance matrix is jointly exchangeable, it has the marked distance matrix distribution of the associated marked metric measure space. Moreover, we reconstruct in Proposition 5 a marked metric measure space from its marked distance matrix distribution and thus obtain a variant proof of the Gromov reconstruction theorem.

We point out that Vershik [39] studies complete and separable metric spaces as distance matrices and gives conditions for the distribution of a random matrix to be the distance matrix distribution of a metric measure space or of a compact metric measure space, respectively. For the theory of jointly exchangeable arrays, such as jointly exchangeable matrices, the interested reader is also referred to the monograph of Kallenberg [23].

Sometimes we work with semi-metric spaces. Given a semi-metric space (X, ρ), we can identify the elements with ρ-distance zero to obtain a metric space (X', ρ). By each element $x \in X$ and each subset $A \subset X$, we also refer to the associated element or subset of X', writing $x \in X'$ and $A \subset X'$ in slight abuse of notation. We define the completion of the semi-metric space (X, ρ) as the completion of the associated metric space (X', ρ).

For each $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}$, the matrix $\underline{\underline{r}}$ defines a semi-metric r on $\mathbb{N}$. We denote (in this paragraph) the completion of $(\mathbb{N}, r)$ by (X, r). We say that $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u})$ is admissible if the probability measures $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{(i,u_i)}$ converge weakly to a probability measure μ on $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$ as n tends to infinity. Let $\mathbb{D}^*$ be the space of admissible marked distance matrices $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u})$. We define $\psi : \mathbb{D}^* \to \mathbb{M}$ as the function that maps $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u})$ to $[\![X, r, \mu]\!]$.

Similarly, a matrix $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}$ defines a semi-metric ρ on $\mathbb{N}$. We consider the completion (X', ρ) of $(\mathbb{N}, \rho)$. We say $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ is admissible if the probability measures $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_i$ on (X', ρ) converge weakly to a probability measure m on (X', ρ) as n tends to infinity. We denote by $\mathcal{D}^*$ the space of admissible distance matrices $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$. We define $\psi_0 : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathbb{M}'$ as the function that maps $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ to $[\![X', \rho, m]\!]$. The metric space (X', ρ) is compact if and only if it is totally bounded, that is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{j>n}\inf_{i\le n}\rho_{i,j} = 0. \tag{3}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ the space of those $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}$ that satisfy condition (3). We write $\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathrm{CDI}} = \mathcal{D}^* \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$, and we denote the function that maps $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ to $[X', \rho, m]$ by $\upsilon : \mathcal{D}^*_{\mathrm{CDI}} \to \mathbf{M}$. We remark that $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}^*$ implies $\underline{\underline{r}} \in \mathcal{D}^*$.

Proposition 3. *The functions ψ, ψ_0, and υ are measurable.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{\underline{\rho}}' \in \mathcal{D}^n$, a semi-metric ρ' on $[\![n]\!]$ is defined by $\underline{\underline{\rho}}'$. We identify the elements of $[\![n]\!]$ with ρ'-distance zero to obtain a metric space (X', ρ'). Let $\upsilon^n : \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathbf{M}$ be the function that maps $\underline{\underline{\rho}}'$ to $[X', \rho', n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_i]$. The function υ^n is continuous. To show this, let $\underline{\underline{\rho}}'' \in \mathcal{D}^n$. Another semi-metric ρ'' on $[\![n]\!]$ is defined by $\underline{\underline{\rho}}''$. We identify the elements with ρ''-distance zero to obtain a metric space (X'', ρ''). With the coupling $\nu = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{(i,i)}$ on $X' \times X''$ and the correspondence $\mathfrak{R} = \{(i,i) : i \in [\![n]\!]\} \subset X' \times X''$, Proposition 2 implies $d_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\upsilon^n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}'), \upsilon^n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}'')) \le \frac{1}{2}\max_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}\left|\rho'_{i,j} - \rho''_{i,j}\right|$.

Let $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}^*_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ be arbitrary. A semi-metric ρ on $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$. Let (X, ρ) be the completion of $(\mathbb{N}, \rho)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a probability measure $m^n = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_i$ on X. The definition of $\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} d^X_{\mathrm{H}}([\![n]\!], X) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d^X_{\mathrm{P}}(m^n, m) = 0$ for a probability measure m on X. As it holds $\upsilon(\underline{\underline{\rho}}) = [X, \rho, m]$ and $\upsilon^n(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}})) = [[\![n]\!], \rho, m^n]$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\upsilon^n(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}})), \upsilon(\underline{\underline{\rho}})) = 0$. This implies measurability of υ. Measurability of ψ and ψ_0 can be proved analogously by using Proposition 1. □

The group S_∞ of bijections of $\mathbb{N}$ onto itself is defined to act on $\mathcal{D}$ and on $\mathbb{D}$ as follows: For $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $p \in S_\infty$, we set

$$p(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) = ((r_{p(i),p(j)})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}, (u_{p(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}})$$

and

$$p(\underline{\underline{r}}) = (r_{p(i),p(j)})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the actions on $\mathcal{D}^n$ and on $\mathbb{D}^n$ of the group S_n of permutations on $[\![n]\!]$ are defined analogously. A random variable R in $\mathbb{D}$ (in $\mathcal{D}$) is called jointly exchangeable if for all $p \in S_\infty$, the random variables $p(R)$ and R are equal in distribution. The following Proposition may be compared with Lemma 8 of Vershik [39].

Proposition 4. *Let $(\underline{r}, \underline{u})$ be a jointly exchangeable random variable with values in $\mathbb{D}^*$. Let $(\underline{r}', \underline{u}')$ be a random variable with values in $\mathbb{D}$ and conditional distribution $\nu^{\psi(\underline{r},\underline{u})}$ given $\psi(\underline{r}, \underline{u})$. Then $(\underline{r}', \underline{u}') \in \mathbb{D}^*$ a. s., and $(\underline{r}', \underline{u}')$ is distributed as $(\underline{r}, \underline{u})$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$, and let (X, r, μ) be a representant of $\psi(\underline{r}, \underline{u})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\phi(\underline{r}', \underline{u}')\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int \mu^{\otimes n}(d\underline{x}, d\underline{\tilde{u}})\phi((r(x_i, x_j))_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}, (\tilde{u}_i)_{i\in[\![n]\!]})\right] \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k}\sum_{\ell_1=1}^{k} \cdots \frac{1}{k}\sum_{\ell_n=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[\phi((r_{\ell_i,\ell_j})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}, (u_{\ell_i})_{i\in[\![n]\!]})\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\phi(\underline{r}, \underline{u})\right].\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the definition of $\mathbb{D}^*$ and by dominated convergence. For the third equality, we use that summands where $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$ are not pairwise distinct vanish in the limit, and that for all other summands, the expectation in the second line equals by exchangeability the expectation in the third line. □

Proposition 5. *Let $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$ and $(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\chi}$. Then it holds $(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}^*$ a. s. and $\psi(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) = \chi$ a. s.*

This proposition implies the Gromov reconstruction theorem. Indeed, for two marked metric measure spaces (X, r, μ) and (X', r', μ') with the same marked distance distribution ν, and for a random variable R with distribution ν, we obtain $\psi(R) = [\![X, r, \mu]\!]$ a. s. and $\psi(R) = [\![X', r', \mu']\!]$ a. s., hence the two marked metric measure spaces are equivalent. In the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 7, we will argue similarly to the proof below. The simplicity of the proof below lies in constructing an equivalent marked metric measure space from the marked distance matrix distribution instead of comparing two marked metric measure spaces, cf. the proofs of the Gromov reconstruction theorem in chapter $3\frac{1}{2}$ of Gromov [21] and in Theorem 4 of Vershik [39]. A Gromov reconstruction theorem for marked metric measure spaces is stated in [8, Theorem 1].

Proof. Let (X', r', μ') be a representant of χ. W. l. o. g. we assume $\operatorname{supp} \varpi_{X'}(\mu') = X'$ and $(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) = \varrho_{(X',r')}(\underline{x}, \underline{u})$ for a μ-iid sequence $(\underline{x}, \underline{u})$. A semi-metric r on $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\underline{r}$. We denote by (X, r) the completion of $(\mathbb{N}, r)$. The isometry that maps x_i to i for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ can be extended to an isometry φ from X' to X. An isometry $\tilde{\varphi}$ from $X' \times \mathbb{R}_+$ to $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by $(x, u) \mapsto (\varphi(x), u)$. By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, the probability measures $\mu'^n := n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(x_i,u_i)}$ on $X' \times \mathbb{R}_+$ converge weakly to μ' a. s. As $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous, the probability measures $\mu^n := n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(i,u_i)} = \tilde{\varphi}(\mu'^n)$ on $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$ converge weakly to $\mu := \tilde{\varphi}(\mu')$ a. s. This implies $(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}^*$ a. s. and $\psi(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) = [\![X, r, \mu]\!]$ a. s. The second assertion follows from $[\![X', r', \mu']\!] = [\![X, r, \mu]\!]$ a. s. □

4 Deterministic lookdown genealogies

In Section 4.1, we construct the lookdown space from the genealogy at time 0 and from a deterministic point measure that encodes the reproduction events. Moreover, we define the matrices of the genealogical distances between the individuals at each time, and we

introduce a decomposition of the genealogical distances which we encode by marked distance matrices. In Section 4.2, we characterize the evolution of the genealogical distances and of the marked distance matrices by integral equations. Stochastic equations for the lookdown model, in particular for the ancestral level and the type process, are studied in the articles of Donnelly and Kurtz [12] and Birkner et al. [6].

4.1 Genealogical distances and the lookdown space

A simple point measure is a purely atomic measure whose atoms all have mass 1. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the space of simple point measures η on $(0,\infty)\times\mathcal{P}$ that satisfy $\eta((0,t]\times\mathcal{P}_n)<\infty$ for all $t\in(0,\infty)$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Let $\eta\in\mathcal{N}$. The point measure η encodes the reproduction events in a realization of the lookdown model. In this model, there are countably infinitely many levels which are labeled by $\mathbb{N}$; each level is occupied by one particle at each time $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$. The interpretation of a point (t,π) of η is that the following reproduction event occurs at time t: First the particles on the levels $i\in\mathbb{N}$ with $i>[\![\#\pi]\!]$ are removed. Then for each $i\in[\![\#\pi]\!]$, the particle on level i moves to level $\min B(\pi,i)$ and has offspring on all other levels in $B(\pi,i)$. This can be seen as the population model which underlies the flow of partitions in [18]. If for each point (t,π) of η, the partition π contains only one non-singleton block, there are no simultaneous multiple reproduction events.

For all $0\le s\le t$, each particle at time t has an ancestor at time s. We denote by $A_s(t,i)$ the level of the ancestor at time s of the particle on level i at time t such that $s\mapsto A_s(t,i)$ is càdlàg. We define individuals as elements of the set $\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{N}$, we call $(t,i)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{N}$ the individual on level i at time t. We define $(s,A_s(t,i))$ as the ancestor at time s of the individual (t,i). The ancestral level can also be defined in terms of integral equations. For all $\pi\in\mathcal{P}$ and $i\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique $\alpha(\pi,i)\in\mathbb{N}$ with $\pi(i)=B(\pi,\alpha(\pi,i))$. Clearly, for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\pi\in\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{P}_n$, it holds $\alpha(\pi,i)=i$ for all $i\in[\![n]\!]$. Let $(t,i)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{N}$ and let $(L_s,s\in[0,t])$ be the càdlàg solution of the integral equation

$$L_s=i-\int_{[t-s,t]\times\mathcal{P}}(\alpha(\pi,L_{v-})-L_{v-})\,\eta(d(t-v)\,d\pi),$$

with $L_{0-}=i$. By the assumption that $\eta\in\mathcal{N}$, the solution must be piecewise constant, existence and uniqueness follow as the equation determines the jumps. Then we set $A_s(t,i)=L_{(t-s)-}$ for $s\in[0,t]$.

In later parts of this article, we also need the quantity $D_t(s,i)$ which we define for $(s,i)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{N}$ and $t\in[s,\infty)$ as the lowest level that is occupied at time t by a descendant of the individual (s,i), that is

$$D_t(s,i)=\inf\{j\in\mathbb{N}:A_s(t,j)=i\}$$

with $D_t(s,i)=\infty$ if and only if $i\notin A_s(t,\mathbb{N})$. See also [32] for the forward level process and lines of ascent in the lookdown graph. The map $t\mapsto D_t(s,i)$ is non-decreasing and right continuous. For $t\in(s,\infty)$, we denote the left limits by $D_{t-}(s,i)=\lim_{t'\uparrow t}D_{t'}(s,i)$. By construction, $i\le j$ implies $D_t(s,i)\le D_t(s,j)$ for all $t\in[s,\infty)$, that is, the lines of ascent do not cross. In particular, it holds

$$A_s(t,\mathbb{N})=[\![\#A_s(t,\mathbb{N})]\!] \tag{4}$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. Moreover, for $\tau = \inf\{t \in [s, \infty) : D_t(s, i) = \infty\}$, the following implication holds by right continuity of $t \mapsto D_t(s, i)$: If it holds both $\tau < \infty$ and $D_{\tau-}(s, i) < \infty$, then there exists $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\#\pi < \infty$ and $\eta\{(\tau, \pi)\} > 0$. This will be crucial in the proof of Proposition 8. Particles can also be removed due to accumulations of finite jumps of $t \mapsto D_t(s, i)$, then it holds $D_{\tau-} = \infty$.

Remark 2. A lookdown model with a reproduction mechanism that is different in the case with simultaneous multiple reproduction events is studied by Birkner et al. [6]. In the encoding by the point measure η, a point (t, π) of η corresponds to the following reproduction event at time t: Let $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots$ be the increasing enumeration of $\pi(0) \cup (\mathbb{N} \setminus M(\pi))$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the particle on level i_j moves to the j-th lowest level in $\pi(0)$ if this level exists, else the particle is removed. For each non-singleton block $B \in \pi$, the particle on level $\min B$ remains on its level and has one offspring on each level in $B \setminus \{\min B\}$. Here the lines of ascent may cross: In a reproduction event encoded by a point (t, π) of η with $1 \sim_\pi 2$, $3 \in M(\pi)$, $\{3\} \notin \pi$, and $\{4\} \in \pi$, there exists $s \in (0, t)$ such that the individual $(s, 3)$ is the ancestor at time s of the individual $(t, 3)$, and $(s, 2)$ is the ancestor at time s of $(t, 4)$. This lookdown model is addressed in Remark 7 in Section 6.

We are interested in the genealogical distances between all individuals which may live also at different times. Let $\underline{\underline{\rho}} = (\rho_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{D}$. For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we interpret $\rho_{i,j}$ as the genealogical distance between the individuals $(0, i)$ and $(0, j)$. We define the genealogical distance between two individuals $(s, i) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ and $(t, j) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\rho((s,i),(t,j)) = \begin{cases} s + t - 2\sup\{r \leq s \wedge t : A_r(s,i) = A_r(t,j)\} & \text{if } A_0(s,i) = A_0(t,j) \\ s + t + \rho_{A_0(s,i),A_0(t,j)} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then ρ is a semi-metric on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we denote the matrix of the genealogical distances between the individuals at time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t) = (\rho((t,i),(t,j)))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

It holds $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, 0) = \underline{\underline{\rho}}$. We will refer to this construction through the map

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} : \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{D}, \quad (\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t) \mapsto \boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t).$$

Let us interject at this point that we will call the metric space obtained as the completion of the semi-metric space $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}, \rho)$ the lookdown space associated with η and $(\underline{\underline{\rho}}, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$. By now, we have discussed everything we need from this subsection to construct the tree-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process in the case without dust and the tree-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent. We continue by decomposing genealogical distances.

Let $R = (\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}$, we assume $\underline{\underline{\rho}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(R)$, that is, R encodes a decomposition of the genealogical distances at time 0 which are given by $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$. For each time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define a marked distance matrix

$$\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t) = (\underline{\underline{r}}_t, \underline{u}_t) = ((r_{t,i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}, (u_{t,i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \mathbb{D}$$

as follows: For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$u_{t,i} = t - \sup\{s \in (0, t] : \eta(\{s\} \times \mathcal{P}(A_s(t, i))) > 0\}$$

if for some $s \in (0,t]$, it holds $\eta(\{s\} \times \mathcal{P}(A_s(t,i))) > 0$, else we set

$$u_{t,i} = t + u_{A_0(t,i)},$$

where we introduce the notation $\mathcal{P}(j) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P} : \{j\} \notin \pi\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we define

$$r_{t,i,j} = ((\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t))_{i,j} - u_{t,i} - u_{t,j})\, \mathbf{1}\{j \neq i\}$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. It holds $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, 0) = R$. We will refer to this construction through the map

$$\mathbf{R} : \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{D}, \quad (\eta, R, t) \mapsto \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t).$$

We obtain $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t))$. The quantity $u_{t,i}$ is the time back from the individual (t,i) until the ancestral lineage is involved in a reproduction event in which it belongs to a non-singleton block, if there is such an event, else $u_{t,i}$ is defined from the given marks at time 0. We will use later in particular that $u_{t,i} \geq t - s$ implies $A_s(t,i) \neq A_s(t,j)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $i \neq j$ and $s \leq t$. In Corollary 2 in Section 6, we show the relation of the decomposition given by the marked distance matrix $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ to external branches of genealogical trees.

By construction and as $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the path $t \mapsto \gamma_n(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t))$ jumps at the times $s \in (0, \infty)$ with $\eta(\{s\} \times \mathcal{P}_n) > 0$, and between these jumps, the distances $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t))_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in [\![n]\!]$ with $i \neq j$ grow linearly in t with slope 2. It follows that the path $t \mapsto \boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t)$ is càdlàg. We denote the left limit at a time $t \in (0, \infty)$ by $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t-) = \lim_{s \uparrow t} \boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, s)$. A further implication of $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ is that the left limits $u_{t-,i} = \lim_{s \uparrow t} u_{s,i}$ exist for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if there exists $s \in (0,t)$ with $\eta([s,t) \times (\mathcal{P}(i) \cup \mathcal{P}_i)) = 0$, then it holds $u_{s,i} + t - s = u_{t-,i}$, else it holds $u_{t-,i} = 0$. It follows that also the left limits $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t-) := \lim_{s \uparrow t} \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, s)$ exist for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

We want to embed the metric space obtained from $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}, \rho)$ into a complete and separable metric space (Z, ρ) and assign to each individual $(t,i) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ an element $z(t,i) \in Z$ such that

$$\rho((t,i), z(t,i)) = u_{t,i}$$

and

$$\rho(z(t,i), z(t,j)) = r_{t,i,j}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $u_{t,i} < t$, we can set

$$z(t,i) = (t - u_{t,i}, A_{t-u_{t,i}}(t,i)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N},$$

else we set

$$z(t,i) = A_0(t,i) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we endow the space $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}) \sqcup \mathbb{N}$, that is, the disjoint union of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}$, with an extension of the semi-metric ρ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ which we define by

$$\rho(i,j) = r_{i,j}$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\rho((t,i), j) = t + u_{A_0(t,i)} + r_{A_0(t,i),j}$$



Figure 2: Part of a lookdown space. The space $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ is represented in the upper part of the figure. Time goes upwards and levels go from the left to the right. In the lower part, the metric space obtained from $\mathbb{N}$, endowed with the semi-metric obtained from $\underline{\underline{r}}$ is symbolized. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the junction between the individual $(0, i)$ and its ancestor i has length u_i. Individuals that are in the same block in a reproduction event have genealogical distance zero and are identified. In the figure, they are connected by horizontal lines. In this example, there are no simultaneous multiple reproduction events. The genealogical distances between the individuals (t, i) and their respective ancestors $z(t, i)$ equal $u_{t,i}$, they are represented by red lines. The genealogical distance between any two individuals is the sum of the lengths of the vertical parts of the path from one individual to the other, plus the distance in the metric space obtained from $\underline{\underline{r}}$ if this space has to be traversed.

for $(t, i) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We identify the elements with ρ-distance zero and take the completion which we denote by (Z, ρ). We call (Z, ρ) the lookdown space associated with η and R. Illustrations of lookdown spaces are given in Figures 2 and 3.

Note that for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the limits $(t-, i) := \lim_{s \uparrow t}(s, i)$ and $z(t-, i) := \lim_{s \uparrow t} z(s, i)$ exist in Z. For $0 \leq s < t \leq v$, we write $A_s(t-, i) = \lim_{s' \uparrow t} A_s(s', i)$ and $A_{t-}(v, i) = \lim_{s' \uparrow t} A_{s'}(v, i)$.

Remark 3. If the semi-metric on $\mathbb{N}$ obtained from a distance matrix $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}$ yields an ultrametric space when elements with distance zero are identified, then also the distance matrices $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ have this property. If the marked distance matrix $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies that the metric space obtained from $\mathbb{N}$ endowed with the semi-metric obtained from $\underline{\underline{r}}$ is 0-hyperbolic, then this also holds for the marked distance matrices $\mathbf{R}(\eta, (\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}), t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In this case, (Z, ρ) itself is 0-hyperbolic.



Figure 3: Part of a lookdown space. Here it holds $u_{t,i} = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $(t, i) = z(t, i)$ and $(0, i) = i$. Only the reproduction events with offspring on the first 6 levels are drawn. At time t, a reproduction event occurs in which the whole population is replaced by the offspring of the individual on level 1. The limits $(t-, i)$ for $i \geq 2$ are part of the boundary of the lookdown space. Due to accumulations of jumps, the lines $t' \mapsto D_{t'}(s', i)$ may hit infinity, here symbolized by a dashed line, similarly to illustrations of the lookdown graph in [32]. This occurs for instance at time s, the limit $\lim_{r \uparrow s} D_r(0, 3)$ is part of the boundary. Further elements of the boundary are obtained from Cauchy sequences at fixed times.

4.2 Integral equations

We give alternative characterizations of the family of distance matrices $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and the family of marked distance matrices $(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ from the last subsection. We will analyze these families for a Poisson random measure η in Section 5.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}^n$ the set of systems of nonempty disjoint subsets of $[\![n]\!]$. We say $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^n$ are equivalent if $\{B \in \sigma : \#B \geq 2\} = \{B \in \sigma' : \#B \geq 2\}$. We write $\sigma \sim \sigma'$ in this case. We now define functions $\boldsymbol{\pi}_n : \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{P}^n$ and $\alpha : \mathcal{S}^n \times [\![n]\!] \to [\![n]\!]$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n \supset \mathcal{P}^n$, let $\boldsymbol{\pi}_n(\sigma)$ be the unique partition in $\mathcal{P}^n$ with $\sigma \sim \boldsymbol{\pi}_n(\sigma)$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n$ and $i \in [\![n]\!]$, let $\alpha(\sigma, i)$ be the unique integer with $i \in B(\boldsymbol{\pi}_n(\sigma), \alpha(\sigma, i))$. Obviously, $\alpha(\sigma, i)$ depends only on $\boldsymbol{\pi}_n(\sigma)$ and i.

We also define the function

$$\iota_1 : \mathcal{S}^n \to (\mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}^n), \quad \sigma \mapsto (\underline{\underline{\rho}} \mapsto (\rho_{\alpha(\sigma,i),\alpha(\sigma,j)})_{i,j \in [\![n]\!]}).$$

Clearly, for $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^n$ with $\sigma \sim \sigma'$, it holds $\iota_1(\sigma) = \iota_1(\sigma')$. Moreover, we define the function $\iota_2 : \mathcal{S}^n \to (\mathbb{D}^n \to \mathbb{D}^n)$ which maps σ to the function $(\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u}) \mapsto (\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{u}')$ where

$$u'_i = u_{\alpha(\sigma,i)} \, \mathbf{1}\{i \notin \cup\sigma\}$$

and

$$r'_{i,j} = \big(u_{\alpha(\sigma,i)} \, \mathbf{1}\{i \in \cup\sigma\} + r_{\alpha(\sigma,i),\alpha(\sigma,j)} + u_{\alpha(\sigma,j)} \, \mathbf{1}\{j \in \cup\sigma\}\big) \, \mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\}$$

for $i, j \in [\![n]\!]$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n$, we also write σ instead of $\iota_1(\sigma)$ or $\iota_2(\sigma)$ to simplify notation. Clearly, $\sigma \sim \emptyset$ implies $\sigma(\underline{\underline{\rho}}) = \underline{\underline{\rho}}$ for all $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}^n$, and $\sigma = \emptyset$ implies $\sigma(R) = R$ for all $R \in \mathbb{D}^n$.

Sometimes we prefer to work with the finite set $\mathcal{S}^n$ rather than with $\mathcal{P}$. Therefore, we define the function

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{S}^n, \quad \pi \mapsto \{B \cap [\![n]\!] : B \in \pi, \#B \geq 2\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

For all $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}$, $R \in \mathbb{D}$, and each point (s, π) of $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$, it holds

$$\gamma_n(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, s)) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, s-)))$$

and

$$\gamma_n(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, s)) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, s-))).$$

We have $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\pi \in \mathcal{P} : \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi) \sim \emptyset\}$. It follows from the properties of the path $t \mapsto \boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t)$ discussed in Section 4.1 that $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the unique solution $(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of the system of integral equations

$$\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t) = \gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}) + \int_{(0,t]\times\mathcal{P}} \big(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{s-})) - \gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{s-})\big)\eta(ds\, d\pi) + \underline{\underline{2}}_n t \tag{5}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\underline{\underline{2}}_n = 2(\mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}$.

We define

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}} = \{\eta \in \mathcal{N} : \eta((0,t] \times \mathcal{P}_{(n)}) < \infty \text{ for all } t \in (0,\infty), n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We have $\mathcal{P}_{(n)} = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P} : \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi) \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we write $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t) = ((r_{t,i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}, (u_{t,i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}})$. As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, the path $t \mapsto \gamma_n(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t))$ jumps at the times $s \in (0,\infty)$ with $\eta(\{s\} \times \mathcal{P}_{(n)}) > 0$. Between the jumps, the matrix $(r_{t,i,j})_{i,j\in[\![n]\!]}$ is constant in t and the entries of the vector $(u_{t,i})_{i\in[\![n]\!]}$ grow linearly with slope 1. It follows that the map $t \mapsto \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ is càdlàg, and $(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the unique solution $(R_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of the system of integral equations

$$\gamma_n(R_t) = \gamma_n(R) + \int_{(0,t]\times\mathcal{P}} \big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(R_{s-})) - \gamma_n(R_{s-})\big)\eta(ds\, d\pi) + (0, \underline{1}_n)t \tag{6}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\underline{1}_n$ denotes the vector in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ whose entries are all 1.

4.3 A model in two-sided time

In the population model from Section 4.1, we can use $\mathbb{R}$ as the time axis instead of $\mathbb{R}_+$. Let η be a simple point measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}$ that satisfies $\eta([s,t] \times \mathcal{P}_n) < \infty$ for all $s \leq t$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let again $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of levels. We interpret the points (t, π) of η in the same way as in Section 4.1. There is no difference in the definition of the ancestral level $A_s(t,i)$, except that all $s \leq t$ are now allowed. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\eta, t) = (\rho'_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$\rho'_{i,j} = 2t - 2\sup\{s \in (-\infty, t] : A_s(t,i) = A_s(t,j)\}$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\eta, t) = ((r'_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}, (u'_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}})$ where u'_i is the time back from the individual (t,i) until the ancestral lineage is involved in a reproduction event,

$$u'_i = t - \sup\{s \in (-\infty, t] : \eta(s, \mathcal{P}(A_s(t,i))) > 0\},$$

and $r'_{i,j}$ is 0 or a genealogical distance between ancestors at depths u'_i and u'_j,

$$r'_{i,j} = \left(\rho'_{i,j} - u'_i - u'_j\right) \mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\}\,.$$

Thereby we impose the further assumption on η that these quantities be finite. Then it holds $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\eta, t) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\eta, t) \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ the space of the point measures η that satisfy these assumptions. We refer to this construction through the maps $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} : \hat{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{D}$, $(\eta, t) \mapsto \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\eta, t)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{R}} : \hat{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{D}$, $(\eta, t) \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\eta, t)$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\eta \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}$, we obtain an ultrametric space from the semi-metric on $\mathbb{N}$ given by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\eta, t)$.

5 Evolution of genealogical distances in the look-down model

The population model discussed in Section 4.1 and the integral equations from Section 4.2 will be driven by a Poisson random measure η which we discuss in Section 5.2. We describe the resulting stochastic processes $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ by martingale problems in Section 5.3. In Section 5.4, we show exchangeability properties of the marked distance matrix $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, \tau)$ for various stopping times τ. The argument builds on the preservation of exchangeability properties in a single reproduction event, this is discussed in Section 5.1 below. Preservation of exchangeability of type configurations is studied in [6, 12].

5.1 Preservation of exchangeability properties in a single reproduction event

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the action of the group S_n on $\mathcal{S}^n$ by $p(\sigma) = \{p(B) : B \in \sigma\}$ for $p \in S_n$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n$. We define the action of the group S_∞ on $\mathcal{P}$ by $p(\pi) = \{p(B) : B \in \pi\}$ for $p \in S_\infty$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$. We say a random variable σ in $\mathcal{S}^n$ is exchangeable if the equality in distribution $p(\sigma) \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma$ holds for all $p \in S_n$. Similarly, a random variable π in $\mathcal{P}$ is called exchangeable if $p(\pi) \stackrel{d}{=} \pi$ for all $p \in S_\infty$. For instance, if a random partition π in $\mathcal{P}$ is exchangeable, then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)$ is exchangeable.

For $b, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $b + n \geq 1$, we define a subgroup $S_{b,n}$ of S_{b+n} by

$$S_{b,n} = \{p \in S_{b+n} : p(i) = i \text{ for all } i \in [\![b]\!]\}.$$

For $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define the map $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_b : \mathcal{S}^{b+n} \to \mathcal{S}^b$, $\sigma \mapsto \{B \cap [\![b]\!] : B \in \sigma\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. We set

$$\mathcal{S}^{b,n} = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^{b+n} : \boldsymbol{\gamma}_b(\sigma) \sim \emptyset\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\mathcal{S}^{0,n} = \mathcal{S}^n$.

For $b, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $b + n \geq 1$, we say a random variable R with values in $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}$ is jointly (b, n)-exchangeable if $p(R) \stackrel{d}{=} R$ for all $p \in S_{b,n}$. If $b = 0$, this random variable is called jointly exchangeable. Similarly, we say a random variable σ with values in $\mathcal{S}^{b+n}$ is (b, n)-exchangeable if it holds $p(\sigma) \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma$ for all $p \in S_{b,n}$. We say a random variable R in $\mathbb{D}$ is jointly (b, ∞)-exchangeable if $\gamma_{b+n}(R)$ is jointly (b, n)-exchangeable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let R be a jointly (b,n)-exchangeable random variable with values in $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}$ and let σ be an independent (b,n)-exchangeable random variable with values in $\mathcal{S}^{b,n}$. Then $\sigma(R)$ is jointly (b,n)-exchangeable.*

In the special case $b = 0$, Lemma 1 states that $\sigma(R)$ is jointly exchangeable if R is jointly exchangeable and σ is exchangeable. This can be seen as a generalization of Lemma 4.3 of Bertoin [3].

Proof. For all $p \in S_{b+n}$, $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^{b+n}$, and $i, j \in [\![b+n]\!]$, it holds

$$\alpha(\sigma', i) = \alpha(\sigma', j) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha(p(\sigma'), p(i)) = \alpha(p(\sigma'), p(j)).$$

Hence, there exists a map

$$f : \mathcal{S}^{b+n} \times S_{b+n} \to S_{b+n}, \quad (\sigma', p) \mapsto f(\sigma', p)$$

that satisfies

$$\alpha(\sigma', i) = f(\sigma', p)\,(\alpha(p(\sigma'), p(i))) \tag{7}$$

for all $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^{b+n}$, $p \in S_{b+n}$, and $i \in [\![b+n]\!]$. For all $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^{b+n}$, $p \in S_{b+n}$, and $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}^{b+n}$, the definition of the function ι_1 in Section 4.1 and equation (7) imply

$$\sigma'(\underline{\underline{\rho}}) = p\left(p(\sigma')\left(f(\sigma', p)(\underline{\underline{\rho}})\right)\right). \tag{8}$$

Let $(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) \in \mathbb{D}^{b+n}$ and $\underline{\underline{\rho}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\underline{r}, \underline{u})$. By definition of ι_2, it holds $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\sigma'(\underline{r}, \underline{u})) = \sigma'(\underline{\underline{\rho}})$. Writing $\sigma'(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) = (\underline{r}', \underline{u}')$, we thus obtain $\underline{r}' = (((\sigma'(\underline{\underline{\rho}}))_{i,j} - u'_i - u'_j)\,\mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\})_{i,j \in [\![b+n]\!]}$. Using equation (8), the definition of ι_2, equation (7), and the equivalence $i \in \cup\sigma' \Leftrightarrow p(i) \in \cup p(\sigma')$, it is easily checked that it holds

$$\sigma'(\underline{r}, \underline{u}) = p\left(p(\sigma')\left(f(\sigma', p)(\underline{r}, \underline{u})\right)\right). \tag{9}$$

Now let $p \in S_{b,n}$ and $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^{b,n}$. Then it holds $p(\sigma') \in \mathcal{S}^{b,n}$. This implies $\alpha(\sigma', i) = \alpha(p(\sigma'), p(i)) = i$ for all $i \in [\![b]\!]$, hence $f(\sigma', p) \in S_{b,n}$.

By assumption, it holds $p(\sigma) \overset{d}{=} \sigma$. Independence of σ and R implies $f(\sigma, p)(R) \overset{d}{=} R$. As it also holds $f(\sigma', p)(R) \overset{d}{=} R$ for all $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}^{b,n}$, it follows that $f(\sigma, p)(R)$ and σ are independent. This implies $\sigma(R) \overset{d}{=} p(\sigma)\,(f(\sigma, p)(R))$ and the assertion follows from equation (9). □

Lemma 2. *Let $b, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $i, j \in [\![b+1]\!]$ with $i < j$, and let $\sigma = \{\{i, j\}\} \in \mathcal{S}^{b+n}$. Let R be a jointly (b,n)-exchangeable random variable with values in $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}$. Then $\sigma(R)$ is jointly $(b+1, n-1)$-exchangeable.*

Proof. For all $k \in [\![b+n]\!]$, it holds

$$\alpha(\sigma, k) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k < j \\ i & \text{if } k = j \\ k-1 & \text{if } k > j. \end{cases}$$

Let $p \in S_{b+1,n-1}$. Then it holds

$$\alpha(\sigma, p^{-1}(k)) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\sigma, k) & \text{if } k \leq b+1 \\ p^{-1}(k) - 1 & \text{if } k > b+1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{p} \in S_{b,n}$ such that $\tilde{p}(k-1) = p^{-1}(k) - 1$ for all $k \in [\![b+n]\!]$ with $k > b+1$. Then it holds

$$\alpha(\sigma, p^{-1}(k)) = \tilde{p}(\alpha(\sigma, k))$$

for all $k \in [\![b+n]\!]$. Using the equivalence

$$k \in \cup\sigma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p(k) \in \cup\sigma$$

for all $k \in [\![b+n]\!]$, we deduce as in the proof of Lemma 1 that it holds $\sigma(R) = p(\sigma(\tilde{p}(R)))$. The assertion follows as it holds $\tilde{p}(R) \stackrel{d}{=} R$. □

5.2 The Ξ-lookdown model

Kingman's correspondence is a one-to-one correspondence between the distributions of exchangeable random partitions of $\mathbb{N}$ and the probability measures on the simplex

$$\Delta = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) : x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \ldots \geq 0, |x|_1 \leq 1\},$$

where $|x|_p = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i^p\right)^{1/p}$. Every $x \in \Delta$ can be interpreted as a partition of $[0,1]$ into subintervals of lengths $x_1, x_2, \ldots$, and possibly another interval of length $1 - |x|_1$ which may be called the dust interval. Let $U_1, U_2, \ldots$ be iid uniform random variables with values in $[0,1]$. The paintbox partition associated with x is the exchangeable random partition of $\mathbb{N}$ where two different integers i and j are in the same block if and only if U_i and U_j fall into a common subinterval that is not the dust interval. This construction defines a probability kernel κ from Δ to $\mathcal{P}$. Conversely, every exchangeable random partition π in $\mathcal{P}$ has distribution $\int_\Delta \nu(dx)\kappa(x, \cdot)$ for some distribution ν on Δ. Here x is the random vector in Δ of the asymptotic frequencies of the blocks of π.

We now define a Poisson random measure η on $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{P}$ for which we refer to Schweinsberg [37] and Foucart [18]. This Poisson random measure will drive the population model from Section 4.1. We denote the space of finite measures on Δ by $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{f}}(\Delta)$. Let $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{f}}(\Delta)$ be arbitrary, we decompose $\Xi = \Xi_0 + a\delta_0$ where $a = \Xi\{0\}$. For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$, we denote by $\pi(i,j)$ the partition in $\mathcal{P}$ that contains the block $\{i,j\}$ and apart from that only singleton blocks. We define a σ-finite measure H_Ξ on $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$H_\Xi(d\pi) = \int_\Delta \kappa(x, d\pi)\, |x|_2^{-2}\, \Xi_0(dx) + a \sum_{1 \leq i < j} \delta_{\pi(i,j)}(d\pi).$$

From now on, let η always be a Poisson random measure on $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{P}$ with intensity $dt\ H_\Xi(d\pi)$.

We may construct the Poisson random measure η in two steps. First, we define a Poisson random measure ξ_0 on $(0, \infty) \times \Delta$ with intensity $dt\ |x|_2^{-2}\, \Xi_0(dx)$. Assume

$\Xi_0(\Delta) > 0$. Let (τ^i, y^i) for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be ξ_0-measurable random variables with values in $(0,\infty) \times \Delta$ such that

$$\xi_0 = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{(\tau^i, y^i)} \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Let π^i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be $\mathcal{P}$-valued random variables that are conditionally independent given $((\tau^i, y^i), i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that π^i has conditional distribution $\kappa(y^i, \cdot)$ given $((\tau^i, y^i), i \in \mathbb{N})$ Then a Poisson random measure η_0 on $(0,\infty) \times \mathcal{P}$ is given by

$$\eta_0 = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{(\tau^i, \pi^i)}.$$

In case $\Xi_0(\Delta) = 0$, we set $\eta_0 = 0$. We define an independent Poisson random measure η_{K} on $(0,\infty) \times \mathcal{P}$ with intensity

$$dt\; a \sum_{1\le i<j} \delta_{\pi(i,j)}(d\pi).$$

By the properties of Poisson random measures, $\eta_0 + \eta_{\mathrm{K}}$ is a Poisson random measure with intensity $dt\; H_\Xi(d\pi)$. We may assume $\eta = \eta_0 + \eta_{\mathrm{K}}$, then it holds

$$\xi_0 = \sum_{\substack{(t,\pi)\in(0,\infty)\times\mathcal{P}:\\ \eta\{(t,\pi)\}>0,\\ |\pi|^\downarrow\neq 0}} \delta_{(t,|\pi|^\downarrow)} \quad \text{a. s.},$$

where for $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$, we denote by $|\pi|^\downarrow$ the vector in Δ of the asymptotic frequencies of the blocks of π provided they exist.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, the Poisson process $(\eta((0,t] \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n^{-1}(\sigma)), t \in (0,\infty))$, which will count the reproduction events encoded by a partition in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n^{-1}(\sigma)$, has rate

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{n,\sigma} :=& H_\Xi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n^{-1}(\sigma)) \\
=& \int_\Delta \kappa(x, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n^{-1}(\sigma))\, |x|_2^{-2}\, \Xi_0(dx) + a \sum_{1\le i<j} \mathbf{1}\big\{\pi(i,j) \in \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n^{-1}(\sigma)\big\} \\
=& \int_\Delta \sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_\ell\\ \text{pairwise distinct}}} x_{i_1}^{k_1} \cdots x_{i_\ell}^{k_\ell} (1 - |x|_1)^{n-k_1-\dots-k_\ell} |x|_2^{-2} \Xi_0(dx) \\
&+ a\, \mathbf{1}\{\ell = 1, k_1 = 2\} + \infty\, \mathbf{1}\{a > 0, \ell = 1, k_1 = 1\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\ell = \#\sigma$, and $k_1, \dots, k_\ell \ge 1$ are the sizes of the subsets in σ in arbitrary order. For the last equality, we consider the paintbox partition π associated with $x \in \Delta$. In the context from the beginning of this subsection, $i, j \in [\![n]\!]$ are elements of a common subset in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)$ if and only if U_i and U_j fall into a common subinterval that is not the dust interval. In particular, $i \notin \cup\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)$ if and only if U_i falls into the dust interval.

For $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n'$, the Poisson process $(\eta((0,t] \times \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^{-1}(\pi)), t \in (0,\infty))$, whose jump times will be the times of reproduction events encoded by a partition in $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^{-1}(\pi)$, has rate

$$\lambda_\pi := H_\Xi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^{-1}(\pi)) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}^n:\\ \sigma\sim\pi}} \lambda_{n,\sigma}.$$

Remark 4. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{P}'_n$, let $k_1, \ldots, k_r \geq 2$ be the sizes of the non-singleton blocks of π in arbitrary order, an let $s = n - k_1 - \ldots - k_r$. Then it holds $\lambda_\pi = \lambda_{n;k_1,\ldots,k_r;s}$ in the notation of Schweinsberg [37] on the right-hand side.

From

$$\lambda_{\{\{1,2\}\}} = \int_\Delta |x|_2^2 \, |x|_2^{-2} \, \Xi_0(dx) + a = \Xi(\Delta) < \infty,$$

it follows $\lambda_\pi < \infty$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{P}'_n$. This implies $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ a. s. From now on, we always consider the population model from Section 4 driven by the Poisson random measure η. We may now call it the Ξ-lookdown model. The times at which two fixed levels are in the same block in a reproduction event are the jump times of a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_{\{\{1,2\}\}}$.

Remark 5. If Ξ is concentrated on $\{x \in \Delta : x_2 = 0\}$, then a. s., no simultaneous multiple reproduction events occur. The measure Ξ is then determined by the finite measure $\Lambda(dx_1) = \varpi_1(\Xi)(dx_1)$ where $\varpi_1 : \Delta \to [0,1]$, $x \mapsto x_1$. We decompose $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + a\delta_0$ with $a = \Lambda\{0\}$. In the particular case that $\Xi = \delta_0$, there are a. s. only binary reproduction events. In the case without simultaneous multiple reproduction events, it holds

$$\lambda_{n,\sigma} = \int_{[0,1]} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} x^{-2} \Lambda_0(dx) + a \, \mathbf{1}\{k = 2\} + \infty \, \mathbf{1}\{a > 0, k = 1\}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $\sigma = \{B\}$ and $k = \#B$. The rates $\lambda_{n,\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n$ with $\#\sigma > 1$ are equal to zero.

Furthermore,

$$\lambda_{1,\{\{1\}\}} = \int_\Delta |x|_1 \, |x|_2^{-2} \Xi_0(dx) + \infty \, \mathbf{1}\{a > 0\}$$

is the rate at which a fixed level is in a non-singleton block in a reproduction event. Let $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ be the subset of $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{f}}(\Delta)$ such that $\lambda_{1,\{\{1\}\}}$ is finite if $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, we say the Ξ-lookdown model contains dust. We set $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}} = \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{f}}(\Delta) \setminus \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. Clearly, $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ implies $\Xi\{0\} = 0$.

In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, it follows from $\lambda_{1,\{\{1\}\}} < \infty$ that $\lambda_{n,\sigma} < \infty$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Thus, $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ implies $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ a. s. In contrast, $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$ implies $\underline{u}_t = 0$ for all $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $R \in \mathbb{D}$ a. s., where $(\underline{\underline{r}}_t, \underline{u}_t) = \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$. In any case, the path $t \mapsto \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ is càdlàg a. s. The lookdown space in Figure 2 could be the lookdown space associated with a typical realization of an appropriate Poisson random measure η with $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ and $R \in \mathbb{D}$. Figure 3 illustrates an example with $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$ and $R = (\underline{\underline{\rho}}, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$.

5.3 Martingale problems

For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $\mathcal{K}_t$ be the sigma-algebra generated by $\eta(\cdot \cap ((0,t] \times \mathcal{P}))$ and all null events, this defines a filtration $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{K}_s, s \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. We denote by η^t the random point measure on $(0,\infty) \times \mathcal{P}$ with

$$\eta^t = \sum_{\substack{(s,\pi) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathcal{P}: \\ \eta\{(t+s,\pi)\} > 0}} \delta_{(s,\pi)}.$$

For every a. s. finite $\mathcal{K}$-stopping time τ, the point measure η^τ is distributed as η and independent of $\mathcal{K}_\tau$. We will call this the strong Markov property of the Poisson random measure η, it is a well-known property of Poisson random measures.

We show that for arbitrary $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $R \in \mathbb{D}$, the stochastic processes $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ are Markovian. Let $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By construction, we have

$$\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t+s) = \mathbf{R}(\eta^t, \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), s),$$

and $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ is $\mathcal{K}_t$-measurable. By the properties of conditional expectations, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbf{R}(\eta^t, \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), s))|\mathcal{K}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbf{R}(\eta^t, \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), s))|\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)] \quad \text{a. s.}$$

for measurable $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$. This yields the Markov property of $(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. The Markov property of $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ can be seen analogously.

Now we describe these processes by martingale problems.

Definition 1 (Martingale problem)**.** *Let E be a metric space, $\mathscr{D}$ a subspace of the space of bounded continuous functions $E \to \mathbb{R}$, and $\Omega : \mathscr{D} \to M_{\mathrm{b}}(E)$ a linear operator. The law of a stochastic process $(X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ with values in E and càdlàg paths is a solution of the martingale problem $(\Omega, \mathscr{D})$ if for all $f \in \mathscr{D}$ the process*

$$f(X_t) - \int_0^t \Omega f(X_s) ds$$

is a martingale with respect to the natural filtration of $(X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$.

For martingale problems, we refer to the monograph of Ethier and Kurtz [13].

We denote by $\underline{1}$ the vector in $\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ whose entries are all 1, and we write

$$\underline{\underline{2}} = 2(\mathbf{1}\{i \neq j\})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

In the following Propositions, we use for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $\underline{\underline{\rho}}' \in \mathcal{D}$ the notation

$$\langle \nabla \phi, \underline{\underline{2}} \rangle (\underline{\underline{\rho}}') = 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho'_{i,j}} \phi(\underline{\underline{\rho}}'),$$

and for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') \in \mathbb{D}$ the notations

$$\langle \nabla^{\underline{\underline{r}}'} \phi, \underline{\underline{2}} \rangle (\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') = 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \neq j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r'_{i,j}} \phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}')$$

and

$$\langle \nabla^{\underline{\underline{u}}'} \phi, \underline{1} \rangle (\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u'_i} \phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}').$$

Proposition 6. *Define an operator* $\Omega_1 = \Omega_1^{\mathrm{grow}} + \Omega_1^{\mathrm{repr}}$ *with domain* $\mathcal{C}'$ *by*

$$\Omega_1^{\mathrm{grow}}\phi(\underline{\underline{\rho}}') = \langle \nabla\phi, \underline{\underline{2}}\rangle(\underline{\underline{\rho}}')$$

and

$$\Omega_1^{\mathrm{repr}}\phi(\underline{\underline{\rho}}') = \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_n'} \lambda_\pi(\phi(\pi(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{\rho}}'))) - \phi(\underline{\underline{\rho}}'))$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n'$, *and* $\underline{\underline{\rho}}' \in \mathcal{D}$. *Then for each* $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \in \mathcal{D}$, *the stochastic process* $(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ *solves the martingale problem* $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{C}')$ *with initial state* $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$.

Proposition 7. *Assume* $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. *Define an operator* $\Omega_2 = \Omega_2^{\mathrm{grow}} + \Omega_2^{\mathrm{repr}}$ *with domain* $\mathcal{C}$ *by*

$$\Omega_2^{\mathrm{grow}}\phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') = \langle \nabla^{\underline{\underline{u}}'}\phi, \underline{\underline{1}}\rangle(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}')$$

and

$$\Omega_2^{\mathrm{repr}}\phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}^n\setminus\{\emptyset\}} \lambda_{n,\sigma}(\phi(\sigma(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}'))) - \phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}'))$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$ *and* $(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') \in \mathbb{D}$. *Then for each* $R \in \mathbb{D}$, *the stochastic process* $(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ *solves the martingale problem* $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{C})$ *with initial state* R.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$. By definition of $\lambda_{n,\sigma}$, it holds

$$\Omega_2^{\mathrm{repr}}\phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\phi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}'))) - \phi(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}')\right) H_\Xi(d\pi)$$

for all $(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}') \in \mathbb{D}$. As it also holds

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}')) = \gamma_n(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n'}(\pi)(\gamma_{n'}(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{\underline{u}}')))$$

for all $n' \geq n$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$, the operator Ω_2^{repr} is well-defined.

Let $R \in \mathbb{D}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we write $R_t = \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$. By the integral representation (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\phi(R_t) - \phi(R)]\\
=&\mathbb{E}[\int_{(0,t]\times\mathcal{P}} (\phi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(R_{s-}))) - \phi(R_{s-}))\,\eta(ds\,d\pi) + \phi(R + \underline{1}t)]\\
=&\mathbb{E}[\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\phi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n(\pi)(\gamma_n(R_{s-}))) - \phi(R_{s-}))\,H_\Xi(d\pi) + \langle \nabla^{\underline{\underline{u}}'}\phi, \underline{\underline{1}}\rangle(R_s)\right) ds]\\
=&\mathbb{E}[\int_0^t \Omega_2\phi(R_s) ds]
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equality, we use the compensation formula for Poisson random measures. For the third equality, we use that the process $(R_s, s \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ has a. s. only countably many jumps. As this process is also Markovian, the assertion follows. □

Proof of Proposition 6. This follows analogously to Proposition 7, we use the integral representation (5). □

5.4 Preservation of exchangeability in the lookdown model

From now on, let R always be a random variable with values in $\mathbb{D}$ which is independent of η. Moreover, we set $\underline{\underline{\rho}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(R)$, $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t = (\rho_{t,i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} = \boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t)$, and $R_t = (\underline{r}_t, \underline{u}_t) = ((r_{t,i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}, (u_{t,i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) = \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and we write $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{t-} = \boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}, t-)$ and $R_{t-} = (\underline{r}_{t-}, \underline{u}_{t-}) = \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t-)$ for $t \in (0,\infty)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define the maps

$$\lambda_t : \mathbb{D}^n \to \mathbb{D}^n, \quad (\underline{r}', \underline{u}') \mapsto (\underline{r}', \underline{u}' + \underline{1}_n t)$$

and

$$\lambda'_t : \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}^n, \quad \underline{\underline{\rho}}' \mapsto \underline{\underline{\rho}}' + \underline{\underline{2}}_n t.$$

The following Lemma builds on Lemma 1. For $b, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $b + n \geq 1$, we say a random variable R' is (jointly) (b,n)-exchangeable conditionally given a sigma-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ if it holds $\mathbb{P}(R' \in B|\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{P}(p(R') \in B|\mathcal{F})$ a. s. for all $p \in S_{b,n}$ and all measurable subsets B of the state space of R'.

Lemma 3. *Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that $\gamma_{b+n}(R)$ is jointly (b,n)-exchangeable. Then conditionally given ξ_0, the marked distance matrix*

$$\mathbf{1}\{\eta((0,t] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\}\, \gamma_{b+n}(R_t)$$

is jointly (b,n)-exchangeable.

Proof. In this proof, we always condition on the event $\{\eta((0,t] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\}$. This does not affect the distribution of the Poisson random measure $\eta(\cdot \cap ((0,\infty) \times (\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b)))$.

First we consider the case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$. On an event of probability 1, let (t_1, π_1), $(t_2, \pi_2), \ldots$ with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots$ be the points of η in $(0,\infty) \times (\mathcal{P}_{b+n} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b)$. Let $L = \eta((0,t] \times (\mathcal{P}_{b+n} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b))$. Conditionally given ξ_0 and $(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$, the random partitions $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots$ are independent and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_k)$ is (b,n)-exchangeable for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it holds $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{b,n}$ for all $k \in [\![L]\!]$ a. s. From the integral representation (5) and as we condition on $\{\eta((0,t] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\}$, we have

$$\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t) = \lambda'_{t-t_L} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_L) \circ \lambda'_{t_L - t_{L-1}} \circ \ldots \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_1) \circ \lambda'_{t_1}(\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\underline{\rho}})) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

on $\{L \geq 1\}$, and $\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t) = \lambda'_t(\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\underline{\rho}}))$ a. s. on $\{L = 0\}$. Lemma 1 implies that the distance matrix $\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t)$ is jointly (b,n)-exchangeable conditionally given ξ_0. This also holds for the marked distance matrix R_t as $\underline{u}_t = 0$ a. s.

A similar argument applies in case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ a. s. in this case, we can now define $(t_1, \pi_1), (t_2, \pi_2), \ldots$ with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots$ to be the points of η in $(0,\infty) \times (\mathcal{P}_{(b+n)} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b)$ a. s. Now let $L = \eta((0,t] \times (\mathcal{P}_{(b+n)} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b)$. Conditionally given ξ_0 and $(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$, the random partitions $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots$ are independent and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{b+n}(\pi_k)$ is (b,n)-exchangeable for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it holds $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{b+n}(\pi_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{b,n}$ for all $k \in [\![L]\!]$ a. s. From the integral representation (6) and our conditioning, we obtain

$$\gamma_{b+n}(R_t) = \lambda_{t-t_L} \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{b+n}(\pi_L) \circ \lambda_{t_L - t_{L-1}} \circ \ldots \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{b+n}(\pi_1) \circ \lambda_{t_1}(\gamma_{b+n}(R)) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

on $\{L \geq 1\}$, and $\gamma_{b+n}(R_t) = \lambda_t(\gamma_{b+n}(R))$ a. s. on $\{L = 0\}$. □

Corollary 1. *Assume that R is jointly exchangeable. Let τ be a ξ_0-measurable and a. s. finite random time. Then $R_\tau = \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, \tau)$ is jointly exchangeable. If $\tau > 0$, also $R_{\tau-} = \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, \tau-)$ is jointly exchangeable.*

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a ξ_0-measurable random time τ^k which assumes countably many values by $\tau^k = (j+1)/k$ on the event $\{\tau \in [j/k, (j+1)/k)\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$, and $p \in S_n$, it holds by Lemma 3 with $b = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\phi(R_{\tau^k})] &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E}[\phi(R_{j/k}); \tau^k = j/k] \\ &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E}[\phi(p(R_{j/k})); \tau^k = j/k] = \mathbb{E}[\phi(p(R_{\tau^k}))].\end{aligned}$$

We let k tend to infinity. The assertion follows as $t \mapsto R_t$ is càdlàg a. s. To prove the assertion for $R_{\tau-}$, we replace τ^k with $\tilde{\tau}^k = \lfloor \tau k \rfloor / k$. □

Lemma 4. *Let $b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $b \geq 2$. Assume $\Xi\{0\} > 0$ and that $\gamma_{b+n}(R)$ is jointly (b, n)-exchangeable. Let*

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \eta((0, t] \times \mathcal{P}_b) > 0\}.$$

Then it holds $\tau < \infty$ a. s. and the marked distance matrix

$$\mathbf{1}\{\xi_0(\{\tau\} \times \Delta) = 0\}\, \gamma_{b+n}(R_\tau)$$

is jointly $(b+1, n-1)$-exchangeable.

Proof. The assumptions $b \geq 2$ and $\Xi\{0\} > 0$ imply $\tau < \infty$ a. s. and $\mathbb{P}(\xi_0(\{\tau\} \times \Delta) = 0) > 0$. In this proof, we always condition on the event $\{\xi_0(\{\tau\} \times \Delta) = 0\}$.

On an event of probability 1, let $(t_1, \pi_1), (t_2, \pi_2) \ldots$ with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots$ be the points of η in $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{P}_{b+n}$, and let $L = \eta((0, \tau] \times \mathcal{P}_{b+n})$. Then it holds $\tau = t_L$ a. s. The partitions $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_1), \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_2), \ldots$ are conditionally independent given ξ_0, L, and $(t_1, \ldots, t_L)$. Conditionally given ξ_0, L, and $(t_1, \ldots, t_L)$, the partitions $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_k)$ for $k \in [\![L-1]\!]$ are (b, n)-exchangeable random elements of $\mathcal{S}^{b,n}$. On the event $\{\xi_0(\{\tau\} \times \Delta) = 0\}$, conditionally given ξ_0, L and $(t_1, \ldots, t_L)$, the partition $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_L)$ a. s. contains one block with two elements in $[\![b]\!]$ and apart from that only singleton blocks. From the integral representation (5), we obtain

$$\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\rho}_\tau) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_L) \circ \lambda'_{t_L - t_{L-1}} \circ \ldots \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{b+n}(\pi_1) \circ \lambda'_{t_1}(\gamma_{b+n}(\underline{\rho})) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

The assertion follows from Lemmata 1 and 2, and as $\underline{u}_t = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. □

We also consider exchangeability properties at stopping times with respect to two different filtrations. For $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define equivalence classes on $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}$ by

$$[R']_{b,n} = \{p(R') : p \in S_{b,n}\}$$

for $R' \in \mathbb{D}^{b+n}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^{b,n}_t$ the sigma-algebra generated by

$$([\gamma_{b+n}(R_s)]_{b,n}, s \in [0, t]).$$

A filtration is defined by $\mathcal{H}^{b,n} = (\mathcal{H}^{b,n}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. We set $D^{b,n} = \{[R']_{b,n} : R' \in \mathbb{D}^{b+n}\}$.

Lemma 5. *Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\gamma_{b+n}(R)$ is jointly (b,n)-exchangeable. Let τ be a finite $\mathcal{H}^{b,n}$-stopping time. Then the marked distance matrix*

$$\mathbf{1}\{\eta((0,\tau]\times\mathcal{P}_b)=0\}\,\gamma_{b+n}(R_\tau)$$

is jointly (b,n)-exchangeable.

Proof. We show that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the marked distance matrix

$$\mathbf{1}\{\eta((0,t]\times\mathcal{P}_b)=0\}\,\gamma_{b+n}(R_t)$$

is (b,n)-exchangeable conditionally given $\mathcal{H}^{b,n}_t$. The assertion then follows for stopping times which assume countably many values, and by an approximation argument as in the proof of Corollary 1 also for all finite stopping times.

We enlarge the spaces $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}$ and D^{b+n} by a coffin state ∂. Let K be the probability kernel from D^{b+n} to $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}$ such that it holds $K(\partial,\{\partial\})=1$, and such that for all $R' \in \mathbb{D}^{b+n}\setminus\{\partial\}$, the probability measure $K([R']_{b,n},\cdot)$ is the uniform distribution on the representants of $[R']_{b,n}$.

Let $\tau' = \inf\{t>0 : \eta((0,t]\times\mathcal{P}_b)>0\}$ and set $\tilde{R}_t = \gamma_{b+n}(R_t)$ for $t<\tau'$, and $\tilde{R}_t=\partial$ for $t\geq\tau'$. By assumption, K is a regular conditional distribution of $\gamma_{b+n}(R)$ given $[\gamma_{b+n}(R)]_{b,n}$. For all $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, Lemma 3 implies that K is a regular conditional distribution of $\tilde{R}_t$ given $[\tilde{R}_t]_{b,n}$, where we set $[\partial]_{b,n}=\partial$. We apply Theorem 2 of Rogers and Pitman [35] to the Markov process $(\tilde{R}_t, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$, the measurable function $\mathbb{D}^{b+n}\to D^{b,n}$, $R'\mapsto[R']_{b,n}$, and the probability kernel K to obtain that for each $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, the random variable $\tilde{R}_t$ has the same conditional distribution given $\mathcal{H}^{b,n}_t$ as given $[\tilde{R}_t]_{b,n}$, this follows from equation (1) in [35]. This implies the assertion. □

Furthermore, for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we define equivalence classes on $\mathbb{D}$ by

$$[R']_n = \{p(R') : p\in\mathcal{S}_\infty \text{ with } p(i)=i \text{ for all } i>n\}$$

for $R'\in\mathbb{D}$. For $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, we denote by $\mathcal{J}^n_t$ the sigma-algebra generated by $([R_s]_n, s\in[0,t])$. A filtration is defined by $\mathcal{J}^n=(\mathcal{J}^n_t, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$. We set $D^n=\{[R']_n : R'\in\mathbb{D}\}$.

Lemma 6. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and assume that $\gamma_n(R)$ is jointly exchangeable. Let τ be a finite $\mathcal{J}^n$-stopping time. Then $\gamma_n(R_\tau)$ is jointly exchangeable.*

Proof. We show that for each $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, the marked distance matrix $\gamma_n(R_t)$ is jointly exchangeable conditionally given $\mathcal{J}^n_t$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $\gamma_n(R_t)$ is jointly exchangeable conditionally given $[R_t]_n$. Let K be the probability kernel from D^n to $\mathbb{D}$ such that for each $R'\in\mathbb{D}$, the probability measure $K([R']_n,\cdot)$ is the uniform distribution on the representants of $[R']_n$. Then K is a regular conditional distribution of R given $[R]_n$, and of R_t given $[R_t]_n$. Now we apply Theorem 2 of [35] to the Markov process $(R_t, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$, the measurable function $\mathbb{D}\to D^n$, $R'\mapsto[R']_n$, and the probability kernel K to obtain that for each $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, the marked distance matrix R_t has the same conditional distribution given $[R_t]_n$ as given $\mathcal{J}^n_t$. □

6 Two families of partitions

In Section 7, we will construct families of probability measures on the lookdown space using two different families of partitions of $\mathbb{N}$. In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, we will use the flow of partitions and for the converse case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, we introduce here another family of partitions. We recall that in particular the definitions of Ξ, η, η_0, ξ_0, η_{K}, $\underline{\rho}$, R, $\underline{\rho}_t$, $\underline{\rho}_{t-}$, $R_t = (\underline{r}_t, \underline{u}_t)$, and $R_{t-} = (\underline{r}_{t-}, \underline{u}_{t-})$ from Section 5.4 are in force. The ancestral level $A_s(t,i)$ is defined in terms of the point measure η of reproduction events.

Let us first read off the flow of partitions from the marked distance matrices from Section 4. For $0 \le s \le t$, we define a random partition $\Pi_{s,t}$ on $\mathbb{N}$ by

$$i \sim_{\Pi_{s,t}} j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_{t,i,j} < 2(t-s)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$. That is, i and j are in the same block of $\Pi_{s,t}$ if and only if $A_s(t,j) = A_s(t,i)$, recall that the paths $s \mapsto A_s(t,i)$ are càdlàg by definition. By the construction in Section 4.1, the so-called cocycle property

$$\Pi_{r,t} = \mathrm{Coag}(\Pi_{s,t}, \Pi_{r,s}) \quad \text{for all } r \le s \le t \tag{10}$$

holds. For all $0 \le s \le t$, the random partition $\Pi_{s,t}$ is exchangeable. This follows from Lemma 3 where we may assume w. l. o. g. (as $\Pi_{s,t}$ is η-measurable) that R is jointly exchangeable.

We define another family $(\Pi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of partitions of $\mathbb{N}$ as follows: For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we set

$$i \sim_{\Pi_t} j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u_{t,i} = u_{t,j} < t \text{ and } r_{t,i,j} = 0$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$. The random partition Π_t is exchangeable. Again, this follows from Lemma 3 where we use that Π_t is η-measurable.

On the event $\{\eta \in \mathcal{N}\}$ of probability 1, the following limits exist for all $t \in (0,\infty)$ in the space $\mathcal{P}$ endowed with the metric d defined in equation (1):

$$\Pi_{r,t-} := \lim_{s \uparrow t} \Pi_{r,s} = \{\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \rho((t-,j),(t-,i)) < t-r\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{for all } r \in [0,t),$$

$$\Pi_{s-,t} := \lim_{r \uparrow s} \Pi_{r,t} = \{\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \rho((t,j),(t,i)) \le t-s\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{for all } s \in (0,t],$$

and

$$\Pi_{t-} := \lim_{s \uparrow t} \Pi_s = \{\{j \in \mathbb{N} : u_{t-,i} = u_{t-,j} < t, r_{t-,i,j} = 0\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

On $\{\eta \in \mathcal{N}\}$, for all $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\{\{1\},\{2\},\ldots\}\}$, it holds $\eta\{(t,\pi)\} > 0$ if and only if $\Pi_{t-,t} = \pi$. For each $t \in (0,\infty)$, the $\mathcal{P}$-valued process $(\Pi_{t,(t-s)-}, s \in [0,t))$ is a Ξ-coalescent up to time t. This can be seen, for instance, by comparing the construction from the point measure η in Section 4 and the Poisson construction of Schweinsberg [37]. For all $0 \le s < t$, the random partition $\Pi_{s,t}$ contains no singleton blocks and has proper frequencies a. s. if $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, and it has a. s. no proper frequencies if $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, see [37].

We define

$$\Theta = \{t \in (0,\infty) : \xi_0(\{t\} \times \Delta) > 0\} \tag{11}$$

and we will consider this set in several places throughout this article. By the construction in Section 5.2, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \{t \in (0,\infty) : \eta_0(\{t\} \times \mathcal{P}) > 0\} \\ &= \{t \in (0,\infty) : \eta\{(t,\pi)\} > 0 \text{ for some } \pi \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } |\pi|_1 > 0\} \quad \text{a. s.}\end{aligned}$$

and in case $\Xi_0(\Delta) = 0$, we have $\Theta = \emptyset$ a. s. Now we describe the set Θ by stopping times. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\Delta_{>1/n} = \{x \in \Delta : |x|_2^2 > 1/n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{>1/n} = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P} : |\pi|_2^2 > 1/n\}$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we set $\tau_{n,0}^{(s)} = s$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define inductively

$$\tau_{n,k}^{(s)} = \inf\{t > \tau_{n,k-1}^{(s)} : \xi_0((\tau_{n,k-1}^{(s)}, t] \times \Delta_{>1/n}) > 0\}.$$

Then the random times $\tau_{n,k}^{(s)}$ are ξ_0-measurable and it holds

$$\tau_{n,k}^{(s)} = \inf\{t > \tau_{n,k-1}^{(s)} : \eta((\tau_{n,k-1}^{(s)}, t] \times \mathcal{P}_{>1/n}) > 0\} \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ a. s.}$$

On an event of probability 1, there exists for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a partition $\pi_{n,k}^{(s)}$ such that $\eta\{(\tau_{n,k}^{(s)}, \pi_{n,k}^{(s)})\} > 0$ if $\tau_{n,k}^{(s)} < \infty$. For all $T \in (0,\infty)$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{(0,T]\times\Delta} |x|_2^2 \, \xi_0(dt\, dx)\right] = \int_0^T \int_\Delta |x|_2^2 \, |x|_2^{-2} \, \Xi_0(dx)\, dt = T\Xi_0(\Delta) < \infty, \tag{12}$$

this implies $\int_{(0,T]\times\Delta} |x|_2^2 \, \xi_0(dt\, dx) < \infty$ a. s., hence

$$\{(t,\pi) \in (s,\infty) \times \mathcal{P} : \eta_0\{(t,\pi)\} > 0\} = \{(\tau_{n,k}^{(s)}, \pi_{n,k}^{(s)}) : n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \tau_{n,k}^{(s)} < \infty\} \quad \text{a. s.}$$

and

$$\Theta \cap (s,\infty) = \{\tau_{n,k}^{(s)} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \tau_{n,k}^{(s)} < \infty\} \quad \text{a. s.} \tag{13}$$

For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds $\tau_{n,k}^{(s)} < \infty$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. if $\Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0$, else it holds $\tau_{n,k}^{(s)} = \infty$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. We write shortly $\tau_{n,k} = \tau_{n,k}^{(0)}$ and $\pi_{n,k} = \pi_{n,k}^{(0)}$.

Lemma 7. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{dust}}$. Then it holds $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : u_{t,i} \geq t\} = \Pi_t(0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s.*

Proof. A proof is required only for the assertion that $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : u_{t,i} \geq t\} \supset \Pi_t(0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. First, we show that this inclusion holds a. s. for a fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The assumption $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{dust}}$ implies $\Xi\{0\} = 0$, hence $\eta_{\mathrm{K}} = 0$ a. s. As the sequence $(u_{t,i}, i \in \mathbb{N})$ is exchangeable and as it holds $\mathbb{P}(u_{t,1} \geq t) = \mathrm{e}^{-t\lambda_{1,\{\{1\}\}}} > 0$, the de Finetti theorem implies $\mathbb{P}(u_{t,i} \geq t \text{ for infinitely many } i) = 1$.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the random partition $\pi_{n,k}$ is exchangeable and independent of $\tau_{n,k}$ by the definitions of $\tau_{n,k}$ and η_0, and by the coloring theorem for Poisson random measures. Let $B_{n,k} = \{A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t,i) : i \in \mathbb{N}, u_{t,i} \geq t - \tau_{n,k}, \tau_{n,k} \leq t\}$. As $\tau_{n,k}$ is an $\mathcal{K}$-stopping time, as $\pi_{n,k}$ is $\mathcal{K}_{\tau_{n,k}}$-measurable, as $\tau_{n,k}$ is independent of $\pi_{n,k}$, and as $B_{n,k}$ is measurable with respect to $(\eta^{\tau_{n,k}}, \tau_{n,k})$, the random set $B_{n,k}$ is independent of $\pi_{n,k}$. Also, the definition of $\underline{u}_t$ implies that for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $u_{t,i} \wedge u_{t,j} \geq t - \tau_{n,k}$ and $i \neq j$, it holds $A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t,i) \neq A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t,j)$ on the event $\{\tau_{n,k} \leq t\}$. On this event, the random

set $B_{n,k}$ is a. s. infinite as it holds $u_{t,i} \geq t - \tau_{n,k}$ for infinitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. A. s. on the event $\{\tau_{n,k} \leq t\}$, the intersection $B \cap B_{n,k}$ is infinite for each block $B \in \pi_{n,k}$ with $\#B \geq 2$. This follows as conditionally given $|\pi_{n,k}|^{\downarrow}$, the partition $\pi_{n,k}$ is distributed as the paintbox partition associated with $|\pi_{n,k}|^{\downarrow}$.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the following holds a. s. on the event $\{u_{t,i} < t\}$. By definition of $\underline{u}_t$, there exist random n, k such that $t - u_{t,i} = \tau_{n,k}$ and $A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t, i) \in B \cap B_{n,k}$ for a non-singleton block B of $\pi_{n,k}$. Hence, there exists a random $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t, j) \neq A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t, i)$ and $A_{\tau_{n,k}}(t, j) \in B \cap B_{n,k}$. This implies $u_{t,j} = u_{t,i} < t$ and $r_{t,i,j} = 0$, which proves the assertion for fixed t, hence also for all $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ simultaneously on an event E of probability 1.

On the event $\{\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}\}$ of probability 1, there exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a time $q(t, i) \in (t, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ with $\eta((t, q(t, i)] \times \mathcal{P}_{(i)}) = 0$. Now $i \in \Pi_t(0)$ implies $i \in \Pi_{q(t,i)}(0)$ and $i = A_t(q(t, i), i)$, hence $u_{q(t,i),i} \geq q(t, i)$ and $u_{t,i} \geq t$ on the event $\{\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}\} \cap E$. This proves the assertion. □

Remark 6. We state Corollary 2 below and this remark to give the following interpretation of the decomposition of the distance matrix $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t$ that is given by the marked distance matrix R_t. Assume for the moment that $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\chi}$ for some $\chi \in \mathbb{M}_0$ such that $\alpha^{-1}(\chi)$ is ultrametric. Then the space $\mathbb{N}$ endowed with the semi-metric given by $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t$ is the space of leaves of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s., cf. Remarks 1 and 3. For all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \sim_{\Pi_t} j$, the external branches of this $\mathbb{R}$-tree that end in leaf i and in leaf j, respectively, begin in the same internal node. The vector $\underline{u}_t$ encodes the lengths of the external branches. The matrix $\underline{\underline{r}}_t$ encodes the distances between the nodes in which the external branches begin. In Corollary 2, the assumption on χ is not needed.

Corollary 2. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ and $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\chi}$ for some $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$. Then it holds $u_{t,i} = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i\}} \rho_{t,i,j}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s.*

Proof. From the construction in Section 4.1, we have $u_{t,i} \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho_{t,i,j}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$. From Lemma 7, we obtain

$$u_{t,i} \wedge t = \left(\tfrac{1}{2} \inf_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i\}} \rho_{t,i,j} \right) \wedge t$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. Let (X, r, μ) be a representant of χ and let $((x_i, u_i), i \in \mathbb{N})$ be a μ-iid sequence in $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We may assume $R = (\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{u})$ with $\underline{\underline{r}} = (r(x_i, x_j))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $B_t = \{A_0(t, j) : j \in \mathbb{N}, u_{t,j} \geq t\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $t \mapsto B_t$ is non-increasing, and B_t is infinite for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. As B_t is also independent of R, it holds $\inf\{r(x_i, x_j) \vee |u_i - u_j| : j \in B_t, j \neq i\} = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. Hence, on an event of probability 1, there exists for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $u_{t,i} \geq t$ an integer j such that $i \neq j$, $u_{t,j} \geq t$ and $r_{t,i,j} \vee |u_{t,i} - u_{t,j}| < \varepsilon$, hence $\rho_{t,i,j} = u_{t,i} + r_{t,i,j} + u_{t,j} < 2u_{t,i} + 2\varepsilon$. □

Lemma 8. *Let $s, T \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, on an event of probability 1, it holds*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \big| \, |\Pi_{s,t}(b)|_n - |\Pi_{s,t}(b)| \, \big| = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} |\Pi_{s,t+\varepsilon}(b)| = |\Pi_{s,t}(b)| \tag{15}$$

for all $t \in [s, \infty)$*, and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} |\Pi_{s,t-\varepsilon}(b)| = |\Pi_{s,t-}(b)| \tag{16}$$

for all $t \in (s, \infty)$*.*

Lemma 9. *Assume* $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$*. Then it holds*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \big| \, |\Pi_t(b)|_n - |\Pi_t(b)| \, \big| = 0 \quad a.\,s. \tag{17}$$

for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$*. The paths* $t \mapsto |\Pi_t(b)|$ *are càdlàg a. s. and it holds* $\lim_{s \uparrow t} |\Pi_s(b)| = |\Pi_{t-}(b)|$ *for all* $t \in (0, \infty)$ *a. s.*

A result similar to Lemma 8 appears in [26, Proposition 2.13]. We defer the core of the proofs of Lemmata 8 and 9 to Lemmata 14 and 15 in Section 10.

Proof of Lemma 8. By η-measurability of the random variables in the assertion, we may assume w. l. o. g. that R is jointly exchangeable. We choose f in Lemma 14 such that

$$f(t, (\rho_{t,j,b+1+i})_{j \in [\![b]\!]}) = \mathbf{1}\{\rho_{t,b,b+1+i} < 2(t-s)\}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, it holds

$$X_n(t) \le \frac{b+1+n}{n} \, |\Pi_{s,t}(b)|_{b+1+n} \le X_n(t) + \frac{b+1}{n}$$

and $X(t) = |\Pi_{s,t}(b)|$ for all $t \in [s, \infty)$ with $X_n(t)$ and $X(t)$ as defined in Lemma 14.

The convergence (14) holds a. s. by Lemma 14. On the event $\{\eta \in \mathcal{N}\}$ of probability 1, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the paths

$$[s, \infty) \to [0, 1], \quad t \mapsto |\Pi_{s,t}(b)|_n$$

are càdlàg and it holds $\lim_{s' \uparrow t} |\Pi_{s,s'}(b)|_n = |\Pi_{s,t-}(b)|_n$ for all $t \in (s, \infty)$. This implies that the regularity property (15) holds a. s., and that $\lim_{s' \uparrow t} |\Pi_{s,s'}(b)| = c_t$ for some $c_t \in [0, 1]$ for each $t \in (s, \infty)$ a. s. To show the regularity property (16), let $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose on an event of probability 1 a sufficiently large integer n_0 such that $\big| |\Pi_{s,t}(b)|_n - |\Pi_{s,t}(b)| \big| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [s, s+T]$ and $n \ge n_0$. Then it holds $\limsup_{s' \uparrow t} |\Pi_{s,s'}(b)|_n \le c_t + \varepsilon$ and $\liminf_{s' \uparrow t} |\Pi_{s,s'}(b)|_n \ge c_t - \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$ and $t \in [s, s+T]$. It follows $c_t = |\Pi_{s,t-}(b)|$ for all $t \in (s, \infty)$ a. s. □

Proof of Lemma 9. We assume w. l. o. g. that R is jointly exchangeable. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$. We choose f in Lemma 15 such that

$$f(t, (r_{t,j,b+i}, u_{t,j})_{j \in [\![b]\!]}, u_{t,b+i}) = \mathbf{1}\{r_{t,b,b+i} = 0, u_{t,b+i} < t\}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it holds

$$X_n(t) \le \frac{b+n}{n} \, |\Pi_t(b)|_{b+n} \le X_n(t) + \frac{b}{n}$$

and $X(t) = |\Pi_t(b)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s., with $X_n(t)$ and $X(t)$ as defined in Lemma 15. As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ a. s., the processes $t \mapsto |\Pi_t(b)|_n$ are càdlàg for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. Lemma 15 implies the assertion.

It remains the case $b = 0$. By Lemma 7, it holds $\Pi_t(0) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : u_{t,i} \geq t\}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. Lemma 15 now implies the convergence (17). By Lemma 7 and as $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ a. s., the paths $t \mapsto |\Pi_t(0)|_n$ are càdlàg a. s. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by (17), also the paths $t \mapsto |\Pi_t(0)|$ are càdlàg a. s. By Lemma 7 and as $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ a. s., it holds $\lim_{s \uparrow t} |\Pi_s(0)|_n = |\Pi_{t-}(0)|_n$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. The uniform convergence (17) implies the assertion on the left limits. □

Lemma 10. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $T \in (0, \infty)$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, T)$. Then, on an event of probability 1, there exists an integer ℓ such that*

$$\sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s,t}) \cap [\![\ell]\!]} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)| > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [s + \varepsilon, s + T]$. The partitions $\Pi_{s,t}$ and $\Pi_{s,t-}$ have proper frequencies for all $t \in (s, \infty)$ a. s.

Proof. We assume w. l. o. g. that R is jointly exchangeable.

Step 1. There exists an event of probability 1 on which it holds that for all $t \in [s + \varepsilon, \infty)$ with $\#\Pi_{s,t} = \infty$, the partition $\Pi_{s,t}$ contains no singleton blocks. This follows as the partition $\Pi_{s,s+\varepsilon}$ contains no singletons a. s. For $t \in [s + \varepsilon, \infty)$, an implication of $\#\Pi_{s,t} = \infty$ is that $\#\Pi_{s+\varepsilon,t} = \infty$, by equation (4) also that $A_{s+\varepsilon}(t, \mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{N}$, and on the event that $\Pi_{s,s+\varepsilon}$ contains no singletons, also that $\Pi_{s,t}$ contains no singletons.

Step 2. We show that $\Pi_{s,t-}$ has proper frequencies for all $t \in (s, \infty) \cap \Theta$ a. s. If $\Xi_0(\Delta) = 0$, it holds $\Theta = \emptyset$ a. s. We may thus assume $\Xi_0(\Delta) > 0$ in step 2. In step 2, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $\Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0$. We write shortly $\tau^k = \tau^{(s+\varepsilon)}_{n,k}$. By equation (13), we have to show that Π_{s,τ^k-} has proper frequencies for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. On the event $\{\#\Pi_{s,\tau^k-} < \infty\}$, the random partition Π_{s,τ^k-} has proper frequencies. By Corollary 1, the random partition Π_{s,τ^k-} is exchangeable. We assume w. l. o. g. that $\mathbb{P}(\#\Pi_{s,\tau^k-} = \infty) > 0$. Then Π_{s,τ^k-}, conditioned on the event $\{\#\Pi_{s,\tau^k-} = \infty\}$, is exchangeable. The assertion of step 2 now follows from step 1 and Kingman's correspondence.

Step 3. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the process

$$\left(\sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s,t}) \cap [\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)|, t \in [s, \infty) \right)$$

is $(\mathcal{J}^k_t, t \in [s, \infty))$-adapted and has a. s. càdlàg paths by Lemma 8. Hence,

$$\vartheta_{\varepsilon,k} := \inf \left\{ t \geq s + \varepsilon : \sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s,t}) \cap [\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)| < 1 - \varepsilon \right\}$$

is a stopping time with respect to the usual augmentation of $\mathcal{J}^n$ for all $n \in [\![k]\!]$. As $\vartheta_{\varepsilon,j} \geq \vartheta_{\varepsilon,k}$ for integers $j \geq k$, it follows that

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \vartheta_{\varepsilon,k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \vartheta_{\varepsilon,k}$$

is a stopping time with respect to the usual augmentation of $\mathcal{J}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the event $\{\#\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)} < \infty\}$, the partition $\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}$ has proper frequencies. By Lemma 6, the marked distance matrix $R_{\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}$ is jointly exchangeable. In the remainder of step 3, we assume w. l. o. g. that $\mathbb{P}(\#\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)} = \infty) > 0$. Conditioned on the event $\{\#\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)} = \infty\}$, the partition $\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}$ remains exchangeable. It follows from step 1 and Kingman's correspondence that $\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}$ has a. s. proper frequencies.

Step 4. It holds $\big||B(\Pi_{s,t},i)|_n - |B(\Pi_{s,t-},i)|_n\big| \le 1/n$ for all $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (s,\infty)\setminus\Theta$ a. s. as only a binary reproduction event can occur at such a time t a. s. Lemma 8 now implies $|B(\Pi_{s,t},i)| = |B(\Pi_{s,t-},i)|$, hence $|\Pi_{s,t}|_1 = |\Pi_{s,t-}|_1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (s,\infty)\setminus\Theta$ a. s.

Now steps 2 and 3 imply that the partitions $\Pi_{s,(\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T))-}$ and $\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}$ have proper frequencies a. s. By the above, there exists a. s. $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{i\in M(\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)})\cap[\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}(i)| > 1-\varepsilon$$

and

$$\sum_{i\in M(\Pi_{s,(\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T))-})\cap[\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,(\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T))-}(i)| > 1-\varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 8, there exists a. s. $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i\in M(\Pi_{s,t})\cap[\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)| > 1-\varepsilon$$

for all $t \in (\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)-\delta, \vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)+\delta)$. This implies $\vartheta_{\varepsilon,j} \notin (\vartheta_\varepsilon-\delta, \vartheta_\varepsilon+\delta)$ for all $j \ge k$ a. s. on the event $\{\vartheta_\varepsilon < s+2T\}$, hence $\{\vartheta_\varepsilon < s+2T\}$ is a null event.

This implies the first assertion. The second assertion follows as $T \in (0,\infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,T)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. □

Lemma 11. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, define the partition $\tilde\Pi_t = \{\Pi_t(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Pi_t(0)\}$. Then there exists an event of probability 1 on which there exists for each $T, \varepsilon > 0$ an integer ℓ such that*

$$\sum_{M(\tilde\Pi_t)\cap[\![\ell]\!]} |\tilde\Pi_t(i)| > 1-\varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. In particular, on an event of probability 1, the partition $\tilde\Pi_t$ has proper frequencies for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and the partition $\tilde\Pi_{t-} = \{\Pi_{t-}(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Pi_{t-}(0)\}$ has proper frequencies for all $t \in (0,\infty)$.

Proof. We proceed similarly to the proof of Lemma 10. We assume w. l. o. g. that R is jointly exchangeable.

Step 1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the partition $\tilde\Pi_{\tau_{n,k}-}$ is exchangeable by Corollary 1. By definition, it contains at most one singleton block. It follows from Kingman's correspondence that $\tilde\Pi_{\tau_{n,k}-}$ has proper frequencies a. s.

Step 2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We set for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\vartheta_{\varepsilon,k} = \inf\left\{ t \ge 0 : \sum_{i\in M(\tilde\Pi_t)\cap[\![k]\!]} |\tilde\Pi_t(i)| < 1-\varepsilon \right\},$$

We deduce from Lemma 9 that $\vartheta_{\varepsilon,k}$ is a stopping time with respect to the usual augmentation of $\mathcal{J}^n$ for all $n \in [\![k]\!]$. Then we define $\vartheta_\varepsilon = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \vartheta_{\varepsilon,k}$ which is for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a stopping time with respect to the usual augmentation of $\mathcal{J}^n$. Let $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The partition $\tilde{\Pi}_{\vartheta_\varepsilon \wedge T}$ is exchangeable by Lemma 6. We deduce as in step 1 that it has proper frequencies a. s.

Step 3. We conclude as in the proof of Lemma 10, using Lemma 9. □

Lemma 12 below will be used in the proof of Theorem 1(iii) in the next section. We denote by $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ the subset of $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{f}}(\Delta)$ such that $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ implies

$$\#\Pi_{s,t} < \infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \le s < t \text{ a. s.} \tag{18}$$

As condition (18) implies that $\Pi_{s,t}$ has proper frequencies for all $0 \le s < t$ a. s., it holds $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}} \subset \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$.

An equivalent condition for $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ is that the Ξ-coalescent comes down from infinity a. s., that is, it holds $\#\Pi_{s,1} < \infty$ for all $s \in [0, 1)$ a. s. Indeed, it can be deduced from this condition that $\#\Pi_{s,t} < \infty$ for all rational $0 \le s < t$ a. s. as it holds $\eta^t \overset{d}{=} \eta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Condition (18) then follows from the cocycle property.

Lemma 12. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then there exists an event of probability 1 on which it holds $|\Pi_{s,t}(i)| > 0$ for all $t \in (s, \infty)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the process

$$\left(\min_{i\in[\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)|, t \in [s, \infty)\right)$$

is $(\mathcal{J}_t^k, t \in [s, \infty))$-adapted and has a. s. càdlàg paths by Lemma 8. Consequently,

$$\vartheta_k := \inf\left\{t \ge s + \varepsilon : \min_{i\in[\![k]\!]} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)| = 0\right\}$$

is a stopping time with respect to the usual augmentation of $\mathcal{J}^n$ for all $n \in [\![k]\!]$. It follows that

$$\vartheta := \inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \vartheta_k = \lim_{k\to\infty} \vartheta_k$$

is a stopping time with respect to the usual augmentation of $\mathcal{J}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 6, the distance matrix $\gamma_n(\rho_{=\vartheta\wedge T})$ is jointly exchangeable for each $T \in [s+\varepsilon, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the partition $\Pi_{s,\vartheta\wedge T}$ is exchangeable and by the assumption $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$, it holds $\#\Pi_{s,\vartheta\wedge T} < \infty$ a. s. Kingman's correspondence now implies that each block of $\Pi_{s,\vartheta\wedge T}$ has a positive asymptotic frequency a. s. By Lemma 8, on an event of probability 1, this also holds true for all partitions $\Pi_{s,t}$ with t in a right neighborhood of $\vartheta \wedge T$. By definition of ϑ, it follows $\mathbb{P}(\vartheta < T) = 0$. The assertion follows as T and ε can be chosen arbitrarily. □

Remark 7. If the reproduction events are defined as in Remark 2, then the cocycle property (10) does not hold in general as the lines of ascent may cross in the case with simultaneous multiple reproduction events. The main results in this article nevertheless hold. In the proof of Lemma 10, it suffices to show that a. s., the partitions Π_{s,τ^k-} and $\Pi_{s,\vartheta_\varepsilon\wedge(s+2T)}$ are finite or contain no singleton blocks.

7 The construction on the lookdown space

In this section, we endow the lookdown space with a family of probability measures. We study path properties of this family in the Prohorov metric. Moreover, we study path properties of a family of subsets of the lookdown space in the Hausdorff metric. In Theorem 1 below, we consider mathematical objects that are defined from realizations of the random variables η and R on an event of probability 1. Stochastic processes are read off from this construction in Sections 8 and 9.

For $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $s \in [0,t)$, we say a family of age at least $t-s$ dies out at time t if it holds $A_s(t,\mathbb{N}) \neq \bigcap_{s'\in[s,t)} A_s(s',\mathbb{N})$. We denote the set of times at which old families die out by

$$\Theta' = \left\{ t \in (0,\infty) : \text{ there exists } s \in [0,t) \text{ with } A_s(t,\mathbb{N}) \neq \bigcap_{s'\in[s,t)} A_s(s',\mathbb{N}) \right\}.$$

In the following, (Z,ρ) is always the lookdown space associated with the random variables η and R, that is, the completion of $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}) \sqcup \mathbb{N}$ with respect to the semi-metric ρ obtained from η and R as in Section 4.1. Also $z(t,i)$ for $(t,i) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ is defined as in Section 4.1. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let X_t be the closure of $\{t\} \times \mathbb{N}$ in Z. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the probability measure

$$m_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{(t,i)}$$

on (Z,ρ) and the probability measure

$$\mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})}$$

on the space $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ which we endow with the metric $d^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}((z_1,u_1),(z_2,u_2)) = \rho(z_1,z_2) \vee |u_2 - u_1|$. In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, it holds $\underline{u}_t = 0$, hence $\mu_t^n = m_t^n \otimes \delta_0$, for all $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s.

Theorem 1. *Let $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$. If $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, assume $\chi \in \mathbb{M}_0$. Assume $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^\chi$. Then there exists an event of probability 1 on which all the following assertions hold:*

There exists a family $(\mu_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_t^n, \mu_t) = 0 \tag{19}$$

for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The map $t \mapsto \mu_t$ is càdlàg in the weak topology. The set Θ, defined in (11), is the set of jump times. It holds $R_t \in \mathbb{D}^*$ and $[\![Z,\rho,\mu_t]\!] = \psi(R_t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(i) The following holds if $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$: If χ is purely atomic, then μ_t and the left limits μ_{t-} are purely atomic for all $t \in (0,\infty)$. For all $t \in (0,\infty)$, it holds $\varpi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_{t-})\{0\} = 0$, and for all $t \in \Theta$, it holds $\varpi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_t)\{0\} > 0$.

(ii) The following holds if $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$: There exists a family $(m_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on Z with $\mu_t = m_t \otimes \delta_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The measure m_t contains atoms for all $t \in \Theta$, and the left limit m_{t-} contains no atoms for all $t \in (0,\infty)$. It holds $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $[\![Z, \rho, m_t]\!] = \psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(iii) The following holds if $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ and $\alpha^{-1}(\chi) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{c}}'$: For all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, it holds $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$, the set $X_t \subset Z$ is compact, and it holds $\operatorname{supp} m_t = X_t$. *It holds $\upsilon(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t) = [X_t, \rho, m_t] = \beta(\psi(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t))$. The map $t \mapsto X_t$ is càdlàg in d_{H}^Z with set of jump times Θ'. For all $t \in \Theta'$, the set X_t and the left limit X_{t-} are not isometric.*

Theorem 1 above remains valid in case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}} \supset \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ if the assumption that $\chi \in \mathbb{M}_0$, $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^\chi$, and $\alpha^{-1}(\chi) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{c}}'$ is replaced by the assumption that $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\mathcal{X}}$ for some $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{M}$ and $R = (\underline{\underline{\rho}}, 0)$. In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$, it follows from Theorem 1 that on an event of probability 1, for each $t \in \Theta' \setminus \Theta$, the set $X_{t-} \setminus \operatorname{supp} m_{t-} \subset X_{t-}$ is equal to $X_{t-} \setminus X_t$, this is the part of X_{t-} which dies out at time t. This part is taken account of by the measure-preserving isometry class of (X_{t-}, ρ, m_{t-}), but not by the equivalence class of (X_{t-}, ρ, m_{t-}). In the next proposition, we determine the intersection of the sets of jump times Θ and Θ'. Let

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} = \{x \in \Delta : x_1 + \ldots + x_n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{f}} = \{t \in (0,\infty) : \xi_0(\{t\} \times \Delta_{\mathrm{f}}) > 0\}.$$

Proposition 8. *It holds $\Theta \cap \Theta' = \Theta_{\mathrm{f}}$ a. s.*

Proof. We have to show $\Theta_{\mathrm{f}} \subset \Theta'$ a. s. and $(\Theta' \setminus \Theta_{\mathrm{f}}) \cap \Theta = \emptyset$ a. s.

On an event of probability 1, we have $\#\Pi_{t-,t} < \infty$ for all $t \in \Theta_{\mathrm{f}}$, hence $1 \le \#A_{t-}(t, \mathbb{N}) < \infty$ and we may choose $i \in A_{t-}(t, \mathbb{N})$ and $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A_{t-}(t, \mathbb{N})$, where $A_{t-}(t, \mathbb{N}) = \{A_{t-}(t,k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. As $\xi \in \mathcal{N}$ a. s., there exists $s \in [0,t)$ with $A_s(t-, i) \ne A_s(t-, j)$, hence $A_s(t, \mathbb{N}) \ne \bigcap_{s' \in [s,t)} A_s(s', \mathbb{N})$. This shows $t \in \Theta'$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $t \in [s, \infty)$, and $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $D_t(s,i)$ from the Poisson random measure η as in Section 4.1. We define the $\mathcal{K}$-stopping times

$$\tau'_{s,i,n} = \inf\{t \ge s : D_t(s,i) \ge n\}$$

and

$$\tau'_{s,i} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau'_{s,i,n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tau'_{s,i,n}.$$

We assume w. l. o. g. $\Xi(\Delta) > 0$. Then it holds $\tau'_{s,i,n} \in [s, \infty)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. We have

$$\Theta' = \{\tau'_{s,i} : s \in \mathbb{Q}_+, i \in \mathbb{N}, \tau'_{s,i} < \infty\} \quad \text{a. s.} \tag{20}$$

This follows as

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta' = \{t \in (0,\infty) : &\text{ there exist } s \in [0,t) \cap \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with} \\ &D_t(s,i) = \infty \text{ and } D_{s'}(s,i) < \infty \text{ for all } s' \in [s,t)\}\end{aligned}$$

and as for all $(s,i) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$, it holds that $\tau'_{s,i} < \infty$ implies $D_{\tau'_{s,i}}(s,i) = \infty$ a. s. and $D_{s'}(s,i) < \infty$ for all $s' \in [s, \tau'_{s,i})$.

Now we define the $\mathcal{K}$-stopping times

$$\tilde{\tau}_{s,i,n} = \begin{cases} \tau'_{s,i,n} & \text{if } \tau'_{s,i,n} < \tau'_{s,i} \\ \tau'_{s,i,n} \vee n & \text{if } \tau'_{s,i,n} = \tau'_{s,i} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\tau}_{s,i} = \begin{cases} \tau'_{s,i} & \text{if } \tau'_{s,i,n} < \tau'_{s,i} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It holds $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{\tau}_{s,i,n} = \tilde{\tau}_{s,i}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{s,i,n} < \tilde{\tau}_{s,i}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s., hence the $\mathcal{K}$-stopping times $\tilde{\tau}_{s,i}$ are predictable. We obtain

$$\Theta \cap \{\tilde{\tau}_{s,i} : s \in \mathbb{Q}_+, i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset \quad \text{a. s.} \tag{21}$$

For convenience, we give a proof of equation (21) that shows quasi left continuity of the filtration $\mathcal{K}$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $n, k, i, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and $s \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, the strong Markov property of η at $\tilde{\tau}_{s,i,\ell}$ and the definition of $\tau_{n,1}$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,k-1} < \tau_{n,k} - \varepsilon < \tilde{\tau}_{s,i,\ell} < \tau_{n,k}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,k-1} < \tilde{\tau}_{s,i,\ell} < \tau_{n,k}, \tau_{n,k} - \tilde{\tau}_{s,i,\ell} < \varepsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,k-1} < \tilde{\tau}_{s,i,\ell} < \tau_{n,k}) \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,1} < \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,1} < \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,k-1} < \tau_{n,k} - \varepsilon < \tilde{\tau}_{s,i,\ell} < \tau_{n,k}) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,k} = \tilde{\tau}_{s,i} < \infty)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\tau_{n,1} < \varepsilon) = 0,$$

we obtain $\tau_{n,k} \neq \tilde{\tau}_{s,i}$ a. s. on the event $\{\tau_{n,k} < \infty\}$. Now equation (13) implies equation (21).

For $(s,i) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$, it holds up to null events

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\tilde{\tau}_{s,i} = \infty, \tau'_{s,i} < \infty\} \\ &\subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau'_{s,i,n} = \tau'_{s,i} < \infty\} \\ &\subset \{D_{\tau'_{s,i}-}(s,i) < \infty, \tau'_{s,i} < \infty\} \\ &\subset \{\eta\{(\tau'_{s,i}, \pi)\} > 0 \text{ for some } \pi \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } \#\pi < \infty, \tau'_{s,i} < \infty\} \\ &\subset \{\tau'_{s,i} \in \Theta_{\mathrm{f}}\} \end{aligned}$$

where the third inclusion was observed in Section 4.1. With equation (20), we obtain

$$\Theta' \setminus \Theta_{\mathrm{f}} \subset \{\tilde{\tau}_{s,i} : s \in \mathbb{Q}_+, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Now equation (21) implies the assertion. □

Proof of Theorem 1 (beginning). The statements in this proof hold on an event of probability 1, we mostly omit 'a. s.' We denote the closure of the subset $\mathbb{N}$ of (Z,ρ) by A. Let the marked metric measure space (X,r,μ) be a representant of χ with $\operatorname{supp}\varpi_X(\mu)=X$, and let $(\underline{x},\underline{u})$ be a μ-iid sequence that is independent of η. We may assume $R=\varrho_{(X,r)}(\underline{x},\underline{u})$. For $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we define a probability measure

$$\mu^n=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{(x_i,u_i)}$$

on $X\times\mathbb{R}_+$. By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, the probability measures μ^n converge weakly to μ as n tends to infinity. The map $\{x_i : i\in\mathbb{N}\}\to A$, $x_i\mapsto i$ can be extended to an isometry φ from (X,r) to (A,ρ). We deduce as in the proof of Proposition 5: The probability measures μ_0^n converge weakly to the probability measure $\mu_0:=\tilde{\varphi}(\mu)$ on $A\times\mathbb{R}_+$ as n tends to infinity, where $\tilde{\varphi}(x,u)=(\varphi(x),u)$ for $(x,u)\in X\times\mathbb{R}_+$. It holds $R\in\mathbb{D}^*$ and $[\![Z,\rho,\mu_0]\!]=\psi(R)=[\![A,\rho,\mu_0]\!]=\chi$.

First, we assume $\Xi\in\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. For $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, we define

$$\theta_t : Z\times\mathbb{R}_+\to Z\times\mathbb{R}_+,\quad (z,s)\mapsto(z,s+t).$$

By Lemma 11, we may define a family $(\mu_t, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on $Z\times\mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\mu_t=\sum_{i\in M(\Pi_t)}|\Pi_t(i)|\,\delta_{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})}+|\Pi_t(0)|\,\theta_t(\mu_0) \tag{22}$$

for $t\in(0,\infty)$. As $|\Pi_0(0)|=1$, equation (22) also holds for $t=0$.

We show that the probability measures μ_t^n converge to μ_t. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $b\in\mathbb{N}$. For each $J\subset[\![b]\!]$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, we define a subset C_t^J of $Z\times\mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$C_t^J=(A\times\mathbb{R}_+)\cap\left(\bigcap_{j\in J\colon u_{t,j}\geq t}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(A_0(t,j),u_{t,j})\right)\setminus\bigcup_{k\in[\![b]\!]\setminus J\colon u_{t,k}\geq t}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(A_0(t,k),u_{t,k})$$

where an empty intersection equals $Z\times\mathbb{R}_+$. A partition of $A\times\mathbb{R}_+$ is given by $\{C_t^J : J\subset[\![b]\!]\}\setminus\{\emptyset\}$, and it holds $\operatorname{diam}C_t^J\leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $J\subset[\![b]\!]$ with $C_t^J\neq C_t^\emptyset$. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists a coupling ν of the probability measures μ_t^n and μ_t such that

$$\nu\left(C_t^J\times C_t^J\right)=\mu_t^n(C_t^J)\wedge\mu_t(C_t^J)$$

and

$$\nu\{((z(t,i),u_{t,i}),(z(t,i),u_{t,i}))\}=\mu_t^n\{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})\}\wedge\mu_t\{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})\}$$

for all $J\subset[\![b]\!]$ and $i\in M(\Pi_t)\setminus\Pi_t(0)$. For each $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, the coupling characterization (2)

of the Prohorov metric yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathrm{P}}^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_t^n,\mu_t)\\
&\le \nu\{(y,y')\in(Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)^2 : d^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(y,y')>2\varepsilon\}+2\varepsilon\\
&\le \nu((Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)\times C_t^{\emptyset}) + \sum_{\substack{J\subset[\![b]\!]:\\ C_t^J\neq C_t^{\emptyset}}} \nu(((Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)\setminus C_t^J)\times C_t^J)+2\varepsilon\\
&\quad+\sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_t)\setminus\Pi_t(0):\\ i\le\ell}} \nu\left(((Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)\setminus\{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})\})\times\{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})\}\right)\\
&\quad+\sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_t)\setminus\Pi_t(0):\\ i>\ell}} \nu\left((Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)\times\{(z(t,i),u_{t,i})\}\right)\\
&\le \mu_t(C_t^{\emptyset}) + \sum_{\substack{J\subset[\![b]\!]:\\ C_t^J\neq C_t^{\emptyset}}} \left|\mu_t^n(C_t^J)-\mu_t(C_t^J)\right|+2\varepsilon\\
&\quad+\sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_t)\setminus\Pi_t(0):\\ i\le\ell}} \left|\,|\Pi_t(i)|_n-|\Pi_t(i)|\,\right| + \sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_t):\\ i>\ell}} |\Pi_t(i)|\,. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T\in\mathbb{R}_+$. We bound each term on the right-hand side of inequality (23). We begin with the last term. By Lemma 11, we may choose ℓ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_t):\\ i>\ell}}|\Pi_t(i)|<\varepsilon.$$

The second last sum on the right-hand side of inequality (23) converges to zero uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$ as n tends to infinity by Lemma 9.

Now we show that the second term on the right-hand side of inequality (23) converges to zero uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$. We fix $J\subset[\![b]\!]$. In Lemma 15, we choose f such that $Y_i(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_i(t)=\mathbf{1}\{u_{t,b+i}\ge t\}&\prod_{j\in J:\,u_{t,j}\ge t}\mathbf{1}\{r_{t,j,b+i}\vee|u_{t,j}-u_{t,b+i}|<\varepsilon\}\\
&\times\prod_{k\in[\![b]\!]\setminus J:\,u_{t,k}\ge t}\mathbf{1}\{r_{t,k,b+i}\vee|u_{t,k}-u_{t,b+i}|\ge\varepsilon\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$. Then $X_n(t)=n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(t)$ satisfies

$$X_n(t)\le\frac{b+n}{n}\mu_t^{b+n}(C_t^J)\le X_n(t)+\frac{b}{n}$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Lemma 15 implies that $X_n(t)$, hence also $\mu_t^n(C_t^J)$, converges to a limit $X(t)$ uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$ as n tends to infinity.

For fixed $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, let $(k_1,k_2,\ldots)$ be the increasing enumeration of $\{i\in\mathbb{N}:u_{t,b+i}\ge t\}$. This set is a. s. infinite as shown in the proof of Lemma 7. For $i\in\mathbb{N}$, let $k_i'=A_0(t,b+$

$k_i)$ a. s. As $(k_1, k_2, \ldots)$ and $(k'_1, k'_2, \ldots)$ are η-measurable, each of these sequences is independent of $(\underline{x}, \underline{u})$. Thus the law of large numbers implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\big\{(x_{k'_i}, u_{k'_i}) \in C\big\} = \mu(C) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

for each Borel set $C \subset X \times \mathbb{R}_+$. The set $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J))$ is determined by the vector $((x_{A_0(t,i)}, u_{t,i}) : i \in [\![b]\!])$ which is independent of the sequence $((x_{k'_i}, u_{k'_i}) : i \in \mathbb{N})$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i(t) &= \mathbf{1}\big\{u_{t,b+i} \geq t, (A_0(t, b+i), u_{t,b+i}) \in C_t^J\big\} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\big\{u_{t,b+i} \geq t, (x_{A_0(t,b+i)}, u_{A_0(t,b+i)}) \in \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J))\big\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{k_n}{n} X_{k_n}(t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\big\{(x_{k'_i}, u_{k'_i}) \in \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J))\big\} \\ &= \mu(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J))) = \mu_0(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J)) \quad \text{a. s.} \end{aligned}$$

As it holds $\lim_{n\to\infty} n/k_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} |\Pi_t(0)|_{k_n} = |\Pi_t(0)|$ a. s. by Lemma 7, we have

$$X(t) = |\Pi_t(0)|\, \mu_0(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J)) \tag{24}$$

a. s. for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Equality (24) also holds for all $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ on an event of probability 1. It holds $\theta_s^{-1}(C_s^J) = \theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $s \leq t$ and $\eta((s,t] \times \mathcal{P}_{(b)}) = 0$, hence the map $t \mapsto \mu_0(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^J))$ is càdlàg a. s. Also the map $t \mapsto |\Pi_t(0)|$ is càdlàg a. s. by Lemma 9. As $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{dust}}$ a. s., the map $t \mapsto X_n(t)$ is càdlàg a. s. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence also $t \mapsto X(t)$ is càdlàg a. s. It follows that equation (24) holds simultaneously for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ on an event of probability 1, hence $X(t) = \mu_t(C_t^J)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s.

As the random set $\{A_0(T,i) : i \in \mathbb{N}, u_{T,i} \geq T\}$ is infinite and independent of $(\underline{x}, \underline{u})$, it follows that $\{(x_{A_0(T,i)}, u_{A_0(T,i)}) : i \in \mathbb{N}, u_{T,i} \geq T\}$ is a. s. dense in supp μ, hence $\{(A_0(T,i), u_{A_0(T,i)}) : i \in \mathbb{N}, u_{T,i} \geq T\}$ is a. s. dense in supp μ_0. It follows that the infimum B of all $b' \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{i \in [\![b']\!]:\ u_{T,i} \geq T} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{Z \times \mathbb{R}_+}(A_0(T,i), u_{A_0(T,i)})\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

is a. s. finite. As the map

$$t \mapsto \big\{(A_0(t,i), u_{A_0(t,i)}) : i \in [\![b]\!], u_{t,i} \geq t\big\}$$

is non-increasing, it holds

$$1 - \mu_0(\theta_t^{-1}(C_t^\emptyset)) = \mu_0\left(\bigcup_{i \in [\![b]\!]:\ u_{t,i} \geq t} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{Z \times \mathbb{R}_+}(A_0(t,i), u_{A_0(t,i)})\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ on the event $\{B \le b\}$. As $|\Pi_t(0)| \le 1$, definition (22) implies $\mu_t(C_t^\emptyset) < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ on the event $\{B \le b\}$.

Now inequality (23) implies that on an event of probability 1, it holds

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_t^n, \mu_t) < 4\varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad B > b.$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$ were arbitrary, it follows

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_t^n, \mu_t) = 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, the maps $t \mapsto \mu_t^n$ are càdlàg for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the map $t \mapsto \mu_t$ is càdlàg. As $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$ was arbitrary, it holds $R_t \in \mathbb{D}^*$ and $[\![Z, \rho, \mu_t]\!] = \psi(R_t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Here we use that the completion of $\mathbb{N}$ with respect to the semi-metric obtained from $\underline{r}_{=t}$ is isometric to the closure of $\{z(t,i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in Z.

We state the left limits explicitly. By Lemma 11, we may define a family of probability measures on $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\mu_{t-} = \sum_{i\in M(\Pi_{t-})} |\Pi_{t-}(i)|\, \delta_{(z(t-,i),u_{t-,i})} + |\Pi_{t-}(0)|\, \theta_t(\mu_0) \tag{25}$$

for each $t \in (0,\infty)$. There exists for each $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ an integer ℓ such that $\sum_{i\in M(\Pi_{t-}):i>\ell} |\Pi_{t-}(i)| < \varepsilon$. As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, there exists $\delta \in (0,t)$ such that $\eta((t-\delta,t) \times \mathcal{P}_{(\ell)}) = 0$. This implies in particular $z(t-,i) = z(s,i)$, $u_{t-,i} = u_{s,i} + t - s$, and $M(\Pi_{t-}) \cap [\![\ell]\!] = M(\Pi_s) \cap [\![\ell]\!]$ for all $i \in [\![\ell]\!]$ and $s \in (t-\delta,t) \cap \mathbb{R}_+$. For $s \in (t-\delta,t) \cap \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a coupling ν of the probability measures μ_s and μ_{t-} such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu\{((z(t-,i), u_{t-,i} - t + s), (z(t-,i), u_{t-,i}))\}\\ &= \mu_s\{(z(t-,i), u_{t-,i} - t + s)\} \wedge \mu_{t-}\{(z(t-,i), u_{t-,i})\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu(((A\times\mathbb{R}_+)\times(A\times\mathbb{R}_+)) \cap D) = \mu_s(A\times\mathbb{R}_+) \wedge \mu_{t-}(A\times\mathbb{R}_+)$$

for all $i \in M(\Pi_{t-}) \cap [\![\ell]\!] \setminus \Pi_{t-}(0)$, where we write $D = \{(y,y) : y \in Z\times\mathbb{R}_+\}$. The coupling characterization of the Prohorov metric implies

$$\begin{aligned}&d_{\mathrm{P}}^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_s, \mu_{t-})\\ &\le \nu\{(y,y') \in Z\times\mathbb{R}_+ : d^{Z\times\mathbb{R}_+} > t - s\} + t - s\\ &\le \sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_{t-})\setminus\Pi_{t-}(0):\\ i\le\ell}} \nu(((Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)\setminus\{(z(t-,i), u_{t-,i} - t + s)\}) \times \{(z(t-,i), u_{t-,i})\})\\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_{t-})\setminus\Pi_{t-}(0):\\ i>\ell}} \nu((Z\times\mathbb{R}_+)\times\{(z(t-,i), u_{t-,i})\})\\ &\quad + \nu(((Z\setminus A)\times\mathbb{R}_+)\times(A\times\mathbb{R}_+))\\ &\le \sum_{\substack{i\in M(\Pi_{t-}):\\ i\le\ell}} \big|\, |\Pi_s(i)| - |\Pi_{t-}(i)| \,\big| + t - s + \varepsilon + \big|\, |\Pi_s(0)| - |\Pi_{t-}(0)| \,\big|.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9, it follows that μ_s converges weakly to μ_{t-} as $s \uparrow t$. For $t \notin \Theta$, it holds $\Pi_{t-} = \Pi_t$, $z(t-,i) = z(t,i)$, and $u_{t-,i} = u_{t,i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\mu_{t-} = \mu_t$.

For all $n, k, i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0$, it holds $|\{j \in \mathbb{N} : u_{\tau_{n,k},j} = 0\}| = |\pi_{n,k}|_1 > 0$ and furthermore $u_{\tau_{n,k}-,i} > 0$ as $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{dust}}$. By Corollary 1, the sequences $\underline{u}_{\tau_{n,k}}$ and $\underline{u}_{\tau_{n,k}-}$ are exchangeable. By the de Finetti theorem, this implies

$$\varpi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_{\tau_{n,k}-})\{0\} = 0 \neq |\pi_{n,k}|_1 = \varpi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mu_{\tau_{n,k}})\{0\}.$$

Hence, as $\Theta = \{\tau_{n,k} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0\}$ a. s., the map $t \mapsto \mu_t$ is discontinuous in every $t \in \Theta$. The remaining assertion on the atomicity of μ_t and μ_{t-} follows from expressions (22) and (25). □

To prove uniform convergence in a neighborhood of 0 in Theorem 1 in the case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, we use the following application of Lemma 14.

Lemma 13. *Assume that $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ is jointly exchangeable. Let $T, \varepsilon > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $J \subset [\![b]\!]$. Define for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$*

$$A_t^J = \bigcap_{j \in J} \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \rho_{t,j,i} < \varepsilon + 2t\} \cap \bigcap_{k \in [\![b]\!] \setminus J} \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \rho_{t,k,i} \geq \varepsilon + 2t\}.$$

Then it holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| |A_t^J|_n - |A_t^J| \right| = 0 \quad a.\,s.$$

Proof. In Lemma 14, choose f such that

$$f(t, (\rho_{t,j,b+1+i})_{j \in [\![b]\!]}) = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{1}\{\rho_{t,j,b+1+i} < \varepsilon + 2t\} \prod_{k \in [\![b]\!] \setminus J} \mathbf{1}\{\rho_{t,k,b+1+i} \geq \varepsilon + 2t\}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The assertion follows as it holds

$$X_n(t) \leq \frac{b+1+n}{n} |A_t^J|_{b+1+n} \leq X_n(t) + \frac{b+1}{n}$$

and $X(t) = |A_t^J|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. in this case, where $X_n(t)$ and $X(t)$ are defined in Lemma 14. □

Proof of Theorem 1 (continuation). Now we assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$. The assumption $\chi \in \mathbb{M}_0$ implies $\underline{u}_0 = 0$, hence $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_0 = \underline{\underline{r}}_0 \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $\mu_0 = m_0 \otimes \delta_0$ for a probability measure m_0 on Z which is the weak limit of the probability measures m_0^n as $n \to \infty$. We show that there exists for each $t \in (0, \infty)$ a probability measure m_t on Z such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^n, m_t) = 0$ and that the map $t \mapsto m_t$ is càdlàg with set of discontinuity times Θ. We already noted that $\underline{u}_t = 0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. The assertion in the theorem may then be deduced by defining probability measures μ_t on $Z \times \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\mu_t = m_t \otimes \delta_0$.

We work on an event of probability 1 on which in particular the assertions in Lemma 8 and Lemma 10 hold simultaneously for all $s \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. We define for each $t \in (0, \infty)$, $s \in (0, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a probability measure $m_t^{(n,s)}$ on Z by

$$m_t^{(n,s)} = \sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s,t})} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)|_n \, \delta_{(t,i)}.$$

There exists a coupling ν of the probability measures $m_t^{(n,s)}$ and m_t^n given by

$$\nu\{((t, \min \Pi_{s,t}(i)), (t,i))\} = 1/n$$

for all $i \in [\![n]\!]$. As it holds $\rho((t, \min \Pi_{s,t}(i)), (t,i)) \leq 2(t-s)$, the coupling characterization of the Prohorov metric implies

$$d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^{(n,s)}, m_t^n) \leq 2(t-s).$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. First we show uniform convergence of m_t^n for t in a neighborhood of 0. For $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J \subset [\![b]\!]$, we define

$$A^J = \left(\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^Z(j) \right) \setminus \bigcup_{k \in [\![b]\!] \setminus J} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^Z(k) \subset Z.$$

As the subset $\mathbb{N}$ of Z is dense in supp m_0, we may choose b in $\mathbb{N}$ with $m_0\left(\bigcup_{i\in[\![b]\!]} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^Z(i)\right) > 1-\varepsilon$. By the Portmanteau theorem, it follows $1 - |A^\emptyset \cap \mathbb{N}|_n = m_0^n\left(\bigcup_{i\in[\![b]\!]} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^Z(i)\right) > 1-\varepsilon$ for n sufficiently large.

We choose $\tilde{\tau} \in (0, \varepsilon]$ such that $\eta((0,\tilde{\tau}] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0$. Then it holds

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : A_0(t,i) \in A^J\} = A_t^J$$

for all $t \in [0,\tilde{\tau}]$ and $J \subset [\![b]\!]$, where A_t^J is defined in Lemma 13. Now we have $1 - |A_0^\emptyset| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. For $t \in [0,\tilde{\tau}]$ and $J \subset [\![b]\!]$ with $J \neq \emptyset$, it holds diam $A^J < 2\varepsilon$ and diam $\{t\} \times A_t^J < 2\varepsilon + 2t$. Moreover, $\{A_t^J : J \subset [\![b]\!]\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{N}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $t \mapsto \left|A_t^\emptyset\right|_n$ is càdlàg. By Lemma 13, also the map $t \mapsto \left|A_t^\emptyset\right|$ is càdlàg and we may choose $\tau \in (0,\tilde{\tau}] \cap \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_t^n\left(\{t\} \times A_t^\emptyset\right) = |A_t^\emptyset|_n < 2\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $t \in [0,\tau]$. For $n,k \geq n_0$ and $t \in [0,\tau]$, there exists a coupling ν of m_t^n and m_t^k such that

$$\nu((\{t\} \times A_t^J) \times (\{t\} \times A_t^J)) = m_t^n(\{t\} \times A_t^J) \wedge m_t^k(\{t\} \times A_t^J)$$

for all $J \subset [\![b]\!]$. By choice of b, the coupling characterization of the Prohorov metric yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^n, m_t^k) \\
&\leq \nu((z,z') \in Z^2 : \rho(z,z') > 2\varepsilon + 2t) + 2\varepsilon + 2t \\
&\leq \nu(Z \times (\{t\} \times A_t^\emptyset)) + \sum_{\substack{J \subset [\![b]\!]: \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \nu((Z \setminus (\{t\} \times A_t^J)) \times (\{t\} \times A_t^J)) + 2\varepsilon + 2t \\
&\leq m_t^k(\{t\} \times A_t^\emptyset) + \sum_{\substack{J \subset [\![b]\!]: \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \left| m_t^n(\{t\} \times A_t^J) - m_t^k(\{t\} \times A_t^J) \right| + 2\varepsilon + 2t \\
&\leq 6\varepsilon + \sum_{\substack{J \subset [\![b]\!]: \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \left| |A_t^J|_n - |A_t^J|_k \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 13 implies

$$\lim_{n,k\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,\tau]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^n, m_t^k) = 0.$$

Let $T \in (\varepsilon, \infty)$, now we show uniform convergence in $[\tau, T]$. Let $s_0 = 0$ and $s_j = \tau + (j-1)\varepsilon$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 10, we may choose for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ an integer ℓ_j such that

$$\sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s_j,t}) \cap [\![\ell_j]\!]} |\Pi_{s_j,t}(i)| > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [s_{j+1}, s_{j+2}]$. We set $\ell = \max\{\ell_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_0, s_{j+1} \leq T\}$. By Lemma 8, there exists an integer n' such that for all $n \geq n'$, it holds

$$\sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s_j,t}) \cap [\![\ell]\!]} |\Pi_{s_j,t}(i)|_n > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [s_{j+1}, s_{j+2}]$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $s_{j+1} \leq T$. For all $t \in [\tau, T]$ and $k, n \geq n'$, it holds, as the Prohorov distance is bounded from above by the total variation distance,

$$d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^{(n,s_j)}, m_t^{(k,s_j)}) \leq \sum_{\substack{i \in M(\Pi_{s_j,t}): \\ i \leq \ell}} \big| |\Pi_{s_j,t}(i)|_n - |\Pi_{s_j,t}(i)|_k \big| + \varepsilon,$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is such that $t \in [s_{j+1}, s_{j+2}]$. By Lemma 8, this expression converges to ε uniformly in $t \in [\tau, T]$ as $n, k \to \infty$. Furthermore, it holds for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [\tau, T]$, and j with $t \in [s_{j+1}, s_{j+2}]$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^n, m_t^k) &\leq d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^n, m_t^{(n,s_j)}) + d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^{(n,s_j)}, m_t^{(k,s_j)}) + d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^{(k,s_j)}, m_t^k) \\ &\leq 8\varepsilon + d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^{(n,s_j)}, m_t^{(k,s_j)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a family $(m_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on the complete space Z such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_t^n, m_t) = 0.$$

As the completion of $\mathbb{N}$ with respect to the semi-metric obtained from $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t$ is isometric to X_t, the above implies in particular $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $[\![Z, \rho, m_t]\!] = [\![X_t, \rho, m_t]\!] = \psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. As it holds $\underline{u}_t = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we also obtain $R_t \in \mathbb{D}^*$ and $[\![Z, \rho, \mu_t]\!] = \psi(R_t)$.

As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$, the maps $t \mapsto m_t^n$ are càdlàg for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the map $t \mapsto m_t$ is càdlàg. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, we define the probability measure

$$m_{t-}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(t-,i)}$$

on Z. Then it holds $m_{t-}^n = \text{w-}\lim_{s \uparrow t} m_s^n$. Let $m_{t-} = \text{w-}\lim_{s \uparrow t} m_s$. From the uniform convergence showed above, it follows that m_{t-}^n converges weakly to m_{t-} as $n \to \infty$. Note that it holds $d_{\mathrm{P}}^Z(m_{t-}^n, m_t^n) \leq 1/n$ for all $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \Theta$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a. s. This implies $m_{t-} = m_t$ for all $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \Theta$ a. s.

For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0$, it holds $\rho_{\tau_{n,k}-,i,j} > 0$ a. s. for all $i \neq j$ as $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ a. s., and there exists a. s. an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \rho_{\tau_{n,k},i,j} = 0\}| = |\pi_{n,k}(i)| > 0$. Let $\chi' = \psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{\tau_{n,k}})$. On an event of probability 1, we sample an $m_{\tau_{n,k}}$-iid sequence $\underline{x}$ in Z and we set $\underline{\underline{\rho}}' = (\rho(x_i, x_j))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $\underline{\underline{\rho}}'$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-valued random variable with conditional

distribution $\nu^{\chi'}$ given χ'. As $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{=\tau_{n,k}}$ is jointly exchangeable, it follows from Proposition 4 that $\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{=\tau_{n,k}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\rho}}'$ are equal in distribution. It follows that a. s., there exists an i with $\rho(x_i, x_j) = 0$ for infinitely many j, hence $m_{\tau_{n,k}}$ contains an atom a. s. Similarly, it can be shown that $m_{\tau_{n,k}-}$ is non-atomic. We deduce that the set of discontinuity times of the map $t \mapsto m_t$ is $\Theta = \{\tau_{n,k} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \Xi(\Delta_{>1/n}) > 0\}$. □

We may postpone the proof of part (iii) and look into the proof of the first parts of Theorem 1 to obtain descriptions of the sampling measure μ_t for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

We begin with the case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{dust}}$. The random variables R and η are realized a. s. in such a way that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, one can sample from the lookdown space Z according to the probability measure $\varpi_Z(\mu_t)$ as follows: With probability given by the asymptotic frequency of the individuals at time t whose ancestral lineages do not coalesce with other ancestral lineages within the time interval $(0, t]$, we sample according to $\varpi_Z(\mu_0)$. For each block in a reproduction event at a time τ' in $(0, t]$, we draw the individual on, say, the lowest level in this block (which is identified with the individuals on all other levels in this block, as they have genealogical distance zero) with probability given by the asymptotic frequency of the individuals at time t that descend from this block and whose ancestral lineages do not coalesce with any other ancestral lineages in the time interval $(\tau', t]$. This description of $\varpi_Z(\mu_t)$ is a consequence of equation (22) and Lemma 7. The second component of μ_t is determined by the first component if this property holds true for μ_0. That is, if χ admits a mark function (see Definition 3.13 in [10]), then also $[\![Z, \rho, \mu_t]\!]$ admits a mark function.

Now we turn to the case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{nd}}$ where we consider the family $(m_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on Z. We do not state the measures m_t for $t \in (0, \infty)$ explicitly. However, we can approximate them by the probability measures

$$m_t^{(s)} := \sum_{i \in M(\Pi_{s,t})} |\Pi_{s,t}(i)|\, \delta_{(t,i)}$$

on Z for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, as in the proof of Theorem 1, the coupling characterization of the Prohorov metric implies $d_{\text{P}}^Z(m_t^{(s)}, m_t) \le 2(t - s)$.

Remark 8. In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{CDI}}$, Lemma 10 is not needed for the proof of a weaker version of Theorem 1 where assertion (19) is replaced with the assertion

$$m_t = \text{w-}\lim_{n \to \infty} m_t^n \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \text{a. s.} \tag{26}$$

The assumption $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\text{CDI}}$ implies $\#\Pi_{s,t} < \infty$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, t)$ a. s., hence the measures $m_t^{(s)}$ have mass 1 for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ a. s. By the coupling characterization of the Prohorov metric, it holds $d_{\text{P}}^Z(m_t^{(s)}, m_t^{(s')}) \le 2(t - s)$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, $s \in (0, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $s' \in [s, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, hence the probability measures $m_t^{(s)}$ converge weakly to a probability measure $\tilde{m}_t$ as s increases to t. It follows from Lemma 8 and the coupling characterization of the Prohorov metric that $m_t^{(n,s)}$ converges weakly to $m_t^{(s)}$ as n tends to infinity for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ a. s. It also holds $d_{\text{P}}^Z(m_t^{(n,s)}, m_t^n) \le 2(t - s)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this implies (26) with $\tilde{m}_t = m_t$. By the coupling

characterization of the Prohorov metric, we have, using $m_s(\mathcal{U}^Z_{2(s-r)}(s,i)) = |\Pi_{r,s}(i)|$ and $m_t(\mathcal{U}^Z_{2(t-r)}(t,i)) = |\Pi_{r,t}(i)|$,

$$d^Z_{\mathrm{P}}(m_s, m_t) \le s + t - 2r + \sum_{\substack{i \in M(\Pi_{r,s}):\\ i \le \ell}} \big||\Pi_{r,s}(i)| - |\Pi_{r,t}(i)|\big| + \sum_{\substack{i \in M(\Pi_{r,s}):\\ i > \ell}} |\Pi_{r,s}(i)|$$

for all $s \in (0,\infty)$, $r \in (0,s) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in [s,\infty)$ sufficiently small such that $\eta((s,t] \times \mathcal{P}_\ell) = 0$. We deduce from Lemma 8 that the map $t \mapsto m_t$ is càdlàg in $(0,\infty)$ a. s.

The random variables R and η are realized a. s. in such a way that for all $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $s \in (0,t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain $((t,i_k), k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ on Z can be defined as follows. Let $(s_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ be a strictly increasing sequence in $[s,t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ with $s_0 = s$ and $s_k \to t$. Let the initial state (t,i_0) have distribution $m_t^{(s)}$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let the chain jump from state (t,i_k) at time k to state (t,i) at time $k+1$ with probability

$$\frac{|\Pi_{s_{k+1},t}(i)|}{|\Pi_{s_k,t}(i_k)|}$$

for all $i \in \Pi_{s_k,t}(i_k) \cap M(\Pi_{s_{k+1},t})$. By construction, we have $i_k = \min \Pi_{s_k,t}(i_{k+1})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By multiplying the initial weight and the transition probabilities, we obtain that (t,i_k) has distribution $m_t^{(s_k)}$ on Z. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it holds $\rho((t,i_k),(t,i_{k+1})) \le 2(t-s_k)$, hence $((t,i_k), k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a Cauchy sequence in Z. The distribution of the limit is m_t as the probability measures $m_t^{(s_k)}$ converge weakly to m_t as k tends to infinity.

A time-homogenous Markov chain $(x_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ on Z is obtained by setting $x_k = (s_k, A_{s_k}(t,i_k))$. Indeed, time can now be read off from the first component, and i_k is uniquely determined by $A_{s_k}(t,i_k)$ as it holds $i_k \in M(\Pi_{s_k,t})$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the realizations of the Markov chain $(x_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and the elements of X_t. These realizations determine ends of a coalescent tree, cf. also Evans [14]. Note that on every ultrametric measure space, such a Markov chain can be defined. For boundary theory of chains with perfect memory, we refer also to Evans, Grübel, and Wakolbinger [16]. The Markov chain $(x_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ satisfies the perfect memory property from [16].

Proof of Theorem 1(iii). For $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $s \in [0,t)$, we denote the closure of $(s,t) \times \mathbb{N}$ in Z by $X_{s,t}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the subset $\{t\} \times [\![n]\!]$ of Z by X_t^n, and more generally, for $M \subset \mathbb{N}$, we denote the subset $\{t\} \times M$ of Z by X_t^M.

Now we assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ and $\alpha^{-1}(\chi) \in \mathbb{M}'_{\mathrm{c}}$. Then, still working on an event of probability 1, we obtain from conditions (18) and (3) that $\underset{=t}{\rho} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$ and that X_t is compact for all $t \in (0,\infty)$. Moreover, it holds up to null events

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\text{supp } m_t \ne X_t \text{ for some } t \in (0,\infty)\}\\
&\subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{m_t(\mathcal{U}^Z_{2(t-s)}(t,i)) = 0 \text{ for some } t \in (0,\infty), s \in (0,t) \cap \mathbb{Q}\}\\
&= \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{|\Pi_{s,t}(i)| = 0 \text{ for some } t \in (0,\infty), s \in (0,t) \cap \mathbb{Q}\}
\end{aligned}$$

and we have a null event in the last line by Lemma 12. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let (X'_t, ρ'_t) be the completion of $\mathbb{N}$ with respect to the semi-metric obtained from $\underset{=t}{\rho}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

the metric measure spaces (X_t, ρ, m_t^n) and $(X_t', \rho_t', n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i)$ are measure-preserving isometric. By the construction in the beginning of this proof, the metric measure spaces $(X, r, \varpi_X(\mu))$ and (X_0, ρ, m_0) are measure-preserving isometric with supp $m_t = X_0$, and the assumptions imply that X_0 is compact. We obtain $[X_t, \rho, m_t] = \upsilon(\underline{\rho}_t)$, supp $m_t = X_t$, and $\beta([\![Z, \rho, m_t]\!]) = [X_t, \rho, m_t]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

We come to the regularity properties. We begin with right continuity. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By construction, it holds $X_s \subset \mathcal{B}_{s-t}^Z(X_t)$ for all $s \geq t$. As X_t is compact and as $\{t\} \times \mathbb{N}$ is dense in X_t, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$X_t \subset \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^Z(X_t^n).$$

As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$, we may choose $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ sufficiently small such that $\eta((t, t+\delta) \times \mathcal{P}_n) = 0$. Then it holds

$$\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^Z(X_t^n) \subset \mathcal{B}_{2\varepsilon}^Z(X_s^n) \subset \mathcal{B}_{2\varepsilon}^Z(X_s)$$

for $s \in [t, t+\delta)$. Consequently,

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}(X_t, X_s) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

This proves right continuity of the map $t \mapsto X_t$ in d_{H}.

We now turn to the left limits. Let $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, t)$. We define the closed subset

$$X_{t-} = \bigcap_{s \in (0,t)} X_{s,t}$$

of Z. It holds $X_{t-} \supset X_t$. We claim that $d_{\mathrm{H}}(X_s, X_{t-}) \to 0$ as $s \uparrow t$. From the definition of X_{t-}, we have $X_{t-} \subset \mathcal{B}_{t-s}^Z(X_s)$ for all $s \in (0, t)$. Let $M = \bigcap_{s \in [t-\varepsilon, t)} A_{t-\varepsilon}(s, \mathbb{N})$. As $\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$, it holds $\#M < \infty$. That is, the number of families of age at least ε at time $t-$ is finite. As the map $(t - \varepsilon/2, \infty) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, $s \mapsto A_{t-\varepsilon}(s, \mathbb{N})$ is non-increasing and piecewise constant, there exists $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $A_{t-\varepsilon}(s, \mathbb{N}) = M$ for all $s \in (t - \delta, t)$. For all $i \in M$ and $s, s' \in (t - \varepsilon, t)$, it holds $D_s(t - \varepsilon, i) < \infty$ and $\rho((s, D_s(t-\varepsilon, i)), (s', D_{s'}(t - \varepsilon, i))) = |s - s'|$, hence the limit $x := \lim_{s \uparrow t}(s, D_s(t - \varepsilon, i))$ exists in the complete subspace $X_{s',t}$. It holds $\rho(x, (t - \varepsilon, i)) = \varepsilon$ and $x \in X_{t-}$. Thus we have $X_{t-\varepsilon}^M \subset \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^Z(X_{t-})$,

$$X_s \subset \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^Z(X_{t-\varepsilon}^M) \subset \mathcal{B}_{2\varepsilon}^Z(X_{t-}),$$

and $d_{\mathrm{H}}(X_s, X_{t-}) \leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $s \in (t - \delta, t)$.

For $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \Theta'$, it holds $X_t = X_{t-}$. To show this, let $x \in X_{t-}$. Then there exists a sequence $((s_k, i_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ in $(0, t) \times \mathbb{N} \subset Z$ with $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \ldots$ which converges to x. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho((s_n, i_n), (s_\ell, i_\ell)) < 2(s_\ell - s_k)$ for all $n \geq \ell$. This implies $A_{s_k}(s_n, i_n) = A_{s_k}(s_\ell, i_\ell)$ for all $n \geq \ell$. We set $j_k = A_{s_k}(s_\ell, i_\ell)$. Then it holds $j_k = A_{s_k}(s_n, j_n)$ for all $n \geq k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $j_k \in \bigcap_{s \in [s_k, t)} A_{s_k}(s, \mathbb{N})$. By our assumption on t, this implies $j_k \in A_{s_k}(t, \mathbb{N})$, hence $j_k' := D_t(s_k, j_k) < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $((s_k, j_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to x as it holds

$$\rho((s_k, j_k), x) = \lim_{n \to \infty}((s_k, j_k), (s_n, i_n)) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty}((s_k, i_k), (s_n, i_n)) = \rho((s_k, i_k), x)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also the sequence $((t, j_k') : k \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to x as it holds

$$\rho((t, j_k'), (s_k, j_k)) = t - s_k$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $x \in X_t$.

Now let $t \in \Theta'$ and assume that a family of age at least $\varepsilon \in (0,t)$ dies out at time t. The space $(X_t, \rho \wedge t)$ is ultrametric, see Remark 3. Hence, a semi-metric $\rho^{(\varepsilon)}$ on X_t is given by $\rho^{(\varepsilon)} = (\rho \wedge t - \varepsilon) \vee 0$. We denote by $X_t^{(\varepsilon)}$ the space obtained from X_t by identifying elements with $\rho^{(\varepsilon)}$-distance 0. As X_t is compact, the space $X_t^{(\varepsilon)}$ is finite. Also the space $(X_{t-}, \rho \wedge t)$ is ultrametric. Indeed, for each $x, y, z \in X_{t-}$ and each $s \in (0,t)$, there exist $x', y', z' \in X_s$ with $\rho(x,x') < t-s$, $\rho(y,y') < t-s$, and $\rho(z,z') < t-s$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho \wedge t)(x,z) &\le (\rho \wedge s)(x',z') + 2(t-s)\\ &\le \max\{(\rho \wedge s)(x',y'), (\rho \wedge s)(y',z')\} + 2(t-s)\\ &\le \max\{(\rho \wedge t)(x,y), (\rho \wedge t)(y,z)\} + 4(t-s).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, a semi-metric $\rho^{(\varepsilon)}$ on X_{t-} is given by $\rho^{(\varepsilon)} = (\rho \wedge t - \varepsilon) \vee 0$. We denote by $X_{t-}^{(\varepsilon)}$ the space obtained from X_{t-} by identifying elements with $\rho^{(\varepsilon)}$-distance 0. By our assumption on t, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $D_t(t-\varepsilon, i) = \infty$ and $D_s(t-\varepsilon, i) < \infty$ for all $s \in (t-\varepsilon, t)$. The limit $x := \lim_{s \uparrow t}(s, D_s(t-\varepsilon, i))$ exists in X_{t-}. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds $A_{t-\varepsilon}(t,j) \neq i$, hence $\rho((s, A_s(t,j)), (s, D_s(t-\varepsilon, i))) \ge 2(s-t+\varepsilon)$ for all $s \in (t-\varepsilon, t)$. Taking the limit $s \uparrow t$, we obtain $\rho((t,j), x) \ge 2\varepsilon$. As $\{t\} \times \mathbb{N}$ is dense in X_t, it also holds $\rho(x', x) \ge 2\varepsilon$ for all $x' \in X_t$. As $X_t \subset X_{t-}$, this implies that the cardinality of $X_{t-}^{(\varepsilon)}$ is greater than the cardinality of $X_t^{(\varepsilon)}$. Hence, $X_{t-}^{(\varepsilon)}$ and $X_t^{(\varepsilon)}$ are not isometric. It follows that X_{t-} and X_t are not isometric. As a consequence, it holds $d_{\mathrm{H}}(X_{t-}, X_t) > 0$, that is, the map $t \mapsto X_t$ is discontinuous in Θ'. □

8 The $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process

In this section, we define the $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process and for $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$ also the $\mathbb{M}'$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process. These processes can be read off from the construction on the lookdown space from Section 7. Furthermore, we characterize these processes also by well-posed martingale problems in Section 8.1. These processes and martingale problems generalize the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process of [20] and its martingale problem to the cases with multiple and with simultaneous multiple reproduction events.

Definition 2. *Let $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$ and assume $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\chi}$. We say the stochastic process given by $(\psi(R_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ on an event of probability 1 is an $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state χ.*

Definition 3. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, let $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}'$, and assume $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\chi'}$. We say the stochastic process given by $(\psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{=t}), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ on an event of probability 1 is an $\mathbb{M}'$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state χ'.*

In the definitions above, recall from Theorem 1 that it holds $\psi(R_t) = [\![Z, \rho, \mu_t]\!]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. and in case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$ also $\psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{=t}) = [\![Z, \rho, m_t]\!]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s.

Remark 9. By Remark 3, we have $\psi(R_t) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ and $\psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{=t}) \in \mathbb{M}'_{\mathrm{tr}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. if $\chi \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}'_{\mathrm{tr}}$, respectively, in Definitions 2 and 3 above. In these cases, we may therefore call $(\psi(R_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(\psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{=t}), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ tree-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot processes.

Theorem 2. *Let $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$ and assume $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^\chi$. If $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, assume $\chi \in \mathbb{M}_0$. Then the $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state χ given by*

$$(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi(R_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad a.\,s.$$

is Markov and has a. s. càdlàg paths in $(\mathbb{M}, d_{\mathrm{MGP}})$. The set of jump times is a. s. equal to Θ.

Let $(\chi^n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{M}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{\mathrm{MGP}}(\chi^n, \chi) = 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let R^n be a random variable with values in $\mathbb{D}$ and distribution ν^{χ^n}, independent of η. Then the Feller property

$$\psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R^n, t)) \overset{d}{\to} \chi_t \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{M}, d_{\mathrm{MGP}}) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{27}$$

is satisfied for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

If $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, let $\chi' = \alpha^{-1}(\chi) \in \mathbb{M}'$ and define an $\mathbb{M}'$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state χ' by

$$(\chi'_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi_0(\underline{\rho}_{=t}), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad a.\,s.$$

In this case, it then holds $\chi_t = \alpha(\chi'_t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s.

Remark 10. Also the atomicity properties of the families of probability measures $(\mu_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(m_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ given in Theorem 1(i) and 1(ii) carry over to the processes $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = ([\![Z, \rho, \mu_t]\!], t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(\chi'_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = ([\![Z, \rho, m_t]\!], t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ in Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We use Theorem 1. By definition of the marked Gromov-Prohorov metric, the process $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = ([\![Z, \rho, \mu_t]\!], t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ has càdlàg paths in $(\mathbb{M}, d_{\mathrm{MGP}})$ and no discontinuity times outside Θ a. s. The left limits are given by $\chi_{t-} = [\![Z, \rho, \mu_{t-}]\!]$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ a. s. As the atomicity properties given in Theorem 1(i) and 1(ii) are properties of the equivalence classes, it follows $\chi_{t-} \neq \chi_t$ for all $t \in \Theta$ a. s. In case $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, Theorem 1(ii) implies $\chi_t = \alpha(\chi'_t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s.

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the marked distance matrix R_t is jointly exchangeable by Lemma 3. Let $\tilde{R}$ be a $\mathbb{D}^*$-valued random variable with conditional distribution ν^{χ_t} given χ_t. Then, by Proposition 4, the marked distance matrices R_t and $\tilde{R}$ are equal in distribution. The Markov property of $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ now follows from an application of Theorem 2 of Rogers and Pitman [35] to the $\mathbb{D}^*$-valued Markov process $(R_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ the measurable function $\psi : \mathbb{D}^* \to \mathbb{M}$, and the probability kernel K from $\mathbb{M}$ to $\mathbb{D}^*$ given by $K(\tilde{\chi}, \cdot) = \nu^{\tilde{\chi}}$ for $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathbb{M}$.

It remains to show equation (27). Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. As the map $\mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, $R' \mapsto \mathbf{R}(\eta, R', t)$ is continuous, we have the convergence in distribution $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R^n, t) \overset{d}{\to} \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ as $n \to \infty$. For every $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ with associated polynomial Φ, it holds by Proposition 4 as the marked distance matrices $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R^n, t)$ and $\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)$ are jointly exchangeable

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R^n, t)))] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R^n, t))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t))] = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)))].\end{aligned}$$

As the set of polynomials is convergence determining in $\mathbb{M}$, we deduce

$$\psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R^n, t)) \overset{d}{\to} \psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t))$$

in $\mathbb{M}$ as $n \to \infty$. □

We show that the $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process converges to an equilibrium. Let $\hat{\eta}$ be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}$ with intensity $dt\ H_\Xi(d\pi)$. It can be shown similarly to Theorem 1 that $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\eta}, t) \in \mathbb{D}^*$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a. s. if $\Xi(\Delta) > 0$. In the following definition, we consider a generalization of the Λ-coalescent measure tree of [19].

Definition 4 (Ξ-coalescent measure tree)**.** *Assume $\Xi(\Delta) > 0$. We call a random variable in $\mathbb{M}$ that is distributed as $\psi(\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\eta}, 0))$ a Ξ-coalescent measure tree.*

Theorem 3. *Assume $\Xi(\Delta) > 0$ and let $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ be an $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$. Then χ_t converges in distribution in the marked Gromov-weak topology to a Ξ-coalescent measure tree as t tends to infinity.*

Proof. We may assume that η is the restriction of $\hat{\eta}$ to $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{P}$, that $R \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^\chi$, and that

$$(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, t)), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{a. s.} \tag{28}$$

We define

$$(\chi'_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi(\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\eta}, t)), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Then χ'_t is a Ξ-coalescent measure tree for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and it holds

$$(\chi'_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi(\mathbf{R}(\eta, \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\eta}, 0), t)), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{a. s.} \tag{29}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$ with associated polynomial Φ. By comparing (28) and (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \nu^{\chi_t}(d\tilde{R})\, \mathbf{1}\Big\{\max\{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\tilde{R}))_{ij} : i, j \in [\![n]\!]\} < 2t\Big\}\, \phi(\tilde{R}) \\ &= \int \nu^{\chi'_t}(d\tilde{R})\, \mathbf{1}\Big\{\max\{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\tilde{R}))_{ij} : i, j \in [\![n]\!]\} < 2t\Big\}\, \phi(\tilde{R}) \quad \text{a. s.} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as both sides of this equation are independent of R and $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\eta}, 0)$. As $\chi'_t \overset{d}{=} \chi'_0$, the above implies

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\chi_t)] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\chi'_t)]| \le 2\|\phi\|_\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\int \nu^{\chi'_0}(d\tilde{R})\, \mathbf{1}\Big\{\max\{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\tilde{R}))_{i,j} : i, j \in [\![n]\!]\} \ge 2t\Big\}\right],$$

where the right-hand side converges to zero as t tends to infinity by dominated convergence. The assertion follows as the set of polynomials is convergence determining. □

In [11], convergence to stationarity of measure-valued Fleming-Viot processes is also proved by a coupling argument.

Remark 11. A stationary $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process can be defined by

$$(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}) = (\psi(\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\eta}, t)), t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

8.1 Martingale problems

We denote by $D_{\mathbb{M}}([0,\infty))$ and $D_{\mathbb{M}'}([0,\infty))$ the space of càdlàg paths in $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}'$, respectively, which we endow with the Skorohod metric.

Theorem 4. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, let $\chi \in \mathbb{M}$, and let $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ be a càdlàg version of an $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state χ. Define an operator $\Omega : \Pi \to M_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{M})$ by*

$$\Omega\Phi(\chi') = \nu^{\chi'}(\Omega_2\phi)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ with associated polynomial Φ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}$, where Ω_2 is defined from Ξ in Proposition 7. Then the law of $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the unique solution in $D_{\mathbb{M}}([0,\infty))$ of the martingale problem (Ω, Π) with initial state χ.

Theorem 5. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{nd}}$, let $\chi \in \mathbb{M}'$, and let $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ be a càdlàg version of an $\mathbb{M}'$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state χ. Define an operator $\Omega' : \Pi' \to M_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{M}')$ by*

$$\Omega'\Phi(\chi') = \nu^{\chi'}(\Omega_1\phi)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'$ with associated polynomial Φ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}'$, where Ω_1 is defined from Ξ in Proposition 6. Then the law of $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the unique solution in $D_{\mathbb{M}'}([0,\infty))$ of the martingale problem (Ω', Π') with initial state χ.

Proof of Theorem 4. For $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}$, we denote the probability measure of our probability space by $\mathbb{P}_{\chi'}$ and the associated expectation by $\mathbb{E}_{\chi'}$ when we wish to indicate that R has distribution $\nu^{\chi'}$. Under $\mathbb{P}_\chi$, we may assume $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi(R_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ a. s. Denote by $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ the filtration induced by the process $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ with associated polynomial Φ, fix $0 \le s \le t$, and $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$. By the Markov property of $(\chi_y, y \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}_\chi\left[\Phi(\chi_t) - \Phi(\chi_s) - \int_s^t \Omega\Phi(\chi_y)dy; A\right] = \mathbb{E}_\chi\left[e(\chi_s); A\right] \tag{30}$$

with

$$e(\chi') = \mathbb{E}_{\chi'}\left[\Phi(\chi_{t-s}) - \Phi(\chi_0) - \int_0^{t-s} \Omega\Phi(\chi_y)dy\right]$$

for $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e(\chi') &= \mathbb{E}_{\chi'}\left[\nu^{\chi_{t-s}}\phi\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\chi'}\left[\nu^{\chi_0}\phi\right] - \int_0^{t-s} \mathbb{E}_{\chi'}\left[\nu^{\chi_y}(\Omega_2\phi)\right] dy \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\chi'}\left[\phi(R_{t-s}) - \phi(R_0) - \int_0^{t-s} \Omega_2\phi(R_y)dy\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The first equality follows from Fubini and the definitions of Φ and Ω. For the second equality, we apply Lemma 3 and Proposition 4. Proposition 7 implies that expression (31) equals zero. It follows that the process $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ solves the martingale problem (Ω, Π_1).

We prove uniqueness using a function-valued dual process. This method is applied in the context of tree-valued Fleming-Viot processes in [9], another dual process is used

in [20]. We fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and work with a dual process with state space $\mathcal{C}_n$. We define the function

$$\iota_3 : \mathcal{S}^n \to (\mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_n), \quad \sigma \mapsto (\phi \mapsto (\phi \circ \iota_2(\sigma))).$$

For $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n$, we write also σ instead of $\iota_3(\sigma)$ for brevity.

We define an independent process $(\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ as the Markov process with càdlàg paths in $\mathcal{C}_n$ such that

- for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ at rate $\lambda_{n,\sigma}$, the process jumps from ϕ to $\sigma(\phi)$,
- and between jumps, the process evolves deterministically according to

$$\phi_{t+s}(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{u}') = \phi_t(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{u}' + \underline{1}_n s)$$

for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $(\underline{\underline{r}}', \underline{u}') \in \mathbb{D}^n$.

The process $(\phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ solves the martingale problem $(\Omega_\downarrow, \mathscr{D})$, where

$$\mathscr{D} = \{\mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{R}, \phi \mapsto \nu^{\chi'}\phi : \chi' \in \mathbb{M}\}$$

and $\Omega_\downarrow = \Omega_{\downarrow,\mathrm{coal}} + \Omega_{\downarrow,\mathrm{shrink}}$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{\downarrow,\mathrm{coal}} : \mathscr{D} \to M_\mathrm{b}(\mathcal{C}_n), \quad \Omega_{\downarrow,\mathrm{coal}}\nu^{\chi'}(\phi) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^n \setminus \{\emptyset\}} \lambda_{n,\sigma} \left(\nu^{\chi'}(\sigma(\phi)) - \nu^{\chi'}\phi\right)$$

and

$$\Omega_{\downarrow,\mathrm{shrink}} : \mathscr{D} \to M_\mathrm{b}(\mathcal{C}_n), \quad \Omega_{\downarrow,\mathrm{shrink}}\nu^{\chi'}(\phi) = \nu^{\chi'}\langle \nabla^{\underline{u}}\phi, \underline{1}\rangle$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}$.

From this definition, we have $\Omega(\nu^{\cdot}\phi)(\chi') = \Omega_\downarrow \nu^{\chi'}(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}$, where $\nu^{\cdot}\phi$ is the polynomial associated with ϕ. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all polynomials $\Phi \in \Pi$ of degree at most n, it follows from Theorem 4.4.11 in [13] that $E[\Phi(\tilde{\chi}_t)]$ is equal for all solutions $((\tilde{\chi}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+); P)$ of the martingale problem (Ω, Π) with initial state χ. As $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary and the space Π of polynomials is separating, the uniqueness assertion follows from Theorem 4.4.2 in [13]. □

Proof of Theorem 5. We assume $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^\chi$ and $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\psi_0(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ a. s. We show as in displays (30) and (31) that $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is a solution of the martingale problem (Ω', Π'): We let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'$ with associated polynomial Φ, replace Ω with Ω', Ω_2 with Ω_1, and we use Proposition 6.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the function

$$\iota_4 : \mathcal{P}'_n \to (\mathcal{C}'_n \to \mathcal{C}'_n), \quad \pi \mapsto (\phi \mapsto (\phi \circ \iota_1(\pi))).$$

For $\pi \in \mathcal{P}'_n$, we write also π instead of $\iota_4(\pi)$ for brevity. We prove uniqueness analogously to the proof of Theorem 4. We define an independent process $(\phi^0_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ as the Markov process with càdlàg paths in $\mathcal{C}'_n$ such that

- for each $\pi \in \mathcal{P}'_n$ at rate λ_π, the process jumps from $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ to $\pi(\phi)$,

- and between jumps, the process evolves deterministically according to

$$\phi^0_{t+s}(\underline{\underline{\rho}}') = \phi^0_t(\underline{\underline{\rho}}' + \underline{\underline{2}}_n t)$$

for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\underline{\underline{\rho}}' \in \mathcal{D}^n$.

The process $(\phi^0_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ then solves the martingale problem $(\Omega'_\downarrow, \mathscr{D}')$, where

$$\mathscr{D}' = \{\mathcal{C}'_n \to \mathbb{R}, \phi \mapsto \nu^{\chi'}\phi : \chi' \in \mathbb{M}'\}$$

and $\Omega'_\downarrow = \Omega'_{\downarrow,\mathrm{coal}} + \Omega'_{\downarrow,\mathrm{shrink}}$ is defined by

$$\Omega'_{\downarrow,\mathrm{coal}} : \mathscr{D}' \to M_\mathrm{b}(\mathcal{C}'_n), \quad \Omega'_{\downarrow,\mathrm{coal}}\nu^{\chi'}(\phi) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}'_n} \lambda_\pi \left(\nu^{\chi'}(\pi(\phi)) - \nu^{\chi'}\phi\right)$$

and

$$\Omega'_{\downarrow,\mathrm{shrink}} : \mathscr{D}' \to M_\mathrm{b}(\mathcal{C}'_n), \quad \Omega'_{\downarrow,\mathrm{shrink}}\nu^{\chi'}(\phi) = \nu^{\chi'}\langle \nabla\phi, \underline{\underline{2}}\rangle$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}'$. It holds $\Omega'(\nu^{\cdot}\phi)(\chi') = \Omega'_\downarrow \nu^{\chi'}(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ and $\chi' \in \mathbb{M}'$. As Π' is separating in $\mathbb{M}'$, we may conclude as in the proof of Theorem 4. □

9 The M-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent

By Theorem 1, we can define an **M**-valued stochastic process as follows.

Definition 5. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_\mathrm{CDI}$, let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{M}$, and assume $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\mathcal{X}}$. We say the stochastic process given by $(\upsilon(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ on an event of probability 1 is an **M**-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent starting from $\mathcal{X}$.*

Under the assumptions in the definition above, it holds

$$(\upsilon(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = ([X_t, \rho, m_t], t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

in the context of Theorem 1. The state at time zero of an **M**-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent starting from $[X, r, m] \in \mathbf{M}$ depends only on $[\![X, r, m]\!]$. Moreover, the corollary below is a consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 3. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_\mathrm{CDI}$, let $[X, r, m] \in \mathbf{M}$, and let $(\chi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ be an $\mathbb{M}$-valued Ξ-Fleming-Viot process with initial state $[\![X, r, m]\!]$. Then an **M**-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent starting from $[X, r, m]$ is given by $(\beta(\chi_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ a. s.*

The following definition is analogous to Definition 4.

Definition 6. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_\mathrm{CDI}$. We call a random variable with values in **M** that is distributed as $\upsilon(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\hat{\eta}, 0))$ an **M**-valued Ξ-coalescent.*

Theorem 6. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{CDI}}$, let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{M}$, and assume $\underline{\underline{\rho}} \overset{d}{\sim} \nu^{\mathcal{X}}$. Then the $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent starting from $\mathcal{X}$ given by*

$$(\mathcal{X}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\upsilon(\underline{\underline{\rho}}_t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad a.\,s.$$

is Markov with a. s. càdlàg paths in $(\mathbf{M}, d_{\mathrm{GHP}})$. The set of discontinuity times is a. s. equal to $\Theta \cup \Theta'$.

Let $(\mathcal{X}^n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{M}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{\mathrm{GP}}(\mathcal{X}^n, \mathcal{X}) = 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\underline{\underline{\rho}}^n$ be a random variable with values in $\mathcal{D}$ and distribution $\nu^{\mathcal{X}^n}$ that is independent of η. Then the continuity property

$$\upsilon(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}^n, t)) \overset{d}{\to} \mathcal{X}_t \quad \text{in } (\mathbf{M}, d_{\mathrm{GHP}}) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{32}$$

is satisfied for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{X}_t$ converges in distribution in the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov topology to an $\mathbf{M}$-valued Ξ-coalescent as t tends to infinity.

Proof. We work in the context of Theorem 1. It holds $(\mathcal{X}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = ([X_t, \rho, m_t], t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ a. s. This implies the path regularity. We denote the left limit at each time $t \in (0, \infty)$ by $\mathcal{X}_{t-} = \lim_{s\uparrow t} \mathcal{X}_s$. On an event of probability 1, we have $\mathcal{X}_t \neq \mathcal{X}_{t-}$ for all $t \in \Theta'$ as X_t and X_{t-} are not isometric for these t by Theorem 1(iii). Moreover, we have $\mathcal{X}_t \neq \mathcal{X}_{t-}$ for all $t \in \Theta$ a. s. by Theorem 1(ii). The Markov property follows as in Theorem 2.

To show equation (32), let $t, \varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (Z', ρ') be the lookdown space associated with η and $(\underline{\underline{\rho}}^n, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $X'_t \subset Z'$ be the closure of the individuals at time t therein, and define a probability measure m'_t on Z' analogously to m_t. Then there exists a. s. a measure-preserving homeomorphism h between (X_t, ρ, m_t) and (X'_t, ρ', m'_t). The correspondence $\mathfrak{R} = \{(x, h(x)) : x \in X_t\} \subset X_t \times X'_t$ has distortion $\max\{|\rho^n_{i,j} - \rho_{i,j}| : i, j \in A_0(t, \mathbb{N})\}$. With the coupling $\nu(dx\,dx') = m_t(dx)\delta_{h(x)}(dx')$ of m_t and m'_t, Proposition 2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}(d_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\upsilon(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}^n, t)), \mathcal{X}_t) \geq \varepsilon)\\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\max\{|\rho^n_{i,j} - \rho_{i,j}| : i, j \in [\![k]\!]\} \geq 2\varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(A_0(t, \mathbb{N}) > k)\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as it holds $[X'_t, \rho', m'_t] = \upsilon(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}^n, t))$ a. s. We may assume w. l. o. g. that the distance matrices $\underline{\underline{\rho}}^n$ converge in probability. We let n and then k tend to infinity.

The proof of the last assertion is similar to the proof of Theorem 3. Let the random variable $\mathcal{X}'$ be an $\mathbf{M}$-valued Ξ-coalescent. Let $\underline{\underline{\rho}}'$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-valued random variable that is independent of η with conditional distribution $\nu^{\mathcal{X}'}$ given $\mathcal{X}'$. Let

$$(\mathcal{X}'_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+) = (\upsilon(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\eta, \underline{\underline{\rho}}', t)), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Then also $\mathcal{X}'_t$ is an $\mathbf{M}$-valued evolving Ξ-coalescent for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and it holds $\mathcal{X}_t = \mathcal{X}'_t$ on the event $\{\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{X}_t < 2t\}$. By the Markov property, as $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{X}_1 < 2) > 0$, and as $\{\mathcal{X}_1 < 2\}$ is independent of $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$, the random time $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \operatorname{diam} \mathcal{X}_t < 2t\}$ is geometrically bounded. The assertion follows as it holds $\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{X}_t < 2t$ for all $t > \tau$. □

Remark 12. It follows from Remark 3 that the subspaces X_t of the lookdown space are ultrametric for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if X_0 is ultrametric. As ultrametric spaces are closely related to $\mathbb{R}$-trees, Definition 5 can be used to define a process with values in the space of measure-preserving isometry classes of weighted $\mathbb{R}$-trees. Alternatively, the lookdown space can be extended to an $\mathbb{R}$-tree so that this process can be read off directly.

10 Uniform convergence in the lookdown model

Donnelly and Kurtz [12] prove that the measure-valued processes whose states are the empirical measures of the types on the first n levels in the lookdown model converge a. s. as n tends to infinity. In this section, we adapt their techniques to prove Lemmata 14 and 15 below. In the proofs, we use the exchangeability properties from Section 5.4. We apply Lemma 14 in the proofs of Lemmata 8 and 13, and we apply Lemma 15 in the proofs of Lemma 9 and Theorem 1.

We work with the stochastic processes $(U(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(V(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ which we define by

$$U(t) = \int_{(0,t]\times\Delta} |x|_2^2 \, \xi_0(ds\ dx)$$

and

$$V(t) = \int_{(0,t]\times\Delta} |x|_1 \, \xi_0(ds\ dx).$$

By equation (12), the random variable $U(t)$ is finite for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s., it equals the sum of the squared asymptotic block frequencies in the reproduction events up to time t. If $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$, then also the random variable $V(t)$ is finite for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a. s. as it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[V(t)] = t \int_\Delta |x|_1 \, |x|_2^{-2} \, \Xi_0(dx) < \infty$$

in this case. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$b_\ell : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{N}_0, \quad b_\ell(\pi) = \ell - \#(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\ell(\pi))$$

and

$$b_{(\ell)} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{N}_0, \quad b_{(\ell)}(\pi) = \#\{i \in [\![\ell]\!] : \{i\} \notin \pi\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$N^\ell(I) = \int_{I\times\mathcal{P}} b_\ell(\pi)\eta(ds\ d\pi)$$

and

$$N^{(\ell)}(I) = \int_{I\times\mathcal{P}} b_{(\ell)}(\pi)\eta(ds\ d\pi)$$

for each interval $I \subset (0,\infty)$. The random variable $N^\ell(I)$ is the number of newborn particles on the first ℓ levels in the time interval I. The random variable $N^{(\ell)}(I)$ counts in the reproducing particles in each reproduction event, in particular, it also takes account of reproduction events in which only the reproducing particles occupy levels in $[\![\ell]\!]$.

Lemma 14. *Assume that $\underline{\underline{\rho}}$ is jointly exchangeable. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and let f be a function from $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^b$ to $\{0,1\}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, define*

$$Y_i(t) = f(t, (\rho_{t,j,b+1+i})_{j \in [\![b]\!]})$$

and

$$X_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(t).$$

Impose the assumption on f that

$$|X_n(t) - X_n(s)| \, \mathbf{1}\{\eta((s,t] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\} \leq n^{-1} N^{b+1+n}(s,t]$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq s < t$. Then there exists a process $(X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_n(t) - X(t)| = 0$ a. s. for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Lemma 15. *Assume $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{dust}}$ and that R is jointly exchangeable. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and let f be a function from $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^{2b} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ to $\{0,1\}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, define*

$$Y_i(t) = f(t, (r_{t,j,b+i}, u_{t,j})_{j \in [\![b]\!]}, u_{t,b+i})$$

and

$$X_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(t).$$

Impose the assumption on f that

$$|X_n(t) - X_n(s)| \, \mathbf{1}\big\{\eta((s,t] \times \mathcal{P}_{(b)}) = 0\big\} \leq n^{-1} N^{(b+n)}(s,t]$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq s < t$. Then there exists a process $(X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_n(t) - X(t)| = 0$ a. s. for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The above also holds if we set $Y_i(t) = \mathbf{1}\{u_{t,i} \geq t\}$.

The following proof is an adaptation of the proofs of Lemmata 3.4 and 3.5 of Donnelly and Kurtz [12] and of Lemma 3.2 of Birkner et al. [6].

Proof of Lemma 14. We assume w. l. o. g. $\Xi(\Delta) > 0$. Let $T, \varepsilon, c > 0$. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\alpha_0^\ell = 0$ and inductively for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\alpha_{k+1}^\ell = \inf\{t > \alpha_k^\ell : U(t) > U(\alpha_k^\ell) + \ell^{-4}\} \wedge (\alpha_k^\ell + \ell^{-4}).$$

For $k_\ell = 2\lceil (c+T)\ell^4 \rceil$, it holds $\mathbb{P}(\alpha_{k_\ell}^\ell \leq T, U(T) \leq c) = 0$.

For an arbitrary integer $n_\ell \geq \ell$, we define for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\beta_k^\ell = \inf\{t > \alpha_k^\ell : \eta((\alpha_k^\ell, t] \times \mathcal{P}_b) > 0\},$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_k^\ell = \inf\{t > \alpha_k^\ell : \big|X_{n_\ell}(t) - X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell)\big| \geq 4\varepsilon\} \wedge (\alpha_k^\ell + 1),$$

and

$$\tilde{\beta}_k^\ell = \inf\{t > \beta_k^\ell : \big|X_{n_\ell}(t) - X_{n_\ell}(\beta_k^\ell)\big| \geq 4\varepsilon\} \wedge (\beta_k^\ell + 1).$$

We define the event

$$E^\ell = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \{\eta((\alpha_k^\ell \wedge (T+1), \alpha_{k+1}^\ell \wedge (T+1)) \times \mathcal{P}_b) \le 1\}$$
$$\cap \{|\pi|_2^2 > \ell^{-4} \text{ for all } \pi \in \mathcal{P}_b \text{ with } \eta_0((0, T+1] \times \{\pi\}) > 0\}.$$

We use the notation $(c, c') = \emptyset$ for $c' \le c$. As $\eta((0, T+1] \times \mathcal{P}_b) < \infty$ a. s., the event E^ℓ occurs for all sufficiently large ℓ a. s.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the sequence $(Y_i(\alpha_k^\ell), i \in \mathbb{N})$ is exchangeable by Corollary 1 as α_k^ℓ is ξ_0-measurable. The marked distance matrix

$$\mathbf{1}\big\{\eta((\alpha_k^\ell, \tilde\alpha_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\big\}\, \gamma_{b+1+n_\ell}(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, \tilde\alpha_k^\ell))$$

is jointly $(b, 1+n_\ell)$-exchangeable by Lemma 5 and the strong Markov property of η at α_k^ℓ. Hence, the vector

$$\big(\mathbf{1}\big\{\eta((\alpha_k^\ell, \tilde\alpha_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\big\}\, Y_i(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell), i \in [\![n_\ell]\!]\big)$$

is exchangeable.

If $b \ge 2$ and $\Xi\{0\} > 0$, the marked distance matrix

$$\mathbf{1}\big\{\xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0\big\}\, \mathbf{R}(\eta, R, \beta_k^\ell)$$

is jointly $(b+1, \infty)$-exchangeable by Lemma 4 and the strong Markov property of η at α_k^ℓ. Hence, the sequence

$$(\mathbf{1}\big\{\xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0\big\}\, Y_i(\beta_k^\ell), i \in \mathbb{N})$$

is exchangeable. The marked distance matrix

$$\mathbf{1}\Big\{\xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0, \eta((\beta_k^\ell, \tilde\beta_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\Big\}\, \gamma_{b+1+n_\ell}(\mathbf{R}(\eta, R, \tilde\beta_k^\ell))$$

is jointly $(b+1, n_\ell)$-exchangeable by Lemma 5 and the strong Markov property of η at β_k^ℓ. Hence, the vector

$$\Big(\mathbf{1}\Big\{\xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0, \eta((\beta_k^\ell, \tilde\beta_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\Big\}\, Y_i(\tilde\beta_k^\ell), i \in [\![n_\ell]\!]\Big)$$

is exchangeable. If $b < 2$ or $\Xi\{0\} = 0$, it suffices to work with the stopping times α_k^ℓ and $\tilde\alpha_k^\ell$.

By Lemma A.2 in [12], there exists a number η_ε which depends only on ε (not on n_ℓ) such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell) - X_\ell(\alpha_k^\ell)| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_\varepsilon \ell},$$
$$\mathbb{P}(|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell) - X_\ell(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell)| \ge \varepsilon, \eta((\alpha_k^\ell, \tilde\alpha_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0) \le 2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_\varepsilon \ell},$$
$$\mathbb{P}(|X_{n_\ell}(\beta_k^\ell) - X_\ell(\beta_k^\ell)| \ge \varepsilon, \xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0) \le 2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_\varepsilon \ell},$$
and $$\mathbb{P}(|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\beta_k^\ell) - X_\ell(\tilde\beta_k^\ell)| \ge \varepsilon, \xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0, \eta((\beta_k^\ell, \tilde\beta_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0) \le 2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_\varepsilon \ell}\,.$$

Let

$$H_k = \big|X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell) - X_\ell(\alpha_k^\ell)\big| \vee \big|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell \wedge \beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell) - X_\ell(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell \wedge \beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)\big| \\ \vee \big|X_{n_\ell}(\beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell) - X_\ell(\beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)\big| \vee \big|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell) - X_\ell(\tilde\beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)\big|.$$

As it holds

$$\{\beta_k^\ell < \alpha_{k+1}^\ell\} \cap \{\alpha_k^\ell \le T\} \cap E^\ell \subset \{\xi_0(\{\beta_k^\ell\} \times \Delta) = 0\},$$
$$\{\tilde\beta_k^\ell < \alpha_{k+1}^\ell\} \cap \{\alpha_k^\ell \le T\} \cap E^\ell \subset \{\eta((\beta_k^\ell, \tilde\beta_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\},$$

and

$$\{\tilde\alpha_k^\ell < \beta_k^\ell\} \subset \{\eta((\alpha_k^\ell, \tilde\alpha_k^\ell] \times \mathcal{P}_b) = 0\}$$

up to null events for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the above implies

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{k<k_\ell:\, \alpha_k^\ell \le T} H_k \ge \varepsilon, E^\ell) \le 16\lceil (c+T)\ell^4 \rceil \, \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_\varepsilon \ell}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it holds $\tilde\alpha_k^\ell \ge \beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell$ a. s. on the event

$$\{H_k < \varepsilon\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{t \in [\alpha_k^\ell, \beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)} \big|X_\ell(t) - X_\ell(\alpha_k^\ell)\big| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Indeed, the intersection of this event with $\{\tilde\alpha_k^\ell < \beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell\}$ is a null event, as it holds there

$$|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell) - X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell)| < 3\varepsilon,$$

whereas we have

$$|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell) - X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell)| \ge 4\varepsilon \quad \text{a. s.}$$

by definition of $\tilde\alpha_k^\ell$ and right continuity.

Similarly, it holds $\tilde\beta_k^\ell \ge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell$ a. s. on the event

$$\{H_k < \varepsilon\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{t \in [\beta_k^\ell, \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)} \big|X_\ell(t) - X_\ell(\beta_k^\ell)\big| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

By Lemma 16 below and the Markov inequality, it holds

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} k_\ell \mathbb{P}(N^{b+1+\ell}(0, \alpha_1^\ell) > \ell\varepsilon) < \infty.$$

By the strong Markov property of $(N^{b+1+\ell}(0,t], t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ at α_k^ℓ and by the assumption on f, it follows

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\max_{k<k_\ell} \sup_{t \in [\alpha_k^\ell, \beta_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)} \big|X_\ell(t) - X_\ell(\alpha_k^\ell)\big| > \varepsilon) < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\max_{k<k_\ell} \sup_{t \in [\beta_k^\ell \wedge (T+1), \alpha_{k+1}^\ell \wedge (T+1))} \big|X_\ell(t) - X_\ell(\beta_k^\ell)\big| > \varepsilon, E^\ell) < \infty.$$

Altogether, it follows that there exist δ_ℓ which do not depend on n_ℓ such that $\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \delta_\ell < \infty$ and

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_{n_\ell}(t) - X_\ell(t)| > 4\varepsilon, U(T) \le c, E^\ell) < \delta_\ell$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Corollary 1 and the de Finetti Theorem, there exists an event of probability 1 on which the limits $X(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n(t)$ exist for all $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(\sup_{t\in[0,T]\cap\mathbb{Q}} |X(t) - X_\ell(t)| > 4\varepsilon, U(T) \le c, E^\ell) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t\in[0,T]\cap\mathbb{Q}} \liminf_{n\to\infty} |X_n(t) - X_\ell(t)| > 4\varepsilon, U(T) \le c, E^\ell) \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\inf_{j\ge n} \sup_{t\in[0,T]\cap\mathbb{Q}} |X_j(t) - X_\ell(t)| > 4\varepsilon, U(T) \le c, E^\ell) \le \delta_\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma and right continuity imply the existence of a random integer L such that

$$|X_n(t) - X_\ell(t)| \le 4\varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $n \ge \ell \ge L$ a. s. on the event $\{U(T) \le c\}$. This implies

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n,\ell\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_n(t) - X_\ell(t)| = 0, U(T) \le c) = 1$$

The assertion follows by letting c tend to infinity. □

Lemma 16. *For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let α_1^ℓ be defined as in the proof of Lemma 14. Then there exists a constant C such that $\mathbb{E}[(N^{2\ell}(0,\alpha_1^\ell))^4] \le C\ell^{-2}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The proof extends the argument presented on p. 44 in [6] where additional assumptions on Ξ are required to ensure that the process used there instead of $U(t)$ is finite.

Proof. First, let $x \in \Delta$. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(X_1, X_2, \ldots)$ have infinite multinomial distribution with parameters $(\ell, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$, that is, we may consider iid random variables $U_1, U_2, \ldots$ with uniform distribution on $[0,1]$ and set

$$X_i = \#\{j \in [\![\ell]\!] : \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} x_k < U_j < \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k\}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For a random partition π in $\mathcal{P}$ with distribution $\kappa(x,\cdot)$, Kingman's correspondence implies that $b_\ell(\pi)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1)_+$ are equal in distribution. Here we write $(x-1)_+ = \max\{x-1, 0\}$. We use the inequalities $[(x-1)_+]^2 \le x^{(2)}$ and $[(x-1)_+]^4 \le 3x^{(4)} + 3x^{(2)}$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $x^{(k)} = x!/(x-k)!$. Inserting also mixed factorial moments of multinomial distributions, we obtain a constant C' such that it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1)_+\right)^2\right] \le \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\ne j}}^n \mathbb{E}\left[X_i^{(2)} X_j^{(2)}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[X_i^{(2)}\right] \le \ell^4 |x|_2^4 + \ell^2 |x|_2^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-1)_+\right)^4\right] \le C'\left(\ell^8|x|_2^8+\ell^6|x|_2^6+\ell^6|x|_4^4|x|_2^2+\ell^4|x|_2^4+\ell^4|x|_4^4+\ell^2|x|_2^2\right)$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Taking the limit $n\to\infty$ on the left-hand side, we obtain upper bounds for $\kappa(x,\cdot)b_\ell^2$ and $\kappa(x,\cdot)b_\ell^4$.

Let

$$N_0^\ell(I)=\int_{I\times\mathcal{P}} b_\ell(\pi)\eta_0(ds\,d\pi)$$

for each interval $I\subset\mathbb{R}_+$. The random variable $N_0^\ell(I)$ is the number of newborn particles on the first ℓ levels in the large reproduction events in the time interval I. The random variable $N^{2\ell}(0,\alpha_1^\ell)-N_0^{2\ell}(0,\alpha_1^\ell)=\eta_{\mathrm{K}}((0,\alpha_1^\ell)\times\mathcal{P}_{2\ell})$ is stochastically bounded from above by a Poisson random variable with mean $\ell^{-4}\binom{2\ell}{2}$.

Here we only show $\mathbb{E}[(N_0^{2\ell}(0,\alpha_1^\ell))^4]\le C''\ell^{-2}$ for an appropriate constant C'' and all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$. W. l. o. g. we assume $\Xi_0(\Delta)>0$. Recall $((\tau^i,y^i,\pi^i),i\in\mathbb{N})$ from Section 5.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[(N_0^{2\ell}(0,\alpha_1^\ell))^4|\xi_0]\\
=&\mathbb{E}[\sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_4\in\mathbb{N}:\\ \tau^{i_1},\dots,\tau^{i_4}<\alpha_1^\ell}} b_{2\ell}(\pi^{i_1})\cdots b_{2\ell}(\pi^{i_4})|((\tau^i,y^i),i\in\mathbb{N})]\\
\le&C'''\big[(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell})^4\\
&+\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell}^2\,(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^j<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^j,\cdot)b_{2\ell})^2\\
&+(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell}^2)^2\\
&+\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell}^3\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^j<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^j,\cdot)b_{2\ell}\\
&+\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell}\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell}^4\big]\quad\text{a. s.}
\end{aligned}$$

for a combinatorial constant C'''. Now we estimate $b_{2\ell}\le b_{2\ell}^2$ and $b_{2\ell}^3\le b_{2\ell}^4$, and we insert the bounds for $\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell}^2$ and $\kappa(y^i,\cdot)b_{2\ell}^4$. From the definitions of $(U(t),t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$ and α_1^ℓ, we have

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell}|y^i|_2^2\le\ell^{-4}$$

which yields the assertion. □

Proof of Lemma 15. The proof is analogous to the proofs of Lemmata 14 and 16. Let us sketch some differences in our argumentation. We work only with the stopping times α_k^ℓ and $\tilde\alpha_k^\ell$ which we define for $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ by $\alpha_0^\ell=0$,

$$\tilde\alpha_k^\ell=\inf\{t>\alpha_k^\ell:\left|X_{n_\ell}(t)-X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell)\right|\ge 4\varepsilon\}\wedge(\alpha_k^\ell+1),$$

and

$$\alpha_{k+1}^\ell = \inf\{t > \alpha_k^\ell : V(t) > V(\alpha_k^\ell) + \ell^{-1}\}.$$

We set $k_\ell = 2\lceil c\,\ell\rceil$. Then it holds $\mathbb{P}(\alpha_{k_\ell} \le T, V(T) \le c) = 0$. We set

$$E^\ell = \{|\pi|_2^2 > \ell^{-1} \text{ for all } \pi \in \mathcal{P}_{(b)} \text{ with } \eta_0((0,T+1]\times\{\pi\}) > 0\}$$

and

$$H_k = \left|X_{n_\ell}(\alpha_k^\ell) - X_\ell(\alpha_k^\ell)\right| \vee \left|X_{n_\ell}(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell) - X_\ell(\tilde\alpha_k^\ell \wedge \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)\right|$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As it holds

$$\{\tilde\alpha_k^\ell < \alpha_{k+1}^\ell\} \cap \{\alpha_k^\ell \le T\} \cap E^\ell \subset \{\eta((\alpha_k^\ell, \tilde\alpha_k^\ell]\times\mathcal{P}_{(b)}) = 0\}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain as in the proof of Lemma 14

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{k<k_\ell:\,\alpha_k^\ell\le T} H_k \ge \varepsilon, E^\ell) \le 8\lceil (c+T)\ell^4\rceil\, \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_\varepsilon \ell}.$$

It remains to show

$$\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} k_\ell \mathbb{P}(N^{(b+\ell)}(0,\alpha_1^\ell) > \ell\varepsilon) < \infty.$$

By the Markov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(N^{(b+\ell)}(0,\alpha_1^\ell) > \ell\varepsilon) \le \mathrm{e}^{-\ell\varepsilon}\,\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\exp(N^{(b+\ell)}(0,\alpha_1^\ell))|\xi_0]].$$

We show that $\sup_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}[\exp(N^{(2\ell)}(0,\alpha_1^\ell))|\xi_0]$ is bounded, this will imply the assertion.

For $x \in \Delta$ and a random partition π with distribution $\kappa(x,\cdot)$, the random variable $b_{(2\ell)}(\pi)$ is binomially distributed with parameters 2ℓ and $|x|_1$. Using monotone convergence, conditional independence, and inserting moment generating functions of binomial distributions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\exp(N^{(2\ell)}(0,\alpha_1^\ell))|\xi_0]\\
=&\mathbb{E}[\exp(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell} b_{(2\ell)}(\pi^i))|((\tau^i,y^i), i\in\mathbb{N})]\\
=&\lim_{n\to\infty}\prod_{i\in[\![n]\!]:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell} \mathbb{E}[\exp(b_{(2\ell)}(\pi^i))|((\tau^i,y^i), i\in\mathbb{N})]\\
=&\lim_{n\to\infty}\prod_{i\in[\![n]\!]:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell} (1-|y^i|_1+|y^i|_1\mathrm{e})^{2\ell}\\
=&\exp(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell} 2\ell\log(1+|y^i|_1(\mathrm{e}-1)))\\
\le&\exp(2\ell\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}:\,\tau^i<\alpha_1^\ell} |y^i|_1(\mathrm{e}-1)) \le \exp(2(\mathrm{e}-1)) \quad \text{a. s.}
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the definitions of $(V(t), t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$ and α_1^ℓ.

The assertion for $Y_i(t) = \mathbf{1}\{u_{t,i} \ge t\}$ can be proved by setting $b=0$, $\mathcal{P}_{(0)} = \emptyset$, and defining E^ℓ as the sure event. Alternatively, we can choose $b=1$ and f such that

$$f(t,(r_{t,j,b+i},u_{t,j})_{j\in[\![b]\!]},u_{t,b+i}) = \mathbf{1}\{u_{t,b+i}\ge t\}$$

for $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and $i\in\mathbb{N}$. □

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Götz Kersting and Anton Wakolbinger for their valuable advice and for helpful comments on earlier versions of this article. Partial support from the DFG Priority Programme 1590 "Probabilistic Structures in Evolution" is acknowledged.

References

[1] D. Aldous, *The continuum random tree. III*, Ann. Probab. **21** (1993), no. 1, 248–289. MR1207226

[2] S. Athreya, W. Löhr, and A. Winter, *The gap between Gromov-vague and Gromov-Hausdorff-vague topology* (2014). arXiv:1407.6309.

[3] J. Bertoin, *Random fragmentation and coagulation processes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR2253162

[4] J. Bertoin and J.-F. Le Gall, *Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **126** (2003), no. 2, 261–288. MR1990057

[5] H. F. Biehler and P. Pfaffelhuber, *Compact metric measure spaces and Λ-coalescents coming down from infinity*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **9** (2012), 269–278. MR2923193

[6] M. Birkner, J. Blath, M. Möhle, M. Steinrücken, and J. Tams, *A modified lookdown construction for the Xi-Fleming-Viot process with mutation and populations with recurrent bottlenecks*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **6** (2009), 25–61. MR2485878

[7] I. Dahmer, R. Knobloch, and A. Wakolbinger, *The Kingman tree length process has infinite quadratic variation* (2014). arXiv:1402.2113.

[8] A. Depperschmidt, A. Greven, and P. Pfaffelhuber, *Marked metric measure spaces*, Electron. Commun. Probab. **16** (2011), 174–188. MR2783338

[9] ———, *Tree-valued Fleming-Viot dynamics with mutation and selection*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **22** (2012), no. 6, 2560–2615. MR3024977

[10] ———, *Path-properties of the tree-valued Fleming-Viot process*, Electron. J. Probab. **18** (2013), no. 84. MR3109623

[11] P. Donnelly and T. G. Kurtz, *A countable representation of the Fleming-Viot measure-valued diffusion*, Ann. Probab. **24** (1996), no. 2, 698–742. MR1404525

[12] ———, *Particle representations for measure-valued population models*, Ann. Probab. **27** (1999), no. 1, 166–205. MR1681126

[13] S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz, *Markov processes*: *Characterization and convergence*, Wiley, New York, 1986. MR838085

[14] S. N. Evans, *Kingman's coalescent as a random metric space*, Stochastic models (Ottawa, ON, 1998), 2000, pp. 105–114. MR1765005

[15] ———, *Probability and real trees*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1920, Springer, Berlin, 2008. Lectures from the 35th Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 6–23, 2005. MR2351587

[16] S. N. Evans, R. Grübel, and A. Wakolbinger, *Trickle-down processes and their boundaries*, Electron. J. Probab. **17** (2012), no. 1. MR2869248

[17] S. N. Evans and A. Winter, *Subtree prune and regraft: a reversible real tree-valued Markov process*, Ann. Probab. **34** (2006), no. 3, 918–961. MR2243874

[18] C. Foucart, *Generalized Fleming-Viot processes with immigration via stochastic flows of partitions*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **9** (2012), no. 2, 451–472. MR3069373

[19] A. Greven, P. Pfaffelhuber, and A. Winter, *Convergence in distribution of random metric measure spaces (Λ-coalescent measure trees)*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **145** (2009), no. 1-2, 285–322. MR2520129

[20] ———, *Tree-valued resampling dynamics Martingale problems and applications*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **155** (2013), no. 3-4, 789–838. MR3034793

[21] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 152, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1999. MR1699320

[22] P. Herriger and M. Möhle, *Conditions for exchangeable coalescents to come down from infinity*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **9** (2012), no. 2, 637–665. MR3069379

[23] O. Kallenberg, *Probabilistic symmetries and invariance principles*, Springer, New York, 2005. MR2161313

[24] G. Kersting, J. Schweinsberg, and A. Wakolbinger, *The evolving beta coalescent*, Electron. J. Probab. **19** (2014), no. 64. MR3238784

[25] S. Kliem and W. Löhr, *Existence of mark functions in marked metric measure spaces* (2014). arXiv:1412.2039.

[26] C. Labbé, *From flows of Λ-Fleming-Viot processes to lookdown processes via flows of partitions*, Electron. J. Probab. **19** (2014), no. 55. MR3227064

[27] L. Le Cam, *Convergence in distribution of stochastic processes*, Univ. Calif. Publ. Statist. **2** (1957), 207–236. MR0086117

[28] V. Limic, *On the speed of coming down from infinity for Ξ-coalescent processes*, Electron. J. Probab. **15** (2010), 217–240. MR2594877

[29] W. Löhr, *Equivalence of Gromov-Prohorov- and Gromov's $\square_\lambda$-metric on the space of metric measure spaces*, Electron. Commun. Probab. **18** (2013), no. 17. MR3037215

[30] G. Miermont, *Tessellations of random maps of arbitrary genus*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **42** (2009), no. 5, 725–781. MR2571957

[31] M. Möhle and S. Sagitov, *A classification of coalescent processes for haploid exchangeable population models*, Ann. Probab. **29** (2001), no. 4, 1547–1562. MR1880231

[32] P. Pfaffelhuber and A. Wakolbinger, *The process of most recent common ancestors in an evolving coalescent*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **116** (2006), no. 12, 1836–1859. MR2307061

[33] P. Pfaffelhuber, A. Wakolbinger, and H. Weisshaupt, *The tree length of an evolving coalescent*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **151** (2011), no. 3-4, 529–557. MR2851692

[34] J. Pitman, *Coalescents with multiple collisions*, Ann. Probab. **27** (1999), no. 4, 1870–1902. MR1742892

[35] L. C. G. Rogers and J. W. Pitman, *Markov functions*, Ann. Probab. **9** (1981), no. 4, 573–582. MR624684

[36] S. Sagitov, *The general coalescent with asynchronous mergers of ancestral lines*, J. Appl. Probab. **36** (1999), no. 4, 1116–1125. MR1742154

[37] J. Schweinsberg, *Coalescents with simultaneous multiple collisions*, Electron. J. Probab. **5** (2000), no. 4. MR1781024

[38] A. Véber and A. Wakolbinger, *The spatial Lambda-Fleming-Viot process: an event-based construction and a lookdown representation* (2013). arXiv:1212.5909. To appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré.

[39] A. M. Vershik, *Random and universal metric spaces*, Dynamics and randomness II, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 199–228. MR2153330